

**CZECH HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE**

Section of the Director - *Department of Climate Change*
Air Protection Section - *Department of Emissions and Sources*

**NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INVENTORY
REPORT OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC**

(REPORTED INVENTORY 2002)

Authors:

Pavel Fott, Jan Pretel, Dušan Vácha (CHMI)
Vladimír Neužil, Jan Bláha (KONEKO Marketing Ltd.)
Miroslav Havránek (COŽP UK)

The report was prepared in accordance with the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change related to national inventory submission 2004

Prague
May 2004

Content

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
ES 1 Background Information	5
ES 2 Summary of National Emission and Removal Related Trends	6
ES 3 Overview of Source and Sink Category Emission Estimates and Trends	7
ES 4 Overview of Emission Estimates and Trends of Indirect GHGs and SO ₂	8
1 Introduction and general issues	9
1.1 Background	9
1.2 Institutional Arrangement for Inventory Preparation	9
1.3 Process of Inventory Preparation (data collection, data processing, data storage).....	10
1.3.1 Activity Data Collection.....	10
1.3.2 Data Processing and Storage	10
1.4 Methodologies and Data Sources	10
1.4.1 Overview	10
1.4.2 Good Practice Guidance and its Implementation in the Czech Inventory	12
1.5 Key Source Categories	13
1.6 Uncertainty Analysis	16
1.7 QA/QC	17
2 Trend in Total Emissions	19
2.1 Emission Trends of Aggregated GHG Emissions	19
2.2 Emission Trends by Gas.....	20
2.3 Emission Trends by Sources	22
2.4 Emission Trends of Indirect GHGs and SO ₂	25
3 Energy - (CRF Sector 1).....	27
3.1 Energy - Combustion processes (CRF Sector 1A).....	27
3.1.1 Sector Characterization	27
3.1.2 Carbon Dioxide Emissions.....	27
3.1.3 Methane Emissions	32
3.1.4 Nitrous Oxide Emissions.....	32
3.1.5 Activity Data	33
3.1.6 Direct GHG Emissions - Overview.....	34
3.1.7 Precursor Emissions	34
3.1.8 QA/QC Procedures.....	34
3.1.9 Survey of Present State and Expected Improvements.....	35
3.2 Energy - Fugitive Emissions (Sector CRF 1B)	35
3.2.1 Sector Characterization	35
3.2.2 Methane Emissions from Mining and Post-mining Coal Treatment.....	36
3.2.3 Methane Emissions from Gas Extraction, Storage, Transit, Transport and Distribution	37
3.2.4 Methane Emissions from Petroleum Extraction, Refining and Storage.....	38
3.2.5 Activity Data and Emission Factors.....	38
3.2.6 Emission Trends.....	40
3.2.7 Precursor Emissions	40
3.2.8 QA/QC Procedures.....	40

4 Industrial Processes (CRF Sector 2)	43
4.1 Sector Characterization	43
4.2 Carbon Dioxide Emissions	44
4.2.1 Mineral Products	44
4.2.2 Iron and Steel Production	45
4.3 Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions	46
4.4 Recalculations, QA/QC and Plans for Improvement	47
4.5 Production of Halocarbons and SF ₆ (CRF Sector 2.E)	48
4.6 Consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ (CRF Sector 2.F)	48
4.6.1 Source Category Description	48
4.6.2 Methodological Issues	48
4.6.3 Uncertainty, Consistency, QA/QC, Recalculations and Planned Improvement ...	49
5 Solvent and Other Product Use (CRF Sector 3)	51
6 Agriculture (CRF Sector 4)	53
6.1 Sector Characterization	53
6.2 Methane Emissions	53
6.2.1 Tier 1	54
6.2.2 Tier 2	54
6.3 Nitrous Oxide Emissions	56
6.4 Emission Trends	57
6.5 OA/QC, Recalculations and Plans for Improvement	57
7 Land Use Change and Forestry (CRF Sector 5)	59
7.1 Source Category Description	59
7.2 Methodological Issues	59
7.3 Uncertainties and Time-series	61
7.4 Source-specific QA/QC and Verification	61
7.5 Source-specific Recalculations	61
7.6 Source-specific Planned Improvements	62
8 Waste (CFR Sector 6)	63
8.1 Sector Characterization	63
8.2 Emissions from Solid Waste Landfills	63
8.3 Emissions from Wastewater Handling	66
8.3.1 Emissions from Municipal Wastewater	66
8.3.2 Emissions from Treatment of Industrial Wastewater	68
8.3.3 Emissions of N ₂ O from Municipal Wastewater	70
8.4 Changes in Inventory and Recalculations	70
8.5 QA/QC and Plans for Improvement of Inventory Quality	71
9 Recalculations	73
9.1 History of Czech Inventories	73
9.2 Overview of Recalculations	74
10 References	75
Abbreviations	77
Appendix I	79
Appendix II	95
Appendix III - Notation keys	101

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES 1 Background Information

As a Party to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the Czech Republic is required to produce and regularly update National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. To date, National Greenhouse Gas Inventories have been produced for the years 1990 to 2002; nevertheless, data conversion into CRF format for 1991, 1993 and 1995 is still missing.

By taking decision 3/CP.5 the COP has undertaken to implement the UNFCCC guidelines on reporting and reviewing (FCCC/CP/1999/7). According to this decision Parties shall submit a National Inventory Report (NIR) containing detailed and complete information on their inventories, in order to ensure the transparency of the inventory. This is the second version of the National Inventory Report (NIR) submitted by the Czech Republic; it is an update of the NIR submitted in 2003. This report is based on the figures that have been submitted to the UNFCCC in CRF submission 2004. They differ from last year's reported data as some activity data have been updated or changes in methodology have been made retrospectively to enhance the accuracy of the GHG inventory. In the NIR 2004 version, authors have started to apply the updated Reporting Guidelines (FCCC/CP/2008/8), but for time limitations the only general chapters were adapted following the new requirements, while the majority of sectoral chapters were modified only partially and they will be rewritten in the next version of the NIR 2005.

There is an Executive Summary that gives an overview on the Czech GHG inventory. Chapters 1 and 2 provide general information on the inventory preparation process and summarize the overall trends in emissions. Comprehensive information on the methodologies used for estimating emissions of the national GHG inventory is presented in the Sector Analysis Chapters 3 – 8. Chapter 9 gives an overview of actions planned to further improve the inventory and of changes previously made (recalculations).

References used are also included as well as the underlying emission data for the year 2002 as included in the CRF tables Submission 2003. Furthermore detailed results from the key source analysis, detailed information on the methodology of emission estimates for the fuel combustion sector, the CO₂ reference approach as well as data from the national energy balance are presented.

It is the intention of the NIR 2004 to help understanding the calculation of the Czech GHG emission data. Those who want to know more details will have to consult the background literature cited in this document, unfortunately, the majority of the background literature is available only in Czech.

The preparation and review of the Czech GHG Inventory as well as the preparation of the NIR 2004 is under the responsibility of Mr. Pavel Fott of the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Department of Emission and Sources, as a National GHG Inventory Expert.

Specific responsibilities for the NIR 2003 have been as follows:

Executive Summary	Jan Pretel
Chapter 1	<i>Pavel Fott, Jan Pretel</i>
Chapter 2	<i>Dusan Vacha, Jan Pretel</i>
Chapter 3	<i>Jan Blaha, Vladimír Neužil, Pavel Fott</i>
Chapter 4	<i>Dusan Vacha, Pavel Fott</i>
Chapter 5	<i>Vladimír Neužil</i>
Chapter 6	<i>Pavel Fott</i>
Chapter 7	<i>Dusan Vacha, Jan Pretel</i>
Chapter 8	<i>Miroslav Havranek</i>
Chapter 9	<i>Pavel Fott</i>

The Czech Hydrometeorological Institute expresses its thanks and appreciation for the effort in producing a national methodology and emission inventory of high quality.

ES 2 Summary of National Emission and Removal Related Trends

In the year 2002, the most important GHG in the Czech Republic was CO₂ contributing 85.6 % to total national GHG emissions expressed in CO_{2eq.}, followed by CH₄, 7.5 % and N₂O, 5.9 %. PFCs, HFCs and SF₆ contributed for 0.95 % to the overall GHG emissions in the country. The energy sector accounted for 90.5 % of the total GHG emissions followed by Industrial Processes 4.9 %, Agriculture 5.4 % and Waste 2.0 %. Total GHG emissions (excluding land-use change and forestry) amounted to 142 955 Gg CO_{2eq.} and decreased by 25.6 % from 1990 to 2002.

Table 1 provides data on emissions by sectors and Table 2 by gas from 1990 to 2002.

Table 1 Summary of GHG emissions by sector 1990–2002 [Gg CO_{2eq.}]

Sector	1990	1992	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
1	171 018	145 150	135 779	137 909	142 428	132 666	125 274	132 472	130 265	125 303
2	4 708	4 316	3 849	3 936	4 323	4 465	3 968	4 336	6 991	6 798
3	734	671	596	662	522	566	551	549	531	531
4	12 521	9 934	8 459	9 188	8 681	7 933	7 844	7 542	7 592	7 431
5	-2 073	-6 511	-4 640	-4 437	-4 591	-3 710	-3 346	-3 966	-4 308	-4 435
6	2 983	3 307	3 186	3 163	2 878	2 924	2 729	2 731	2 621	2 835
Bunkers	627	483	289	462	409	231	547	349	446	505

Table 2 Summary of GHG emissions by gas 1990–2002 [Gg CO_{2eq.}]

	1990	1992	1994 ¹	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
CO ₂ ²	161 862	133 252	125 945	128 294	132 718	124 511	117 692	123 886	123 633	118 566
CH ₄	16 763	14 390	13 008	12 594	12 085	11 421	10 691	10 714	10 485	10 400
N ₂ O	11 266	9 227	8 275	9 211	8 811	8 390	8 111	8 175	8 291	8 174
HFCs	-	-	2.2	135	296	382	412	674	1 045	1 092
PFCs	-	-	0.4	4	7	9	3	9	14	18
SF ₆	-	-	166.8	183	323	132	111	206	223	212
Bunkers	627	483	289	462	409	231	547	349	446	505

Over the period 1990-2002 CO₂ emissions decreased by 26.7 %, mainly by emissions reduction in *Energy* (26.7 %); CO₂ emissions from *Transport* increased by about 70 % over the same period. CH₄ emissions decreased by 38 % during the same period mainly due to lower emissions from *Energy* (36 % reduction relative to base year), *Agriculture* (48 % reduction) and *Waste* (18 % reduction relative to base year); N₂O emissions decreased by 27 % over the same period due to emission reduction in *Agriculture* (37 % relative to base year); N₂O emissions from *Transport* increased about 7-times relative to base year. Emissions from HFCs and PFCs increased by almost 500-times and 45-times, respectively, whereas SF₆ emissions increased 1.3-times from the base year (1995) to 2002.

ES 3 Overview of Source and Sink Category Emission Estimates and Trends

In the year 2002, 125 303 Gg CO_{2eq.}, that are 87.7 % of national total emissions (excluding land-use change and forestry) arose from *Energy*; 95.0 % of these emissions arise from fuel combustion activities. The most important sub sector of *Fuel Combustion* with 49.0 % of total sectoral emissions in 2002 is *Energy Industries*, *Transport* responses for 11.0 % of total sectoral emissions. From 1990 to 2002 emissions from *Energy* decreased by 26.7 %.

Agriculture is the second largest sector in the Czech Republic with 5.2 % of total GHG emissions (excluding land-use change and forestry) in 2002 (7 431 Gg CO_{2eq.}); about 2/3 of emissions is coming from *Enteric Fermentation*. From 1990 to 2002 emissions from *Agriculture* decreased by 40.7 %.

Industrial Processes is the third largest sector with 4.8 % of total GHG emissions (excluding land-use change and forestry) in 2002 (6 798 Gg CO_{2eq.}); the largest sub sector is *Metal Production*. From 1990 to 2002 emissions from *Industrial Processes* decreased by 12.5 % (excl. *Metal Production*).

2.0 % of the national total GHG emissions (excluding land-use change and forestry) in 2002 arose from *Waste*. Emissions from *Waste* decreased from 1990 to 2002 by 5 % to 2 835 Gg CO_{2eq.}

In the year 2002, 0.4 % of total GHG emissions (excluding land-use change and forestry) in the Czech Republic (531 Gg CO_{2eq.}) arose from the sector *Solvent and Other Product Use*. From 1990-2002 emissions from *Solvent and Other Product Use* decreased by 27.6 %.

¹ HCFs, PCFs and SF₆ data for base year 1995

² CO₂ emissions incl. LUCF sector

ES 4 Overview of Emission Estimates and Trends of Indirect GHGs and SO₂

Emission estimates of indirect GHGs and SO₂ for the period from 1990 through 2002 are presented in Table 3.

Table 3 Indirect GHGs and SO₂ for 1990 and 2002 [Gg]

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
NO _x	544	521	496	454	375	368	366	349	321	313	321	332	318
CO	1 257	1 179	1 170	1 103	1 125	999	1 012	944	765	716	648	649	546
NMVOG	441	394	366	346	310	292	293	277	242	234	227	220	203
SO ₂	1 881	1 780	1 543	1 424	1 275	1 089	944	697	438	268	264	251	237

Emissions of indirect greenhouse gases decreased from the period from 1990 to 2002: for NO_x by 42 %, for CO by 57 %, for NMVOG by 54 % and for SO₂ by 87 %. The most important emission source for indirect greenhouse gases and SO₂ are fuel combustion activities.

1 Introduction and general issues

1.1 Background

Annual monitoring of greenhouse emissions and sinks is one of the obligations following from the *UN Framework Convention on Climate Change*. In addition, as a result of membership in the European Union, the Czech republic also has to fulfill also reporting requirements concerning GHG emissions and removal following from Decision No 280/2004/EC.

The *Czech Hydrometeorological Institute* (CHMI) was accredited in 1995 by the Ministry of the Environment (MoE), which is the founder and supervisor of CHMI. Thereafter CHMI is the official provider of Czech greenhouse gas emission data.

Inventory studies have been gradually elaborated in CHMI for years since 1990: the first study was issued in 1995 for the years 1990 – 1993 (Fott *et al*, 1995). Following the authorization given by the *Ministry of the Environment*, the results of these studies have been submitted in the prescribed format to the *Secretariat of Framework Convention* as official national information. In addition, GHG inventory results compiled by CHMI were summarized in National Communications (*Second National Communication*, 1997; *Third National Communication*, 2001) for the 1990 - 1995 and 1990 – 1999 period, respectively.

This report includes GHG emission inventory in the Czech Republic for the year 2002 in relation to the preceding period, especially to the reference year 1990. The greatest attention is focused on direct greenhouse gases regulated by the *Kyoto Protocol* - CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs and SF₆. In addition, the precursors of greenhouse gases and aerosols (NO_x, CO, NMVOCs, SO₂) are also monitored. Similar to previous years, inventories of emissions and removals of greenhouse gases were prepared according to the IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997; *Good Practice Guidance*, 2000); application of this general methodology on country specific circumstances will be described in following paragraphs.

This version of NIR represents the third volume, which is also available in English (since the 2002 submission). Previous reports were written only in Czech. The last two issues were compiled according to the *UNFCCC Reporting Guidelines* (FCCC/CP/1997/7). In this volume the authors have started to apply the updated *Reporting Guidelines* (FCCC/CP/2008/8) but, because of lack of time, only general chapters were adapted following the new requirements, while the majority of sectoral chapters were modified only partly and will be rewritten next year.

Since 1998, inventory data have been reported in *Common Reporting Format*. Moreover, data for some previous years (1990, 1992, 1994) were also converted to this format. This effort will continue to obtain complete time series in the prescribed CRF.

1.2 Institutional Arrangement for Inventory Preparation

The *Czech Hydrometeorological institute* (CHMI), under the supervision of the *Czech Ministry of the Environment* (MoE), is responsible for preparation of the national inventory. The National system, as required by the *Kyoto Protocol* (article 5.1) and by Decision No 280/2004/EC, which requires allocation of sectoral responsibilities to highly competent co-operating institutions, has not been entirely set up yet. It will be established in cooperation with MoE in the forthcoming period. Existing practice in national inventories can be summarized as follows:

CHMI is responsible for inventory management and thus it deals with all general and crosscutting issues including the choice of methods, data processing and data storage and archiving relevant documents. Owing to the lack of suitable sectoral co-operators, it also provides collecting activity data and emissions factors where necessary.

Its main participant is KONEKO, which directly participates in the national inventory preparation in Energy, including fugitive emission and also in some industrial processes. The Center for

Environment (COZP) of Charles University is responsible for compilation of inventories from the Waste sector.

CHMI, as a managing organization, is looking for further co-operators. Preliminarily, the following candidates are considered for future co-operation: IFER for the LUCF sector and CDV for compiling emissions from mobile sources. In addition, a number of external experts from universities and research institutes are also involved in the inventory preparation as consultants.

1.3 Process of Inventory Preparation (data collection, data processing, data storage)

1.3.1 Activity Data Collection

Collection of activity data is based mainly on the official documents of the Czech Statistical Office, which are published annually, where the Czech Statistical Yearbook is the most representative example. However for industrial processes, due to the Czech Act on Statistics, production data are not generally available when there are less than 4 enterprises in the whole country. In such cases, inventory compilers have to rely either on specific statistical materials edited by sectoral associations or, in some cases, inventory experts have to carry out relevant inquiries. In a few cases, the Czech register of individual sources and emissions called REZZO is utilized as source of activity data.

Emissions estimates from Sector 1A – Energy combustion are based on the official Czech Energy Balance, compiled by the Czech Statistical Office. Data from the Czech Energy balance are processed both in the Reference Approach (TPES – primary sources data are used) and in the Sectoral Approach (data for fuel transformations and final consumptions). However, in the latter case, some additional data are required (e.g. transportation statistics data).

1.3.2 Data Processing and Storage

Data Sector 1A – Energy combustion are processed by the system of interconnected spreadsheets, compiled in MS Excel following “Worksheets” presented in IPCC *Guidelines*, Vol. 2. *Workbook*. The system is extended by incorporating sheets with modified energy balance: these sheets represent an input data system.

Also, in the majority of other sectors, data are processed in a similar way – by using a system of joined spreadsheets taken from the *Workbook* and slightly modified in order to respect national circumstances. The following examples of such cases of processing can be mentioned: agriculture, waste, fugitive emissions. On the other hand, in some cases, e.g. for solvent use, such a system is not as efficient and thus it is substituted by spreadsheets inspired by the CORINAIR methodology. For LUCF, a specific spreadsheet system is used, respecting the national methodology. This system was developed in the middle of the 1990s and extended slightly a few years ago by including trees along rivers. All spreadsheets mentioned above are stored electronically.

After calculations, all relevant data are put into the *Common Reporting Format* to be reported and to be stored together with detailed calculation spreadsheets.

1.4 Methodologies and Data Sources

1.4.1 Overview

The IPCC methodology has been prepared for the purpose to compile national inventories of anthropogenic GHG emissions and removals. Its first version was published in 1995. However, it was reviewed soon afterwards, so that the second version has been in use since 1997 (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997).

Methodology is related to greenhouse gases with direct radiation absorption effect (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, substances with increased radiation absorption effect containing fluorine HFCs, PFCs and SF₆, precursors of tropospheric ozone NO_x, NMVOCs, CO, and aerosol precursor SO₂). It highlights CO₂ emissions as the most important greenhouse gas. The only anthropogenic sources according to the IPCC methodology is fossil fuels combustion and, to some extent, also cement production, possibly also limestone and other carbonate minerals decomposition (e.g. melting of glass, liming of soil, lime-based sulfur removal, etc.), unless subsequent sinks compensate these.

The combustion of fossil fuels in stationary and mobile sources usually constitutes the best-known group of sources in most countries. Two IPCC methods are prescribed for the determination of CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion; independent approaches are based to a certain degree on the national energy balance. A simpler procedure (Reference Approach), basically determines the total amount of burned carbon on the basis of the balance calculation of apparent consumption of individual types of fuel (e.g. hard coal, petroleum, petrol, natural gas) for which the inventory is prepared (i.e. mining + imports - exports - change in stocks). This information is expressed in energy units (TJ) in the energy balance. The necessary emission factors for carbon (t C/TJ) for the individual kinds of fuel are listed in the methodical materials and are sufficiently accurate.

The second method (Sectoral Approach) is based on the actual fuel consumption in individual categories (e.g. energy production, industry, transportation). The calculation using these two methods requires different items in the energy balance. The Reference Approach is based on primary sources, while the Sectoral Approach is based on transformation processes and final consumption. Both methods also take into account that a smaller part of the fuel is utilized for purposes other than energy production (e.g. lubricating oils, asphalt). For other fuels, it is assumed that almost all the carbon is burned to form carbon dioxide and a small correction is made for unburned carbon. The Reference Approach is very transparent and thus is used especially for control purposes. On the other hand, it does not permit determination of source category of in which the emissions of carbon dioxide are generated and thus the Sectoral Approach has tended to be preferred recently. However, sufficiently reliable energy statistics are required for good quality inventories. The difference in the results for carbon dioxide emissions for the two methods should not exceed 2 %.

Another source, or rather sink of CO₂, is related to Land Use Change and Forestry and it is associated, in particular, with felling or planting forests; the amount of carbon contained in felled trees is considered to correspond to emissions and, to the contrary, the amount of carbon contained in growing wood is considered as a sink. In this approach, any other CO₂ emissions formed, e.g., in burning or aerobic decay of wood or other biomass is not included in the overall emission balance.

Due to character of the most important CH₄ and N₂O sources, like coal mining, animal breeding, landfills and wastewater handling (CH₄), agricultural soils, management of animal waste, production of nitric acid, fluid-bed and local combustion, automobiles with catalyzers (N₂O), the most accurate method to determine emissions (e.g. continuous direct measurement) can be used only exceptionally. Therefore, calculations are based on monitoring of the relevant statistical indicators (coal mining, number of head of farm animals, amount of nitric acid produced, amount of nitrogenous fertilizers employed, etc.) and application of relevant emission factors is a part of emission calculations. Depending on the complexity of the calculation and types of emission factors used (generally recommended - *default*, country-specific, site-specific and technology-specific), the approaches described in the IPCC methodology are separated into three tiers.

The Tier 1 is typically characterized by simpler calculations, based on the basic statistical indicators and on the use of generally recommended emission factors (*default*) of global or continental applicability, tabulated directly in methodical manuals (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997; Good Practice Guidance, 2000*).

The Tier 2 is based on sophisticated calculation and usually requires more detailed and less accessible statistical data. The emission factors (country-specific or technology-specific) are usually derived using calculations based on more complex studies and better knowledge of the source. Even in these cases, it is sometimes possible to find the necessary parameters for the calculation in IPCC manuals. Procedures in the Tier 3 are usually considered to consist in procedures based on the results of direct measurements carried out under local conditions (site-specific and technology-specific emission factors).

Apparently, procedures in higher tiers should be more accurate and should better reflect the reality. However, they are more demanding in all aspects, and especially they are more expensive. Nonetheless, the determination of emissions according to a procedure in the Tier 1 should always be carried out at least for control, because of its higher transparency.

All GHG emissions can be also expressed in terms of total (or aggregated) values, which are calculated as a sum of the emissions of the individual gases multiplied by Global Warming Potential

values (GWP). GWP correspond to the factor by which the given gas is more effective in absorption of terrestrial radiation than CO₂ (1 for CO₂, 21 for CH₄ and 310 for N₂O). Total amount of F-gases is relatively small compared to CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O; nevertheless their GWP values are larger by 2-4 orders of magnitude. So, total aggregated emissions to be reduced according to the *Kyoto Protocol* are expressed as the equivalent amount of CO₂ with the same radiation absorption effect as the sum of the individual gases.

1.4.2 Good Practice Guidance and its Implementation in the Czech Inventory

Increased compliance requirements related to the *Kyoto Protocol* were basis for further improvement of existing IPCC methodology to assure higher level of inventory quality and adequate reduction of inventory uncertainties. Therefore, the new methodological handbook was prepared by the IPCC, entitled as *Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (in following text it will be referred as the *Good Practice Guidance*). This methodical handbook is understood as a supplement to the *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*. Its main aim is to assist Parties in preparing their inventories to assure that emission estimates are neither overestimated nor underestimated (wherever possible), and uncertainty in determining of emissions is reduced as much as possible. Implementation of *Good Practice Guidance* in preparation of national inventory improves its transparency, consistency and completeness and it is good basis for an evaluation of levels and trends in uncertainties, verifiability (QC/QA mechanisms) and inventory comparison with other Parties.

In the framework of the *Good Practice Guidance*, rules have also been created for reporting results and documenting procedures in the given category and also enabling effective control and revision of inventories both by the preparing team - QC (Quality Control), and by an independent audit - QA (Quality Assurance).

In relation to general methodological aspects, attention should be made particularly of quantification of uncertainty in the individual year and in the overall trend. Simultaneously, consideration is given to cases of inaccuracies in the individual categories of sources, which is described either by the statistical parameters or at least on the basis of an expert judgment. The uncertainty in the total emissions or its trend can be determined in the Tier 1 using the method of error propagation, based on mathematical statistical relationships for calculation of the scattering of the sum or product from the corresponding scatters of the individual terms. Model methods of the Monte-Carlo type are more sophisticated and can be used for the Tier 2.

From a practical viewpoint, identification of *key sources* is of great importance. These sources contribute to a decisive degree to the total amount of emissions or to its uncertainty, both in the individual year and in terms of trends. Considerably more attention should be paid to *key sources* and their categories, compared to the remaining sources or categories. This means that, where possible, more sophisticated procedures at a higher tier should be used for determining emissions from *key sources*, using site-specific or at least national emission factor values. However, this is often not possible in the absence of expenditure of financial means required to ensure carrying out suitable studies and the relevant measurements. Any means employed to improve the quality of the inventory should be expended in the most effective manner possible and should be preferentially oriented to *key sources*.

One of the most important *Good Practice* issues consists in ensuring consistent time series. In order to achieve this goal, it is necessary to ensure that the entire time series is determined in a methodologically consistent manner. In case of revision of the methodology and its further development, it is sometimes necessary to recalculate the values for previous years if the emission values for these years were determined using an older, obsolete version. Recalculation must sometimes also be carried out when an error is found in earlier calculations or in the use of an unsuitable method.

The Czech national inventory is generally based on the IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997). Results determined earlier by older version of IPCC Guidelines from 1995 (*IPCC Guidelines*, 1995) were retained if no methodological change was involved, or had already been recalculated (e.g. CH₄ emissions from coal mining) previously or were recalculated recently (e.g. N₂O

emissions, CH₄ emissions from waste). In fact, the latter two revisions reflect *Good Practice Guidance* (recalculation of N₂O emissions for the years 1991, 1993 and 1995 has not yet been completed).

In GHG emission inventories for 2000 – 2002 instructions from *Good Practice Guidance* were gradually implemented. Attention was focused particularly on identification of *key sources*, which will be targeted throughout the entire process. Emphasis is also placed on consistency of the time series.

On the other hand, in preparing this inventory, somewhat less attention was paid to emissions of the precursors NO_x, CO, NMVOCs and SO₂, which are covered primarily by *Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution* (CLRTAP) and are not directly related to the Kyoto Protocol. Their inventories are compiled for the purposes of CLRTAP by NFR (*New Format of Reporting*) by another team at CHMI. Since 2001, emissions of precursors in the GHG inventory (CRF) have been fully taken over and transferred from NFR.

It is also necessary to point out that *Good Practice Guidance* for the category Land Use Change and Forestry has only recently prepared approved by COP on December 2003 and so has not been applied yet. At the present time, only the methodical instructions given in (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) have been employed.

As part of *Good Practice Guidance* implementation and as a response to review processes performed by UNFCCC, it was decided to re-classify emissions from the production of iron and steel. To date, these emissions have been treated under sub-category 1A2 (to be compatible with the *Reference Approach*); starting with the year 2001, these emissions are classified under 2C1 (Metal industry, Production of iron and steel). However, the corresponding recalculation of the whole time series since the reference year 1990 will not be carried out until next year.

In this edition of NIR, uncertainty analysis using Tier 1 approach has been also commenced. This analysis should be considered as only preliminary, because uncertainty data have not been too perfect so far. However, uncertainty data will be gradually improved and as a consequence of this fact quality of uncertainty analysis should be strengthened as well.

1.5 Key Source Categories

The *Good Practice Guidance* provides two tiers of determining these *key sources*. *Key sources* by definition contribute to ninety percent of the overall uncertainty in a level (in emissions per year) or in a trend. The procedure in the Tier 2 follows from this definition, and requires thorough analysis of the uncertainty and use of sophisticated statistical procedures and evaluation of sources in terms of the appropriate characteristics. However, it is more difficult to obtain the necessary data for this approach and this information is not yet available on the national level.

The procedure of the Tier 1 is based on the fact that ninety percent of the overall uncertainty in a level or in a trend is usually caused only by those sources whose contribution to total emissions does not exceed 95 %. This procedure is illustrated in Tab. 1.1 (determined on the basis of the level of emissions, i.e., level assessment) and Tab. 1.2 (determined on the basis of trends, trend assessment). The sources or their categories are for level assessment ordered on the basis of decreasing contribution to total emissions. The *key sources* were considered to be those (denoted in bold) whose cumulative contribution is less than 95 %. For trend assessment, a similar procedure is used; with the difference that here the decisive quantity is defined as the product of the relative contribution to the total emissions (determined in the previous case) and the absolute value of the relative deviation of the individual trends from the total trend.

On the basis of the emission level (Tab. 1.1), a total of 13 *key sources* were established (denoted in bold) for the data of the year 2001, where trend analysis (Tab. 1.2) led to inclusion of substances containing N₂O from road transport, whose values increased, in contrast to the overall trend. Analogous key source analyses for years 1999 and 2000 are presented in former NIRs, see (Fott *at al*, 2002; Fott *at al*, 2003). It can be concluded that the key source assessment in the latest years are quite stable.

Tab. 2.1 Determining National Key Source Categories for 2001 (Tier 1 – Level assessment)

Sources / categories of sources	Gas	Emission Gg CO _{2eq.}	Share %	Cumulative %
Energy: Stationary Combustion - Solid (CO₂)	CO₂	81 287	54.9	54.9
Energy: Stationary Combustion - Gas (CO₂)	CO₂	19 132	12.9	67.8
Energy: Mobile Combustion – Road	CO₂	11 286	7.6	75.4
Energy: Stationary Combustion - Liquid (CO₂)	CO₂	9 400	6.3	81.8
Energy: Fugitive Emissions - Coal Mining (CH₄)	CH₄	5 139	3.5	85.3
Agriculture: Direct Emissions N₂O from Soils	N₂O	2 890	2.0	87.2
Industrial: Iron and steel (CO₂)	CO₂	2 524	1.7	88.9
Industrial: Mineral Products - decarbonizing (CO₂)	CO₂	2 000	1.4	90.3
Agriculture: Indirect Emissions N₂O from Agriculture	N₂O	1 912	1.3	91.6
Agriculture: Enteric Fermentation (CH₄)	CH₄	1 699	1.1	92.7
Waste: Landfills (CH₄)	CH₄	1 543	1.0	93.7
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Off Road incl. Waters	CO₂	1 481	1.0	94.7
Industrial: Usage of New Gases	F-gases	1 283	0.9	95.6
<i>Industrial: Nitric Acid (N₂O)³</i>	<i>N₂O</i>	<i>1 113</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>96.4</i>
Energy: Stationary Combustion	N ₂ O	988	0.7	97.0
Waste + Solvent Use: Waste Incineration + SU	CO ₂	674	0.5	97.5
Agriculture: Manure Management (CH ₄)	CH ₄	673	0.5	97.9
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Aircraft	CO ₂	570	0.4	98.3
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Road	N ₂ O	539	0.4	98.7
Waste: Wastewater Handling	CH ₄	522	0.4	99.0
Energy: Fugitive Emissions - Oil and Gas (CH ₄)	CH ₄	489	0.3	99.4
Agriculture: Manure Management (N ₂ O)	N ₂ O	418	0.3	99.6
Energy: Stationary Combustion	CH ₄	247	0.2	99.8
Waste: Wastewater Handling	N ₂ O	202	0.1	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Road	CH ₄	42	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Off Road incl. Waters	N ₂ O	18	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Off Road incl. Waters	CH ₄	6	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Aircraft	N ₂ O	4	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Aircraft	CH ₄	3	0.0	100.0

³ Nitric acid production was evaluated as a key source last years

Tab. 2.2 Determining National Key Source Categories (Tier 1 – Trend assessment)

Sources / categories of sources	Gas	Emiss. 90 Gg CO ₂ eq.	Emiss. 01 Gg CO ₂ eq.	Level %	Trend %	Part %	Cum. %
Energy: Stationary Combustion - Solid (CO₂)	CO ₂	124 441	83 811	56.6	10.5	33.0	33.0
Energy: Stationary Combustion - Gas (CO₂)	CO ₂	12 933	19 132	12.9	8.0	25.2	58.1
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Road	CO ₂	5 995	11 286	7.6	5.8	18.3	76.4
Energy: Stationary Combustion - Liquid (CO₂)	CO ₂	14 407	9 400	6.3	1.5	4.7	81.1
Industrial: Usage of New Gases	F-gases	0	1 283	0.9	1.1	3.5	84.6
Agriculture: Enteric Fermentation (CH₄)	CH ₄	3 271	1 699	1.1	0.7	2.3	86.9
Energy: Fugitive Emissions - Coal Mining (CH₄)	CH ₄	7 600	5 139	3.5	0.6	2.0	88.8
Energy: Stationary Combustion	CH ₄	1 174	247	0.2	0.6	1.8	90.6
Industrial: Mineral Products - decarbonizing (CO₂)	CO ₂	3 380	2 000	1.4	0.5	1.7	92.3
Agriculture: Direct Emissions N₂O from Soils	N ₂ O	4 529	2 890	2.0	0.5	1.6	93.9
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Road	N ₂ O	71	539	0.4	0.4	1.3	95.2
Agriculture: Indirect Emissions N ₂ O from Agriculture	N ₂ O	3 041	1 912	1.3	0.4	1.2	96.4
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Off Road incl. Waters	CO ₂	2 304	1 481	1.0	0.3	0.8	97.2
Waste + Solvent Use: Waste Incineration + SU	CO ₂	530	674	0.5	0.2	0.7	98.0
Industrial: Nitric Acid (N ₂ O)	N ₂ O	1 125	1 113	0.8	0.2	0.7	98.6
Waste: Wastewater Handling	CH ₄	826	522	0.4	0.1	0.3	98.9
Agriculture: Manure Management (CH ₄)	CH ₄	1 017	673	0.5	0.1	0.3	99.2
Agriculture: Manure Management (N ₂ O)	N ₂ O	663	418	0.3	0.1	0.3	99.5
Waste: Wastewater Handling	N ₂ O	202	202	0.1	0.0	0.1	99.6
Waste: Landfills (CH ₄)	CH ₄	1 957	1 543	1.0	0.0	0.1	99.7
Energy: Fugitive Emissions - Oil and Gas (CH ₄)	CH ₄	676	489	0.3	0.0	0.1	99.8
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Aircraft	CO ₂	699	570	0.4	0.0	0.1	99.9
Energy: Stationary Combustion	N ₂ O	1 321	988	0.7	0.0	0.1	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Off Road incl. Waters	CH ₄	12	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Road	CH ₄	59	42	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Off Road incl. Waters	N ₂ O	25	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Aircraft	N ₂ O	6	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Energy: Mobile Combustion - Aircraft	CH ₄	4	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0

1.6 Uncertainty Analysis

Results of uncertainty analysis are given in Table 1.3

Table 1.3 Tier 1 uncertainty analysis in level and trend for year 2001

Input DATA						Uncertainty in Level		Un. in trend
IPCC Source Category	Gas	Base year emissions (1990)	Year emissions (2001)	Activity data uncertainty	Emission factor uncertainty	Combined uncertainty	Combined uncertainty as % of total national emissions in year t	Uncertainty introduced into the trend in total national emissions
		Gg CO _{2eq.}		%	%	%	%	%
1A Energy: Stac. Comb. - Solid, KEY(L,T)	CO ₂	124 441	83 810	4.0	4.0	5.66	3.21	2.49
1A Energy: Stac. Comb. - Liquid, KEY(L,T)	CO ₂	14 407	9 400	4.0	3.0	5.00	0.32	0.28
1A Energy: Stac. Comb. - Gas, KEY(L,T)	CO ₂	12 933	19 132	4.0	3.0	5.00	0.65	0.58
1A Energy: Mob. Comb. - Road, KEY(L,T)	CO ₂	5 995	11 286	4.0	3.0	5.00	0.38	0.35
1A Energy: Mob. Comb. - Off Road, KEY (L)	CO ₂	2 304	1 481	4.0	3.0	5.00	0.05	0.04
2 Industrial: Mineral Products, KEY(L,T)	CO ₂	3 380	2 000	5.0	25.0	25.50	0.35	0.11
3,6 Solvent Use + Waste incineration	CO ₂	530	674	20.0	5.0	20.62	0.09	0.10
1A Energy: Stacionary Combustion	CH ₄	1 174	248	4.0	50.0	50.16	0.08	0.17
1A Energy: Mob. Comb. - Road	CH ₄	59	42	4.0	50.0	50.16	0.01	0.00
1B Energy: Fugitive - Coal Mining, KEY (L,T)	CH ₄	7 600	5 140	4.0	40.0	40.20	1.40	0.21
1B Energy: Fugitive - Oil and Gas	CH ₄	676	489	4.0	30.0	30.27	0.10	0.02
4 Agriculture: Enteric Ferm., KEY(L,T)	CH ₄	3 271	1 699	7.0	40.0	40.61	0.47	0.19
4 Agriculture: Manure Man.	CH ₄	1 017	673	7.0	60.0	60.41	0.28	0.05
6 Waste: Landfiles, KEY(L)	CH ₄	1 957	1 543	25.0	40.0	47.17	0.49	0.28
6 Waste: Wastewater Handling	CH ₄	826	522	50.0	50.0	70.71	0.25	0.19
1A Energy: Stacionary Combustion	N ₂ O	1 321	988	4.0	80.0	80.10	0.54	0.03
1A Energy: Mob. Comb. - Road, KEY(T)	N ₂ O	71	539	4.0	80.0	80.10	0.29	0.20
2 Industrial: Nitric Acid, etc., KEY(L)	N ₂ O	1 125	1 113	15.0	30.0	33.54	0.25	0.13
4 Agriculture: Direct N2O from Soils, KEY(L,T)	N ₂ O	4 529	2 890	15.0	250.0	250.45	4.91	0.84
4 Agriculture: Indirect N2O from Agriculture, KEY (L)	N ₂ O	3 041	1 912	15.0	250.0	250.45	3.25	0.60
4 Agriculture: Manure Man.	N ₂ O	663	418	7.0	250.0	250.10	0.71	0.12
6 Waste: Wastewater Handling	N ₂ O	202	202	20.0	50.0	53.85	0.07	0.03
2 Usage of New Gases, KEY (T)	HFCs PFCs SF ₆	0	1 283	25.0	1.0	25.02	0.22	0.24
	Total	191 521	147 480				7.02	2.86
							Level uncert.	Trend uncert.

Uncertainty analysis of Tier 1 is presented for the first time in this volume of NIR. Preparatory work on this task was commenced two years ago, when the relevant calculation sheets were elaborated according to the *Good Practice Guidance*. The correctness of calculations procedures were tested and verified using model calculations for the United Kingdom, because this case is presented directly in the *Good Practice Guidance* as an example for illustration of described calculation procedure.

In this NIR, spreadsheets prepared earlier have been employed for evaluation of uncertainties in the Czech Republic. So far, rather in-exact results have been available, based only on “default” uncertainty data presented in Good Practice Guidance, combined with uncertainties based on “expert judgment”. To achieve more reliable results, it will be necessary to gather more relevant uncertainty

data concerning both activity data and emission factors. As soon as more precise uncertainty estimates appear, they will be immediately inserted in the calculation spreadsheet.

Relatively low uncertainty in level (7 %) could be connected with a dominant contribution of CO₂ from fossil fuel combustion, which is usually more accurate compared with other sources. The value of 2.8 % in the trend uncertainty can be considered to be a typical result.

The same source categories used in key sources assessment have also been used even in uncertainty analysis. In this way, the uncertainty analysis result will be used later for Tier 2 key source analysis, which might be more suitable. It should be noted that the “Iron and steel (CO₂)” subcategory is in this uncertainty analysis kept together with “Stationary combustion – Solid (CO₂)”, because of the relevant splitting of the two subcategories is so far available only for data for 2001 and onwards

1.7 QA/QC

The Czech Republic has not implemented a general QA/QC or verification plan. Nevertheless, several checks have been formalized. In general terms, these include:

- A stepwise procedure in the preparation of the final national inventory, including recalculation to ensure time series consistency
- Checking of the data and relevant information collected by co-operating institutions (KONEKO, COZP and external experts by experts from CHMI and *vice versa* and follow up of discrepancies)
- Quality control of the emission estimates through comparison with corresponding figures calculated earlier and consistency check for subsequent years.

Sector specific QA/QC procedures are described in the sectoral chapters.

2 Trend in Total Emissions

According to the Kyoto Protocol, Czech national GHG emissions have to be 8 % below base year emissions during the five-year commitment period from 2008 to 2012. There is also the national target to reduce GHG emissions in 2005 by 20% compared to 1990, approved by Government in 2001. The Czech Republic is in a good direction to meet its goal.

2.1 Emission Trends of Aggregated GHG Emissions

Tab. 2.1 presents a summary of GHG emissions excl. bunkers for the period from 1990 to 2002 and for years, which have been converted into CFR format. To get a general overview on trends, in Tab. 2.2 are presented all data, including data not converted into CRF by now.

For CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O the base year is 1990; for F-gases the base year is 1995.

Tab. 2.1 GHG emissions from 1990-2002 [Gg CO_{2eq.}] excl. bunkers

	1990	1992	1994 ⁴	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	% ⁵
CO _{2 total} ⁶	161 862	133 252	125 945	128 294	132 718	124 511	117 692	123 886	123 633	118 566	-26.7
CO _{2 total} ⁷	163 990	139 789	130 626	132 780	137 357	128 268	121 093	127 902	127 996	123 058	-25.0
CO _{2 LUCF} ⁸	-2 128	-6 537	-4 681	-4 486	-4 639	-3 757	-3 401	-4 016	-4 363	-4 492	111.1
CH ₄	16 763	14 390	13 008	12 594	12 085	11 421	10 691	10 714	10 485	10 400	-38.0
N ₂ O	11 266	9 227	8 275	9 211	8 811	8 390	8 111	8 175	8 291	8 174	-27.4
HFCs	-	-	2.2	135	296	382	412	674	1 045	1 092	494-times
PFCs	-	-	0.4	4	7	9	3	9	14	18	51-times
SF ₆	-	-	166.8	183	323	132	111	206	223	212	1.3-times
Total emissions incl. LUCF	189 891	156 869	147 229	150 421	154 240	144 844	137 020	143 665	143 692	138 463	-27.1
Total emissions excl. LUCF	192 019	163 406	151 910	154 907	158 879	148 602	140 421	147 681	148 056	142 955	-25.6
Bunkers	627	483	289	462	409	231	547	349	446	505	-19.5

Note: Global warming potentials (GWPs) used (100 years time horizon): CO₂ = 1; CH₄ = 21; N₂O = 310; SF₆ = 23900; HFCs and PFCs consist of different substances, therefore GWPs have to be calculated individually depending on substances

Tab. 2.2 GHG emissions from 1990-2002 [Gg CO_{2eq.}] incl. bunkers and LUCF

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
CO ₂	162 479	148 115	133 727	129 208	126 229	123 362	128 753	133 125	124 735	118 231	124 229	124 072	119 063
CH ₄	16 767	14 926	14 393	13 287	13 010	12 589	12 598	12 088	11 422	10 694	10 716	10 488	10 403
N ₂ O	11 272	7 264	9 232	6 573	8 278	6 698	9 211	8 811	8 395	8 116	8 179	8 296	8 179
HFCs			-			2	135	296	382	412	674	1 045	1 092
PFCs			-			0	4	7	9	3	9	14	18
SF ₆			-			167	183	323	132	111	206	223	212
Total	190 518	170 304	157 352	149 068	147 517	142 819	150 883	154 650	145 075	137 567	144 014	144 139	138 967

⁴ HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ data for 1995

⁵ per cent relative to 1990


⁶ CO₂ emissions incl. LUCF sector

⁷ CO₂ emissions excl. LUCF sector

⁸ CO₂ emissions from LUCF sector

GHG emissions in have been fluctuating since 1993; nevertheless the overall trend in the period of 1990 to 2002 has been decreasing (see Fig. 2.1) by 25,6 %. From 2001 to 2002 the total GHG emissions (incl. LUCF) decreased by 3,6 %.

Fig. 2.1 Total GHG emissions (incl. LUCF) for the period from 1990-2002 (excl. bunkers)



As can be seen from Fig. 2.1 there has been a strong decrease in total (incl. LULUCF) emissions from 1990 to 1994 (22.5 %), followed by some fluctuations in the following years, but the overall trends has been slightly decreasing (6.0 %) between 1994 and 2002. In the period from 1994 to 2002 emissions fluctuated with maximum in 1997 and 2000-2001 and minimum in 1995, 1999 (the lowest emissions since 1990) and 2002. As mentioned above, from 2001 to 2002 emissions decreased by 3.6 %, resulting in total emissions of 138 463 Gg CO_{2eq.} in 2002 (incl. LUCF). The drop off was caused mainly by CO₂ emission reduction by 3.9 % between 2001 and 2002 and also N₂O and CH₄ reduction by 1.4 % and 0.8 %, resp. The total GHG emissions in 2002 were 27.1 % below the base year level.

2.2 Emission Trends by Gas

Tab. 2.3 presents the GHG emissions of the base year and 2002 and their share in total.



Tab. 2.3 GHG emissions by gas in the base year and in 2002

	Base year	2002	Base year	2002
	Gg CO _{2eq.}		%	
CO ₂ emissions	163 990	123 058	86.3	88.9
CO ₂ removals	-2 128	-4 492	-1.1	-3.2
CO ₂ Total	161 862	118 566	85.2	85.6
CH ₄	16 763	10 400	8.8	7.5
N ₂ O	11 266	8 174	5.9	5.9
F-Gases	169	1 322	0.1	0.9
Total (incl. LUCF)	190 060	138 462	100	100

The major greenhouse gas in the Czech Republic is CO₂, which represents 85.6 % of total GHG emissions in 2002, compared to 85.2 % in the base year. It is followed by CH₄ (7.5 % in 2002, 8.8 % in the base year), N₂O (5.9 % in 2002, 5.9 % in the base year) and F-gases (0.9 % in 2002, 0.1 % in the base year).

The trend of individual gas emissions is presented in Fig. 2.2 and 2.3 relative to emissions in the respective base years ⁹.

⁹ (index form: 1990 = 100 for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O and 1995 = 100 for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆)

Fig. 2.2 Trend in CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O emissions 1990 - 2002 in index form (base year = 100%)

Fig. 2.3 Trend in HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ emissions 1995 - 2002 in index form (base year = 100%)


CO₂

CO₂ emissions have been strongly decreasing in the beginning of 90's, followed by small inter-annual fluctuations. Decrease in CO₂ emissions (excl. LUCF) from 2001 to 2002 by 4.1 % contributed to a total decrease of 25.0 % from 1990 to 2002 (26.8 % decrease incl. LUCF). Quoting in absolute figures, CO₂ emissions decreased from 163 990 to 123 058 Gg Gg CO_{2eq.} (see Tab. 2.3) in the period

from 1990 to 2002, mainly due to lower emissions from the *Energy* sector (mainly *Manufacturing Industries & Construction, Commercial/Institutional & Residential*).

The main source of CO₂ emissions is fossil fuel combustion; within the *Fuel Combustion* sector, *Energy Industry* and *Manufacturing Industries & Construction* sub sectors are the most important.

CH₄

CH₄ emissions decreased steadily during the period from 1990 to 2002, from 16 763 to 10 400 Gg CO_{2eq}. (see Tab. 2.3). In 2002 CH₄ emissions were 38.0 % below the base year level, mainly due to lower contribution of *Fugitive Emissions from Fuels* and emissions from *Agriculture*.

The main sources of CH₄ emissions are *Fugitive Emissions from Fuels* (solid fuel), *Agriculture* (*Enteric Fermentation* and *Manure Management*) and *Waste* (*Landfills* and *Wastewater Handling*).

N₂O

N₂O emissions strongly decreased from 1990 to 1994 by 26.6 % over this period and than fluctuated with maximum value in 1997. N₂O emissions decreased between 1990 and 2002 from 11 266 to 8 175 Gg CO_{2eq}. In 2002 N₂O emissions were 27.4 % below the base year level, mainly due to lower emissions from *Agriculture*.

The main source of N₂O emission is agricultural soils (others less important sources are *Fossil Fuel Combustion* and *Industry Processing*).

HFCs

HFCs emissions increased remarkably between 1995 and 2002 from 2 to 1 092 Gg CO_{2eq}. In 2002, HFCs emissions are almost 500 times higher than in the base year 1995.

The main sources of HFCs emissions are *Refrigeration* and *Air Conditioning Equipment*.

PFCs

PFCs emissions show very similar trend as HFCs emissions. They increased remarkably between 1995 and 2002 from 0.35 to 17.91 Gg CO_{2eq}. In 2002, PFCs emissions are more than 50 times higher than in the base year 1995.

The main sources of PFCs emissions are *Semiconductor Manufacture* and *Refrigeration*.

SF₆

SF₆ emissions in 1995 amounted for 167 Gg CO_{2eq}. Between 1995 and 2002 they inter annually fluctuated with maximum of 323 Gg CO_{2eq} in 1997 and minimum of 111 Gg CO_{2eq} in 1999; in 2002 SF₆ emissions amounted for 212 Gg CO_{2eq} and they were by 27 % above the base year level.

The main sources of SF₆ emissions are electrical equipment; *Semiconductor Manufacture* and *Filling of Insulate Glasses*.

2.3 Emission Trends by Sources

Tab. 2.4 presents a summary of GHG emissions by sectors for the period from 1990 to 2002:

Sector 1: Energy

Sector 2: Industrial Processes

Sector 3: Solvent and Other Product Use

Sector 4: Agriculture

Sector 5: Land-Use Change and Forestry

Sector 6: Waste


Tab. 2.4 Summary of GHG emissions by sector 1990–2002 [Gg CO_{2eq.}]

Sector	1990	1992	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
1	171 018	145 150	135 779	137 909	142 428	132 666	125 274	132 472	130 265	125 303
2	4 708	4 316	3 849	3 936	4 323	4 465	3 968	4 336	6 991	6 798
3	734	671	596	662	522	566	551	549	531	531
4	12 521	9 934	8 459	9 188	8 681	7 933	7 844	7 542	7 592	7 431
5	-2 073	-6 511	-4 640	-4 437	-4 591	-3 710	-3 346	-3 966	-4 308	-4 435
6	2 983	3 307	3 186	3 163	2 878	2 924	2 729	2 731	2 621	2 835

The dominant sector is the *Energy* sector, which caused for 90.5 % of total GHG emissions in 2002 (90.1 % in 1990), followed by the sectors *Agriculture* and *Industrial Processes*, which caused for 5.4 % and 4.9 % of total GHG emissions in 2002, resp. (6.6 % and 2.5 % in 1990, resp.) *Waste* sector covered 2.1 %, *Solvent and Other Product Use* 0.4 and *LUCF* – 3.2 % in 2002.

Important reason for percentage change (approximately 2 %) is re-categorization of emissions from iron and steels production from the *Energy* sector to *Industrial Processes* category (adopted since the inventory for 2001).

The trend of GHG emissions by sectors is presented in Fig. 2.4 (relative to the base year).

Fig. 2.4 Emission trends in 1990-2002 by sectors in index form (base year = 100)

Tab. 2.5 GHG emissions by sectors in the base year and in 2002

	Base year	2002	Base year	2002
	Gg CO _{2eq.}		%	
Energy	171 018	125 303	89.0	90.5
Industry	4 877	6 798	2.6	4.5
Solvent	734	531	0.4	0.5
Agriculture	12 521	7 431	6.6	5.5
LULUCF	-2 073	-4 435	-1.1	-3.5
Waste	2 983	2 835	1.6	2.0
Total	190 060	138 463	100	100

Energy (IPCC Category 1)

The trend for GHG emissions from *Energy* sector shows decreasing emissions. They strongly decreased from 1990 to 1994 and then fluctuated by 2002. Emissions varied from around 125 000 (in 1999 and 2002) to 142 428 (in 1997) Gg CO_{2eq.}; nevertheless the overall declining trend could be observed between 1994 and 2002 (total decrease between 1990 and 2002 is 26.7 %).

95.2 % of emissions from this sector in 2002 originate from fossil fuel combustion, the rest are fugitive emissions (mainly solid fuels). *Fugitive Emissions* is the largest source for CH₄, which represented 52.6 % of all CH₄ emissions in 2002. 55.6 % of all CH₄ emissions in 2002 originated from *Energy* sector.

CO₂ emission from fossil fuel combustion is the main source of emissions. The most important source in 2002 was the *Energy* sector with a share of 89.1 % in national total emissions (excl. LUCF) or 90.1% incl. LUCF.

CO₂ contributes for 94.2 % to total GHG emissions from *Energy* sector, CH₄ for 4.6 % and N₂O for 1.2 %.

Industrial Processes (IPCC Category 2)

GHG emissions from the *Industrial Processes* sector ¹⁰ fluctuated during the period 1990 to 2002 (minimum in 1994 – 1996, 1999 and 2002, maximum in 1990, 1998 and 2001). The total decrease between 1990 and 2002 was 12.5 % (excl. *Metal Production*). In 2002 emissions amounted for 4 121 Gg CO_{2eq.} (6 798 Gg CO_{2eq.}, incl. *Metal Production*).

The main sources in the *Industrial Processes* sector are metal production (39.4 %), mineral products (26.4 %), use of F-gases (19.4 %), and chemical industry (nitric acid production) (14.8 %) of the sectoral emissions in 2002.

The most important GHG of the *Industrial Processes* sector was CO₂ with 64.9 % of total emissions, followed by HFCs (16.1 %), N₂O (14.7%), SF₆ (3.1 %), CH₄ (1.1 %) and PFCs (0.3 %).

Solvent and Other Product Use (IPCC Category 3)

In the year 2002, 0.4 % of total GHG emissions (531.4 Gg CO_{2eq.}) arose from the *Solvent and Other Product Use* sector. Emissions generally decreased in the period from 1990 to 2002 (in 1990 to 1994 emissions decreased by 18.7 % and then fluctuated). In 2002 GHG emissions from *Solvent and Other Product Use* were 27.6 % below the base year level. 59.6 % of these emissions were CO₂, N₂O emissions contributed by 40.4 %.

Agriculture (IPCC Category 4)

GHG emissions from the sector *Agriculture* decreased near over the all period from 1990 to 2002; in 2002 emissions were by 40.7 % below the base year level.

They amounted for 7 431 Gg CO_{2eq.} in 2002, which corresponds to the 5.2 % of national total. The most important sub sector agricultural soils (N₂O emissions) contributed by 65.0 % to sectoral total in 2002, followed by the enteric fermentation (CH₄ emissions, 21.4 %) and manure management (N₂O and CH₄ emissions, 13.6 %).

Agriculture is the largest source for N₂O and second largest source for CH₄ emissions: 63.8 % of all N₂O emissions and 21.3 % of all CH₄ emissions in 2002 originated from this sector. N₂O emissions amounted for 5 218.1 Gg CO_{2eq.}, which corresponds to 70.2 % of sectoral emissions, CH₄ contributed by 29.8 % (2 213.1 Gg CO_{2eq.}).

Land-Use Change and Forestry (IPCC Category 5)

GHG removals from the *Land-Use Change and Forestry* sector fluctuated in the first few years. Over the period from 1994 to 2002 removals are very close to 4 000 Gg CO₂; in 2002 removals were 114.0 % above the base year level.

Removals amounted to 4 435 Gg CO_{2eq.} in 2002, which corresponds to -3.2 % to national total emissions and removals. Emissions and removals are calculated from the sub category changes in forest and other woody biomass stocks and on-side burning of cleared forest.

¹⁰ since 2001 with emissions from metal production, which represented 2 587 in 2001 and 2 677 Gg CO₂ eq. in 2002

LUCF category is the largest sink for CO₂. CO₂ removals from this sector amounted to 4 435 Gg, CH₄ emissions amounted for 56.2 Gg CO_{2eq.}, N₂O to 0.6 Gg CO_{2eq.}

Waste (IPCC Category 6)

GHG emissions from *Waste* category fluctuated during the whole period. In the early 90', emissions increased with maximum 1992 followed by steady decrease by 2001. In 2002 emissions amounted for 2 835 Gg CO_{2eq.}, which is 5.0 % below the base year level. The decline of emissions is mainly due to lower emissions from managed waste disposal sites (and also from wastewater handling), which is the most important source as a result of CH₄ recovery systems installed at landfills. The share of this category in total emissions was 2.0 % in 2002.

The main source is solid waste disposal on land, which caused for 60.6 % of sectoral emissions in 2002, followed by wastewater handling (26.8 %) and waste incineration (12.6 %).

80.4 % of all emissions from *Waste* sector are CH₄ emissions; CO₂ contributes by 12.6 % and N₂O by 7.0 %.

2.4 Emission Trends of Indirect GHGs and SO₂

Emission estimates for NO_x, CO, NMVOC and SO₂ are also reported in the CRF. The following chapter summarizes the trends for these gases.

A detailed description of the methodology used to estimate these emissions will be provided in *Czech's Informative Inventory Report (IIR) 2003, Submission under the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention*, to be published by the end of 2004.


Tab. 2.6 presents a summary of emission estimates for indirect GHGs and SO₂ for the period from 1990 to 2002. The National Emission Ceilings (NEC) as set out in the 1999 *Gothenburg Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone* are also presented in Table 16. These reduction targets should be met by 2010 by Parties to the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention signed this Protocol.

Tab. 2.6 Emissions of indirect GHGs and SO₂ 1990-2002 [Gg]

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	NEC
NO _x	544	521	496	454	375	368	366	349	321	313	321	332	318	286
CO	1 257	1 179	1 170	1 103	1 125	999	1 012	944	765	716	648	649	546	-
VOC	441	394	366	346	310	292	293	277	242	234	227	220	203	220
SO ₂	1 881	1 780	1 543	1 424	1 275	1 089	944	697	438	268	264	251	237	283

Note: National Emission Ceiling, goal should be met by 2010

Emissions of indirect greenhouse gases decreased from the period from 1990 to 2002 (NMVOCs by 54.0 %, CO by 56.6 % and NO_x by 41.5 %). SO₂ emissions decreased by 87.4 % compared to 1990 level.

Fig. 2.5 Emissions of indirect GHGs and SO₂ 1990-2002


NO_x

NO_x emissions decreased from 544 to 318 Gg during the period from 1990 to 2002. In 2002 NO_x emissions were 41.5 % below the 1990 level. Nearly 99 % of NO_x emissions originate from *Fossil Fuel Combustion*.

CO

CO emissions decreased from 1 257 to 546 Gg during the period from 1990 to 2002. In 2002 CO emissions were 56.6 % below the 1990 level. In 2002, almost 87 % of total CO emissions originated from *Fossil Fuel Combustion*, followed by *Metal Production* (almost 10 %).

NMVOC

NMVOC emissions decreased from 441 to 203 during the period from 1990 to 2002. In 2002 VOC emissions were 54.0 % below the 1990 level. The most important emission source is *Fossil Fuel Combustion*, which emitted slightly more than half of all NMVOCs, followed by other *Solvent Use*, which emitted slightly less than 50 % of NMVOCs total.

SO₂

SO₂ emissions decreased from 1 881 to 237 Gg during the period from 1990 to 2002. In 2002 SO₂ emissions were 87.4 % below the 1990 level. In 2002, 99 % of total SO₂ emissions originated from *Fossil Fuel Combustion*.

3 Energy - (CRF Sector 1)

3.1 Energy - Combustion processes (CRF Sector 1A)

3.1.1 Sector Characterization

Combustion processes included in category 1A make a decisive contribution to total emissions of greenhouse gases. Almost all emissions of carbon dioxide, with the exception of decomposition of carbonate materials, occurring, e.g., in cement production, are derived from the combustion of fossil fuels in stationary and mobile sources. The role of combustion facilities is apparent from Tab. 1.1 from which it can be seen that 5 of the 13 *key sources* considered correspond to the combustion of fossil fuels in category 1A and, of these, the first four most important contribute approx. 83 % of total emissions.

Consequently, the greatest attention is paid in the *IPCC Guidelines (Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997)* to inventories of emissions from these processes. In the Czech national inventory a differentiated approach is employed in inventories of emissions of greenhouse gases. Emissions of direct greenhouse gases, i.e. CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O, are calculated on the basis of activity data and emission factors of the fuel combusted. The relevant emission data corresponding to individual source subcategories for NO_x, CO, NMVOC a SO₂ are transferred directly from NFR to CRF.

The results of the inventory, including the activity data, are submitted in the standard CRF format. For direct greenhouse gases, the consumption of fuels and "implied" emission factors are also given. However, for stationary sources, the fuel consumption is given in the CRF format in aggregated structure, i.e. as solid, liquid and gaseous fuels according to IPCC definition. In relation to the degree of elaboration of the calculation procedures to date, the required CRF tables could be filled out with an exception, when processing industry (1A2) is reported as a whole. The currently available energy production statistics do not provide the necessary activity data for division of this category into the individual branches of industry.

3.1.2 Carbon Dioxide Emissions

According to the *IPCC Good Practice (Good Practice Guidance, 2000)*, carbon dioxide emissions encompass the following five *key sources* at the primary level:

- stationary combustion of solid fuels,
- stationary combustion of gaseous fuels,
- highway transportation,
- stationary combustion of liquid fuels,
- other transportation.

These *key sources* have a decisive effect on the uncertainty in the absolute levels and trends in CO₂ inventories.

According to IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997), carbon dioxide emissions are calculated in two ways:

1. The **Reference Approach**, i.e. on the basis of total domestic consumption of the individual fuels. This relatively simple method is based on the assumption that almost all the fuel consumed is burned in combustion processes in energy production. It does not require a large amount of input activity data and the basic values of the sources included in the national energy balance and some supplementary data are sufficient. It provides information only on total emissions without any further classification in the consumer sector. The emission factors are related to those kinds of fuel that enter domestic consumption at the level of sources, without regard to specific kinds of fuel burned in the consumer part of the energy balance. Thus, for liquid fuels, this means that the emissions are determined practically only on the basis of domestic petroleum consumption.
2. The **Sectoral Approach**. This method is considerably more demanding in relation to input data and requires information on fuel consumption according to kind in the individual consumer sectors. It has an advantage in the possibility of analyzing the structure of the origin of emissions. As the emission factors employed are specific for each kind of fuel burned, calculations using this method should be more exact. However, it follows from the discussion below that the differences in the overall results from the two methods are not very significant.

3.1.2.1 Reference Approach

The IPCC Reference Approach is based on determining carbon dioxide emissions from domestic consumption of individual fuels. Domestic fuel consumption is calculated in the usual manner as:

$$\text{extraction} + \text{imports} - \text{exports} - \text{change (increase) in stocks}$$

Extraction includes domestic extraction of petroleum, natural gas (of petroleum or coal origin) and hard and brown coal. The obtaining of other solid fuels, mostly wood for burning, is given in the calculation under the special item solid biomass. In this method, emissions from this fuel are not included in emissions from combustion processes, as they are calculated in the inventory in the forestry category. Imports of fuel include imports of natural gas, petroleum, individual liquid fuels, hard and brown coal, coke and briquettes. Exports and changes in stocks include similar items. The item changes in stocks also includes losses and balance differences that do not entail combustion processes and would distort the results.

Total national consumption is corrected by subtracting non-energy consumption. A substantial portion of non-energy consumption consists in non-energy consumption of petroleum (lubricating and special oils, asphalt and particularly petroleum raw materials used in the production of plastics, etc.). Non-energy products produced from hard coal in coke plants and from brown coal in the production of town gas and energy-production gas (fuel for steam-gas systems) are also important. Some of the intermediate products from the pyrolysis of petrochemical materials are also used directly as heating gases and oils and some of the final products (plastics) are also burned after use. In addition, most lubricating and special oils are finally used as heating oils or are burned during use (the lubricating oils of internal combustion motors). Data on non-energy consumption are taken from the Czech Statistical Office (*Balance of Energy Processes in Energy Sector*, 2003)

The carbon content is calculated from the corrected domestic consumption of the individual fuels using emission factors and the emissions of carbon dioxide are then calculated by taking into account the efficiency of conversion of carbon in the combustion process. The emission factors determining the carbon content in the individual fuels (in t C/TJ) are taken from the IPCC methodology, as are the recommended values of “oxidation factors” (correction for the unburned carbon residue).

3.1.2.2 Sectoral Approach

This method, which is based on the records of fuel consumption in the individual categories is elaborated in great detail in the IPCC methodology that requires determination of the consumption of the individual kinds of fuel in all the consumption categories.

In relation to the current ability of Czech energy production statistics to determine the corresponding fuel consumption, combustion processes can be divided into only the following basic categories:

- 1A1 - Energy & Transformation Activities
 - Public electricity and heat production
 - Petroleum refining
 - Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries
- 1A2 - Manufacturing industries and construction (including industrial electricity and heat production)
- 1A3 - Transport
 - Civil aviation
 - Road transportation
 - Railway
 - Navigation
 - Gas and petroleum pipelines transportation
- 1A4 - Commercial / Institutional
- 1A5 - Residential
- 1A6 - Agriculture / Forestry

The consumption in international air transportation is included in the special category *International Bunkers*. Emissions from fuels in this category are not included in the total emissions in the territory of the state, but are summarized directly in global emissions.

Similarly as for the reference method, emission factors, specifying the carbon content in the individual fuels (in t C/TJ) and relevant oxidation factors are taken from the IPCC methodology.

In the inventory, both above mentioned procedures were employed in the structure described in the working manuals (*Revised IPCC Guidelines, 1997*), i.e. including the values of the emission factors of carbon and the standard means of correction for unburned residues.

Emphasis is placed on correct determination of the fraction of unburned (stored) carbon in non-energy use of fossil fuels. Calculation of this amount is based on the assumption that a certain part of the carbon contained in the non-energy material remains fixed for a long time and is not released as CO₂. The fraction of stored carbon in petrochemical materials and oils is standardly considered to equal 50 % and, for tar, 75 %. Practically one hundred percent fixation is assumed for asphalt. As a consequence of this approach, implied emission factors in the CRF tables for liquid fuels in sector 1A2 are relatively low.

Similarly, it is necessary to ensure that the carbon, converted to CO₂ in non-energy use, is calculated only once. Carbon dioxide formed in the production of hydrogen used mainly for subsequent synthesis of ammonia is a typical example. Under the conditions in this country, this process consists in gasification of masout using oxygen and steam, with subsequent catalytic conversion. To avoid double counting, the carbon in the masout was reported in the energy-production category (combustion in industry, 1A2).

Compared with the Reference Approach, the results of the two methods are very similar and differences are below the precision levels of the input data. From this point of view, the two methods can be considered to be equivalent. The results of inventories carried out by the two procedures differ by less than 2 % for all time period (in accord with the CRF requirement). As in our inventory emissions from consumption of coke in blast furnace are covered under 2C1 (since 2001), it is necessary to add the CO₂ contribution from 2C1 to the emissions from 1A in order to obtain comparable values. A comparison is given in Tab. 3a.1.

Tab. 3a.1 Comparison of CO₂ emissions calculation from the fossil fuel combustion in 1990, 1992, 1994 and in 1996 – 2002

	Reference approach [Gg]	Sectoral approach [Gg] ¹¹	Deviation [%]
1990	162 922	160 080	1.8
1992	138 836	135 766	2.3
1994	126 258	127 116	0.7
1996	129 673	129 516	0.1
1997	131 386	133 925	1.9
1998	122 662	124 486	1.5
1999	115 136	117 501	2.0
2000	122 116	124 420	1.9
2001	124 730	124 770	0.0
2002	120 956	120 040	0.8

The area of production of iron and steel is another difficult area from the standpoint of the potential for reporting CO₂ emissions in several categories. Here, the primary source of emissions is carbon contained in the coke used in blast furnaces in iron production. However, the actual emissions of carbon dioxide from metallurgical coke do not occur in the blast furnace, but in subsequent combustion of blast-furnace gas in energy production. To the year 2000, all CO₂ emissions from coke have been included in the energy category 1A2, including those from the metallurgical process itself (oxidation of carbon from pig iron during steel production). The calculation procedure is based on the amount of carbon in the coke as a reducing agent that is used in metallurgical processes. Beginning in the year 2001, the CO₂ emissions from the iron and steel industry are reported under 2C1 (Industrial processes) in accordance with the *Good Practice Guidance*. Recalculation for the whole time series will be performed in 2004.

Comparison with 1990 indicates a marked decrease in the level of emissions of carbon dioxide, corresponding to the decrease in the domestic consumption of primary fossil fuels. This is a consequence of the lower consumption of coal and its partial replacement by natural gas. Tab. 3a.2 gives the decrease in this consumption over the past decade. There has been only a small decrease in the consumption of liquid fuels (with the exception of the sudden decrease at the beginning of the nineties), but there has been a marked change in the structure of consumption. In 1990, the fraction of heating oils in the consumption of liquid fuels equalled 37 %, while this figure equalled only 6 % in 2002. There was a substantial increase in consumption of natural gas.

¹¹ Including the iron and steel industry that has been reported under 2C1 since 2001

Tab. 3a.2 Total primary energy sources in 1990, 1992, 1994 and in 1996 - 2002 [PJ]

	Total Primary Energy Supply [PJ]	of which Coal [PJ]
1990	2 069.6	1 326.8
1992	1 788.2	1 099.0
1994	1 683.1	963.7
1996	1 823.1	993.1
1997	1 744.1	951.7
1998	1 658.3	856.7
1999	1 617.6	797.0
2000	1 657.0	877.9
2001	1 706.2	887.3
2002	1 694.9	854.6
Decrease in consumption 1990 – 2002	374.7	472.2

The Sectoral Approach, in contrast to the Reference Approach, permits analysis of the structure of the source of the emissions. It is then possible to determine that there was a change in the sectoral structure of the origin of emissions of carbon dioxide in years 1990, 1992, 1994 and 1996 - 2002, as can be seen in Tab. 3a.3. Comparison with all years from period 1990 - 1995 is not possible, as inventory calculations in period of 1990 to 1995 were carried out using a slightly different method (*IPCC Guidelines*, 1995) - the data for the years 1990, 1992 and 1994 were recently revised.

Tab. 3a.3 Share of individual categories on the CO₂ emissions in 1990, 1992, 1994 and in 1996-2002 ¹² [%]

	Energy Industry	Manufacturing Industry	Transport	Commercial, services	Residential	Agriculture
1990	37.0	37.1	4.5	6.0	13.0	2.4
1992	38.7	37.7	5.5	5.1	11.1	1.9
1994	43.8	34.8	6.0	4.6	9.2	1.6
1996	44.7	33.9	7.5	4.1	8.5	1.3
1997	44.2	32.4	8.4	4.8	8.8	1.4
1998	47.2	28.4	8.6	4.7	9.7	1.4
1999	45.9	29.2	10.0	4.8	8.7	1.4
2000	48.4	29.1	8.9	4.4	7.9	1.3
2001	48.4	29.3	9.7	3.4	8.0	1.2
2002	48.7	27.3	10.4	3.8	8.4	1.4

The fraction of emissions from the processing industry and households decreased as a consequence of the marked decrease in consumption, especially of coal. On the other hand, there was a significant increase in emissions from transport as a consequence of increasing consumption of liquid fuels in highway transport. There was a relative increase in the fraction of emissions from the energy-production industry because, for lower total emissions, the absolute values of emissions from energy-production in 2002 are practically at the same level as in 1990.

According to the IPCC Methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997), emissions from international air transport are not reported as part of national emissions, but are reported separately, because they are summarized directly in global emissions. The calculation is based on the amount of fuel tanked into the aircraft in the particular country of origin. Those data are taken over from (*Supply of Basic Final Refinery Products*, 2003). The contribution of the Czech Republic to international air transport varies around a value of 0.5 Mt CO₂ p.a.

¹² Including the iron and steel industry that has been reported under 2C1 since 2001

3.1.3 Methane Emissions

Methane emissions from fuel combustion from stationary and mobile sources do not constitute *key sources*. Relatively the largest contribution comes from fuel combustion in local heating units.

The means of determining methane emissions is similar in many respects to the method of the individual consumption categories for carbon dioxide emissions. The simplest level (Tier 1) (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*) includes only summary fuel categories:

- coal-type solid fuels
- gaseous fuels
- liquid fuels
- wood fuel (biomass)
- charcoal
- other biomass.

Only the first four categories were filled with active data in the inventory. These data were aggregated directly from the connected working sheets for the calculation of carbon dioxide by the consumption sector method.

CH₄ emissions were calculated based on default emission factors recommended by IPCC Methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*). In the process of calculation CH₄ emissions from transport category should be pointed out that individual fuel consumption in the given category is considered as activity data. This is related particularly to gasoline, diesel oil, jet kerosene, natural gas, and propane-butane (LPG) consumption.

3.1.4 Nitrous Oxide Emissions

Although N₂O emissions from combustion processes are not amongst *key sources* in the Czech Republic, these emissions from both stationary and mobile sources represent a somewhat more important contribution than that made by CH₄ emissions.

N₂O emissions were calculated by a similar method as CH₄ emissions, directly using the emission coefficients lying within the recommended intervals given in the revised *IPCC Guidelines (Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997)*. The emission factors for combustion from stationary sources were taken from (Markvart and Bernauer, 1999). The data lacking for the combustion of brown coal were taken from study (Svoboda, 1996). It should be pointed out that the emission factors used are not contradictory to the values given in the IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*) and reflect the following facts:

- the emissions factors for combustion of pulverized coal in granulation furnaces have the smallest values,
- the values used for grate furnaces are only slightly higher,
- the emission factors for fluid-bed furnaces are highest, especially those for hard coal and lower relative furnace outputs (compared to nominal outputs), manifested in a lower temperature of combustion.

In calculation of N₂O emissions from mobile sources, the most important source according to the IPCC methodology seems to be passenger automobile transport, especially passenger cars with catalyzers. The emissions factors for N₂O for vehicles with diesel motors and for vehicles with gasoline motors without catalyzers are not very high and were taken in the standard manner from the methodical instructions (IPCC default values). The situation is more complex for vehicles with gasoline motors equipped with three-way catalyzers. The IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*) gives three pairs of emission factors for passenger cars with catalyzers (for new and deactivated catalyzers). The value for a deactivated catalyzer is approximately three times that for a new catalyzer. The pair of values recommended on the basis of Canadian research was selected because of the lack of domestic data; in addition, American and French coefficients are presented in the Reference Manual, Box 3 (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*). The arithmetic mean of the values for new and older used catalyzers was taken as the final emission factor for passenger cars with catalyzers.

The calculation was based on the consumption of petrol and diesel fuel by the main types of vehicles. Here, the consumption of petrol must be divided into the part burned in vehicles equipped with three-way catalyzers and other vehicles. The calculation was based on an estimate following from the study of the *Transport Research Centre* (CDV) prepared annually for *Ministry of the Environment*, estimating the fraction of gasoline-propelled vehicles equipped with three-way catalyzers (Adamec *et al*, 2002). According this study, the fraction of petrol-propelled vehicles equipped with three-way catalyzers was recently equalled 32 %. Similar to previous years, we assume that newer vehicles emit larger amounts and again express this by a coefficient of 1.5. The result of this calculation is that not quite 48 % of gasoline is combusted in vehicles with catalyzers.

A partial increase in N₂O emissions can be expected in this category in connection with the growing fraction of vehicles equipped with three-way catalyzers, or the expected increase in the number of fluid-bed combustion units.

3.1.5 Activity Data

Determination of the activity data on fuel consumption was based on the preliminary energy balance, prepared by KONEKO Marketing Ltd., on the basis of the material published to date by the *Czech Statistical Office* (CSO) and other organizations on trends in energy management in 2002. For these purposes, it is often necessary to use preliminary information, as CSO does not issue final data until the first quarter of the second year following the year in question (data for 2002 are issued in 2004). The preliminary energy balance for 2002 was prepared using the method of the *International Energy Agency*. Such a balance permits filling of the basic categories of the IPCC method with activity data. At the time, when the preliminary balances are prepared, usually only basic data is available on extraction of fuels, imports, exports and production of the main energy commodities (petroleum, natural gas, electricity). In addition, detailed information is lacking on the imports and exports of the individual fuels, on changes in stocks and particularly almost all data on consumption.

Determination of the activity data for revision of 1992 was based on the total CSO balance for that year. While definitive data is given here, only information on the source part may be used without difficulties. However, data on energy consumption are not entirely sufficient for application of the *Sectoral method* even in the final energy balance.

Consequently, drawing up of the energy balance in the IEA (*International Energy Agency*) method from available data requires the use of a number of specialized procedures in both the source and especially in the consumption parts. In the source part, this is especially true of expression of production of heat in centralized systems, which includes only public sources in the IEA method and, for industrial sources, only that part sold to other entities. Drawing up the necessary categorization of the energy balance in the consumption part is connected with considerable difficulties.

In order to classify consumption in the transport category in the individual subcategories, a specialized model of the MAED transport type was employed. This is one of a series of models of the *International Atomic Energy Agency*. These models are used in predicting consumption of all kinds of energy in the entire national economy. The necessary data on the individual segments of transport cannot be obtained directly, as they are not monitored in this classification. Using the MAED model, consumptions of gasoline and diesel oil can be determined for the following transport sub-categories:

- road transport – goods transport
- road transport – public passenger transport
- road transport – individual passenger transport
- rail transport – goods transport
- road transport – passenger transport
- inland waterway transport of goods
- public transit in towns

Relevant transport capacities (outputs) for these sub-categories are taken from CSO (*Statistical Yearbook*, 2003).

For similar reasons, another specialized model, again of the MAED type, was used to determine energy consumption in the household category. In both cases, calculations for 2002 took into account the results of the statistical study "Energy consumption in households", carried out in 1997 by CSO on the basis of the PHARE/EUROSTAT method. All of categories 1A1 to 1A6 were filled with data on consumption of the individual solid, liquid and gaseous fuels, including non-energy consumption (petrochemical materials, lubricating oils).

Because of the considerable importance of emissions of greenhouse gases from combustion processes, there has been an increase in demands for transparency and controllability of activity data used for inventory calculations, especially in connection with trading in respect to carbon dioxide. Consequently, the energy balance for 2002 and for 1992 (in the *IEA* methodology and following modification according to IPCC requirements) was prepared as part of a set for calculation of emissions of greenhouse gases from combustion processes. This guarantees an unambiguous connection between the balance and emission values.

3.1.6 Direct GHG Emissions - Overview

Combustion processes in energy management are a source of emissions of a decisive fraction of greenhouse gases. Emissions of carbon dioxide from combustion processes contribute to almost 98% of total emissions of this gas and encompass five *key sources*. Activity data (data on consumption of individual fuels) were determined using the above-described preliminary energy balance. The results of the inventory including activity data are processed in the CRF format, which also includes back-control of the calculation of the "implied" emission factors. Because of the degree of elaboration of the calculation procedures used to date, it was possible to fill out the required CRF tables, with one exception, where the processing industry (1A2) is reported as a whole. The current energy statistics do not provide sufficient data for classification into the individual branches of industry.

Comparison of the results of the inventory for 2002 with the initial year of 1990 indicates a significant decrease in level of emissions of direct greenhouse gases, which basically corresponds to the decrease in domestic consumption of primary fossil fuel sources in this period, as a consequence of a substantial decrease in coal consumption.

Inventory calculations are accompanied by a certain uncertainty. The first uncertainty follows from the use of the preliminary energy balance. The deviation from the total balance may be as large as 5 % in the individual balanced years, but usually does not exceed 2 %.

Another uncertainty follows from the deriving of emissions from fuel consumption, expressed in energy units. The precision of the determination of the heat capacity plays a decisive role here, especially for coal. Thus the use of specific emission coefficients corresponding to the specific kind of coal, in place of the current *default* factors, could lead to an improvement. However, it follows from the study (Fott, 1999) that large differences between *default* and country-specific or site-specific cannot emission factors are not expected.

3.1.7 Precursor Emissions

Inventory of ozone precursors (CO, NO_x and NMVOCs) and aerosol precursor (SO₂) in CRF do not require stating of emission factors. Emission precursors estimates for the relevant subcategories (starting with the emission data for 2001) have thus been transferred from NFR to CRF. As was already stated previously, the NFR format has recently been implemented for reporting emissions of traditional pollutants under CLRTAP, while the national emission database called REZZO was used as the primary data source. The REZZO – NFR – CRF data transmission enables enhancement of harmonization of all the Czech inventories dealing with some air quality pollutants as GHG precursors.

3.1.8 QA/QC Procedures

Activity data required for emissions calculation using the IPCC methodology were determined on the basis of the preliminary energy balance published by CSO in August 2003. The data in this balance were verified on the basis of individual data from the following organizations:

- fuel extraction: Czech Mining Authority, Employers Federation of the Mining and Petroleum Industry, Miners' Association,
- liquid fuel consumption: Czech Association of the Petroleum Industry and Trade (CAPPO),
- production and consumption of natural gas: Annual Report of Distribution Companies of the Gas Industry, Transgas Balance.

Data from transport and household subcategories of were verified and supplemented using specialized models, as described in subchapter 3.1.5.

Formal control of the correctness and completeness of the data entered in CRF tables was carried out by CHMI. This control was carried out at random.

3.1.9 Survey of Present State and Expected Improvements

- Emission inventories for the years 1996 – 2002 were compiled in a consistent manner using the *Revised IPCC Guidelines*
- The former, not entirely consistent data for the years 1990, 1994 and now 1992, were recently revised including incorporating data into CRF. The revised data are now consistent with the 1996 – 2002 period. It will be necessary to also complete this revision for the years 1991, 1993 and 1995 in next years.
- Since 2001, emissions from iron and steel (specifically coming from coke input to blast furnaces) are covered under 2C1, but for the 1990 – 2000 period are still covered under 1A2. It will be necessary to complete all backward rearrangement (reallocation) since 1990.
- Emissions of N₂O from road traffic were found not to be comparable with other European states and so thus it is planned that they will be recalculated using the *Good Practice Guidelines*.

3.2 Energy - Fugitive Emissions (Sector CRF 1B)

3.2.1 Sector Characterization

Mining, treatment and all handling of fossil fuels are sources of fugitive emissions. They consist mainly of emissions of methane and volatile organic compounds NMVOCs (petroleum extraction and processing). In the Czech Republic, CH₄ emissions from deep mining of hard coal are significant, while emissions from surface mining of brown coal and emissions from landfills and gas distribution are also important. On the other hand, emissions from petroleum extraction and processing are less important. Other industrial activities included in this category are of marginal importance, in respect to both CH₄ and NMVOC emissions. Category 1B also includes CO₂ emissions from removal of SO₂ from combustion products using limestone.

CH₄ emissions are primarily derived from deep mining of hard coal in the Ostrava-Karviná area. A lesser source consists in brown coal mining by surface methods and post-mining treatment of hard and brown coal. Approximately 10 % of emissions are formed in the Czech Republic from gas industry in extraction, storage, transport and distribution of natural gas and in its final use. Petroleum extraction and refining processes are less important. NMVOC emissions are formed primarily from petroleum refining and in storage and handling of petroleum products.

National emission factors (Takla and Nováček, 1997) were used in calculating methane emissions in deep hard coal mining; emission factors according to the IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) were used for the emission factors for emissions from the surface mining of brown coal and post-extraction treatment. Methane emissions from the gas industry were determined using national emission factors based on the specific emission factors for the individual parts of the gas industry system (*Gas and Environment*, 1997, Alfeld, 1998) Determination of methane emissions from the processes of refining of petroleum is based on the recommended (default) emission factors according to the IPCC methodology.

In Fugitive Emissions category, only Coal Mining and Handling was evaluated as key source (Tab. 3b.1).

Tab. 3b.1 Share of CH₄ emissions from individual sub-categories on the overall aggregated emissions

	Character of source	Gas	% of total
Fugitive Emissions from Coal Mining and Handling	Key source	CH ₄	3.5
Fugitive Emissions from Oil & Gas operations		CH ₄	0.3

3.2.2 Methane Emissions from Mining and Post-mining Coal Treatment

Coal mining (in particular hard coal mining) is accompanied by an occurrence of methane. Methane, as a product of the coal-formation process is physically bonded to the coal mass or is present as the free gas in pores and cracks in the coal and in the surrounding rocks. In deep hard coal mining, CH₄ is released from the coal mass and from the surrounding rocks into the mine air and must be removed to the surface to prevent formation of dangerous concentrations in the mine. The mine ventilation must be calculated according to the amounts of gas released. At the end of 1950's mine gas removal systems were introduced in opening new mines and levels in the Ostrava-Karviná coal-mining area, which permitted separate exhaustion of partial methane released in the mining activity in the mixture containing the mine air. The total amount of methane emitted can be balanced quite accurately from the methane concentrations in the mine air and their total annual volume. The ratio between mining and the volume of methane emissions is given in Tab. 3b.2, see (Takla and Nováček, 1997)

Tab. 3b.2 Coal mining and CH₄ emissions in the Ostrava – Karvina coal-mining area

	Coal mining mil. t/year	CH ₄ emissions		Emission factors	
		mil. m ³ /year	kt/year	m ³ /t	kg/t
1960	20.90	348.9	250.3	16.7	12.0
1970	23.90	589.5	422.9	24.7	17.7
1975	24.11	523.9	375.8	21.7	15.6
1980	24.69	505.3	362.5	20.5	14.7
1985	22.95	479.9	344.3	20.9	15.0
1990	20.06	381.1	273.4	19.0	13.6
1995	15.60	270.7	194.2	17.4	12.4
1996	15.10	276.0	198.0	18.3	13.1
Total	167.31	3 375.3	2 421.3	20.2	14.5
1990 till 1996	50.76	927.8	665.6	18.3	13.1

As it is a key source, the determined national emission factor has been used to calculate methane emissions since 1996 (calculation at the level of Tier 3), i.e. 18.3 m³ CH₄/t. It should be pointed out that an emission factor of 23.9 m³ CH₄/t, taken from the IPCC Methodology (*IPCC Guidelines*, 1995), was used up to 1996; this was much higher than the emission factor used in Poland. This emission factor was also used to recalculate the curves for emissions of methane in this category from 1990 to 1995. The activity data are taken from (*Mining Yearbook*, 1994 – 2002)

The use of the emission factor for 1996 - 2002 can be considered satisfactory from the standpoint of (*Good Practice Guidance*, 2000) as the ratio NG/HC (m³/t) between natural gas of coal origin (NG - natural gas) and hard coal mining (HC - Hard Coal) did not change significantly in this period Tab. 3b.3.

Tab. 3b.3 Trends of mined natural gas of coal origin (NG) and its ratio to hard coal (HC) mining

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
NG [mil. m ³]	127.1	124.4	151.3	139.8	139.6	154.0	146.9	128.0	126.5	118.1	96.5	115.6	112.8
Ratio NG/HC	6.3	7.2	8.8	8.5	10.2	11.5	11.5	10.1	10.2	10.5	7.0	9.8	9.4

During the 1990's, there was a gradual decrease in deep hard coal mining and gas removal is being made more efficient at the present time. Consequently, in the future, it will be necessary to verify the validity of the emission factor being used (Takla, 2002)

Other areas of deep mining in the Czech Republic are not important for methane emissions. The mines located in the Kladno mining area, in which less than 1/10 of domestic production was mined to the year 2001, where classified by State Mining Administration as the non-gaseous mines. Consequently, the amount of methane emitted in this location is not monitored. As Tier 2 calculation is permissible for key sources, the lower limit of the recommended emission factors for mining and post-mining treatment, related to the activity data on mining in the Kladno area, was used for CH₄ emission calculation in this location. ČMD Company, a. s., which was mining bituminous coal in the former mines in Kladno mining areas, has stopped the mining here on 30th June 2002 from the safety and economical reasons.

During surface mining, methane escaping is not related to specific flow of air and thus it is far more difficult to monitor the amount of methane escaping into the air. Consequently, default IPCC emission factors are employed to calculate methane emissions from surface mining and from post-mining treatment (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997). However, it would be useful to carry out a study that would determine the ratio between methane produced and brown coal obtained by surface mining, in order to chose an emission factor that would correspond to the national specific characteristics. Research has indicated that no such studies or analyses have so far been carried out.

3.2.3 Methane Emissions from Gas Extraction, Storage, Transit, Transport and Distribution

In the 1990's, the gas industry was one of the most dynamically evolving industrial categories in the Czech Republic. Natural gas is an important trade commodity and consequently its consumption, transport, distribution, storage and supplementary extraction in the territory of the Czech Republic is monitored carefully. As a result, activity data for the methane emission balance are available with high precision in this category.

Methane emissions in this category are basically formed in several ways:

- through poor seals in the flanges and joints, fittings, probes in mining and storage fields and other parts of the pipeline system,
- through pipeline perforation,
- through technical discharge of gas into the air,
- through accidents.

Escapes in the distribution network and household distribution pipes can be considered to constitute the most serious source of emissions. In the 1990's, the distribution network was newly constructed almost entirely from welded plastics and the old pipeline was reconstructed to a major degree in the same manner. Household distribution pipes are subject to strict standards and any poor seals can be identified by the characteristic smell. In addition to safety aspects, all escapes also have an economic impact both for the distribution company and for the end user, so this aspect is carefully monitored and, where possible, immediately remedied. As a whole, the gas distribution is at a high technical level and it can be stated that all escapes are carefully sought out and eliminated.

As a method was developed in the last few years for determining methane emissions in the gas industry using specific emission factors, this sophisticated method of calculation continues to be used, although, from the standpoint of ref. (*Good Practice Guidance*, 2000), calculation using default values would probably suffice.

Qualified estimation of methane emissions is thus carried out using specific emission factors for the individual parts of the gas distribution system (Alfeld, 1998, *Gas and Environment*, 2000). The total emission value given corresponds to about 0.3 % of the total consumption of natural gas in the Czech Republic. The detailed calculation given corresponds to Tier 2.

In conclusion, it should be pointed out that, since 1997, this chapter does not include transport and distribution of town gas, whose production was terminated in 1996, when the entire gas system was converted to natural gas. The factory for production of energy-production gas in the pressure gas plant of Vřesová (Sokolovská uhelná, a.s.) was reconstructed as an integrated steam-gas facility. However, from the standpoint of the overall balance, the importance of this source of fugitive emissions lies below the borderline of the precision of the other professional estimates.

3.2.4 Methane Emissions from Petroleum Extraction, Refining and Storage

As the fraction of this category makes a minority contribution to overall methane emissions in the category Fugitive emissions from operations connected with petroleum and gas, this chapter is limited to a brief commentary.

Calculation of methane emissions in domestic petroleum production was carried out using the emission factor based on data from ref. (*UNIPETROL and Environment*, 1999), and currently has a value of 5.287 kg/PJ of extracted petroleum. This emission factor is somewhat higher than the maximum value recommended by IPCC: 4.670 kg/PJ (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997); however, it is the same order of magnitude. The calculation corresponds to Tier 2.

In the recent past, Czech refineries have undergone a quite extensive process of innovation and reconstruction, to decrease technical losses of raw materials and final products. Comprehensive verification has been carried out of the seals of the individual fittings, pumps and all the technical equipment. This entire process, which was carried out mainly for economic reasons, also led to a decrease in overall emissions, especially of NMVOCs. Consequently, the emission factors taken from (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) can be considered to correspond to the current technical condition of refineries in this country. In this connection, it should be pointed out that fugitive emissions from refinery technology couldn't be determined by direct measurements, as they are not connected with specific air outlets or chimneys. Thus, they can be determined only on the basis of professional estimates from balance losses or using emission factors. The resultant emissions of the individual substances were compared with the data in the national emission database and are of the same order of magnitude.

As, according to the literature, methane constitutes about 10 % of total VOC emissions, it can be stated that the emission factor for methane would correspond to a level of about 0.07 kg/t of processed petroleum, which is the upper limit given in (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997). Technical progress in the past has permitted reduction of emissions by about 30 %. Consequently, an emission factor value of 1.150 kg/PJ is used to calculate methane emissions from petroleum refining. The emission factor for storage of petroleum was found by a similar method as being at the level of 250 kg/PJ. Petroleum products practically do not contain methane and thus emissions from storage and handling of petroleum products are not calculated.

Because of the uncertainty, it is necessary to evaluate methane emissions in this subcategory at the level of Tier 1. However, the uncertainty entailed cannot significantly affect the overall balance.

3.2.5 Activity Data and Emission Factors

Activity data on the extraction of the individual energy carriers (coal, petroleum, gas) and on batches of petroleum in the petrochemical industry are available in yearbooks (*Mining Yearbook*, 1994 – 2002, *Statistical Yearbook*, 2003) and, since 1998, in the periodical publication Energy Management in the Czech Republic in Numbers (*Energy Management*, 1998 – 2002). Data on the gas distribution system are monitored and collected by TRANSGAS a.s. and by the Czech Gas Association. All the activity data employed can be considered to have a relatively high level of precision ($\pm 5\%$).

Methane emissions were calculated using national emission factors determined in previous years from various sources given in the literature survey, with the assistance of professionals for the individual categories of sources. In relation to expected future developments, it will, however, be necessary to regularly refine the emission factors values employed. All the required information on activity data

could be entered in tables in CRF format because of the high validation and in relation to the complexity of the procedures used to calculate the emissions to date.

Tables 3b.4 and 3b.5 illustrate the calculation of fugitive emissions of methane from solid, liquid and gaseous fuels.

Tab. 3b.4 Calculation of CH₄ emissions from coal mining in 2002

	A	B	C	D	E
	<i>Amount of Coal Produced</i>	<i>Emission Factor</i>	<i>Methane Emissions</i>	<i>Conversion Factors</i>	<i>Methane Emissions</i>
	[mil. t]	[m ³ CH ₄ /t]	[mil. m ³]	[Gg CH ₄ /10 ⁶ m ³]	[Gg CH ₄]
			C=A*B		E=C*D
Mining (I - III)	14.470	18.1	263	0.67	175.9
<i>OKR</i> ¹³ (Tier 3)	14.200	18.3	260	0.67	174.1
<i>Ost. (Kladno - Tier 1)</i>	0.270	10.0	3	0.67	1.8
Post-Mining (Tier 1)	14.470	2.4	35	0.67	23.5
<i>OKR</i> ¹³ (Tier 1)	14.200	2.45	35	0.67	23.3
<i>Ost. (Kladno - Tier 1)</i>	0.270	0.9	0	0.67	0.2
Mining (Tier 1)	45.480	1.15	52	0.67	35.0
Post-Mining (Tier 1)	45.480	0.1	5	0.67	3.0
				Total	237.48

*

Tab. 3b.5 Calculation of CH₄ emissions from oil and natural gas in 2002

	Tier	A	B	C	D
		Activity	Emission Factors	CH ₄ Emissions	Emissions CH ₄
				[kg CH ₄]	[Gg CH ₄]
				C = (A x B)	D = (C/10 ⁶)
<i>Production</i>	OIL	<i>PJ oil produced</i>	<i>kg CH₄/PJ</i>		
<i>(domestic production)</i>	3	10.18	5 287	53 826	0.054
<i>Refining</i>		<i>PJ oil refined</i>	<i>kg CH₄/PJ</i>		
	1 - 2	261.2	1 150	300 326	0.300
<i>Storage</i>		<i>PJ oil refined</i>	<i>kg CH₄/PJ</i>		
	1	261.2	250	65 288	0.065
				CH₄ from oil	0,419
<i>Production/Processing</i>	GAS	<i>PJ gas produced</i>	<i>kg CH₄/PJ</i>		
<i>(domestic production NG)</i>	2	5.94	49 748	295 672	0.296
<i>Transmission & Distribution</i>		<i>PJ gas consumed</i>	<i>kg CH₄/PJ</i>		
<i>(transit transport, high and low pressure pipeline)</i>	2	1 348.0	13 724	18 499 584	18.500
<i>Other Leakage</i>		<i>PJ gas consumed</i>	<i>kg CH₄/PJ</i>		
<i>Underground storage</i>	3	78,91.	49 748	3 925 524	3.926
				CH₄ from gas	22,721

Relatively low value of the implied emission factor for *Transmission/Processing* is caused by the fact that an international transit of natural gas represents a considerable part of the activity value.

¹³ Ostrava-Karviná coal-mining area

3.2.6 Emission Trends

Determination of the consistency of time trends is one of the requirements of the Good Practice Guidance. For methane emissions from the main key category - Mining and post-mining treatment of coal - the time series after recalculating data after 1996 is consistent and corresponds to the trends in coal mining in this country. The original data in the following table (Tier 1) were replaced by data at the Tier 3 level.

Tab. 3b.6 Trend of CH₄ emissions from coal mining and post-mining activities [Gg]

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Original value	427.0	381.0	363.0	353.0	338.0	367.0							
Present value	361.9	321.0	306.0	298.0	282.0	276.6	268.5	263.5	253.1	229.0	239.0	244.7	237.5

The time series for the category of methane emissions from petroleum processing and from the gas industry are also sufficiently consistent and their decreasing trend in the second half of the 90's is a result of modernization of technology in these branches.

Tab. 3b.7 Trend of CH₄ emissions from oil and natural gas activities [Gg]

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Oil and natural gas	32.20	35.40	35.90	35.30	34.60	38.00	32.00	35.00	28.20	28.80	28.77	22.89	23.14

For conversion into CRF format, data for 1992 were newly recalculated on the basis of the refined emission data and specific process emissions. In a number of cases, the results submitted in this report can exhibit certain deviations from the original data presented in previous years; this is also true of data given in the Second National Communication.

3.2.7 Precursor Emissions

Ozone precursors (NO_x, CO, NMVOCs) and aerosols (SO₂) from this category are generated primarily in the processes of treatment of petroleum and in its storage, and also in other handling of petroleum and petroleum products. Emission data for precursors (since 2001) have been inserted into CRF format by conversion from corresponding subcategories of the NFR format, similarly as in the other categories.

3.2.8 QA/QC Procedures

The application of control mechanisms in inventory of fugitive CH₄ emissions is an important element of data correctness verification and uncertainty evaluation. The very nature of fugitive emissions leads to needs for internal and external controls, as these emissions are not related to specific outlets and consequently cannot be measured directly. Thus, it is necessary to employ other data than those provided, e.g., by analysis of combustion products from furnaces or waste air from technical facilities. Accordingly, determination of emission factors is a very complex matter. On the basis of the collected data, emission factors were determined for the individual segments of category 1B and compared with the default values (Tier 1); these values were then used to calculate fugitive emissions. The newly determined emission factors for deep mining were also used to correct CH₄ emissions for GHG inventories 1990 to 1995.

3.2.8.1 Internal Quality Control

For the purposes of internal quality control, the calculations were based on basic requirements that are defined as follows:

- routine control of consistency to ensure data integrity and their correctness and completeness;
- identification and correction of errors and omissions;
- documentation and archiving of all material used for the inventory preparation and QC activities.

Control of quality of the processed by national expert team is carried out both on the basis of the emission factors and activity data used. The consistency of activity data is controlled on the basis of the following sources:

- fuel extraction: Czech Mining Authority, Employers Federation of the Mining and Petroleum Industry, Miners' Association;
- extraction of domestic petroleum: Employers Federation of the Mining and Petroleum Industry, Miners' Association, Moravian Petroleum Mines;
- production and consumption of natural gas: Annual Report of Distribution Companies of the Gas Industry, Transgas Balance.

These sources have been also used in other parts of GHG emission inventory, e.g. in *Combustion Processes*, resulting in cross-control within the working team. The emissions calculated from the emission factors are then compared with previous years and a check is made to ensure that no sudden changes have occurred. All data (source and calculated) are stored by the national expert team.

3.2.8.2 External Control

In 2002, implementation of external control of emission factors and of the volume of fugitive methane emissions led to the need to create an independent discussion forum of specialists, where these values could be subjected to analysis. At the instigation of national inventory experts, the conference "Natural Gas Emissions", which was prepared jointly with the Czech Gas Union, was held in October 2002. Technologists and environmental specialists from the individual enterprises presented here their new results. Inventory expert team presented method of methane emissions calculation to conference and asked for comments a correctness of methodology used. The discussion led to general examination of methodological procedures. Group of external experts assured the inventory expert team that input data and the calculation procedures are correct.

4 Industrial Processes (CRF Sector 2)

4.1 Sector Characterization

This category includes only emissions from actual processes and not from fuel combustion used to supply energy for carrying out these processes. For example, in the production of cement, consideration is given only to emissions derived from the thermal decomposition of mineral raw materials (specifically CO₂ emissions from the decomposition of limestone) and not from fuel used to heat the rotary kiln (considered in category 1A2). It should also be borne in mind that emissions occurring during petroleum refining belong in category 1B (fugitive emissions).

In respect to emissions of direct greenhouse gases, these consist only in emissions of CO₂ in the production of mineral products (cement, glass). This source can be considered a *key source* according to the IPCC *Good Practice (Good Practice Guidance, 2000)*, although it is far less significant compared to combustion of fossil fuels. The production of nitric acid, which leads to emissions of N₂O, can be considered to be a source lying on the borderline between key and non-key sources. Tab. 4.1 gives a summary of sources of direct greenhouse gases in category 2 excluding use of F-gases. This subcategory (2F) will be dealt with in section 4.6

Tab. 4.1 Overview of the most important sources from this category

	Character of source	Gas	% of total
Minerals production (decarbonization)	Key source	CO ₂	1.4
Iron and steel	Key source	CO ₂	1.3
HNO ₃ production	Key source	N ₂ O	0.8

Other sources of direct greenhouse gases are minor, except of CO₂ emissions from iron and steel production, which have so far been reported in the energy category 1A2. In the 2001 inventory, these emissions were already re-classified as emissions from Industrial processes, 2C1. Naturally, this constitutes a quite important key source under Industrial processes. In this way, the relevant rearrangements will be applied on the whole data series.

Emissions from ammonia production (including hydrogen production by steam gasification followed by the shift reaction) should also be reported in the Industrial processes category. However, they are two reasons to include these emissions currently under Energy (1A2) - to respect existing continuity, and due to some difficulties in identification of the amount of gasified fuel (black oil). These emissions are also included for illustration in the sector tables for category 2; however, to avoid double counting they are not included in the sum of this category.

According to the IPCC categorization of sources, this category also includes emissions from production and use of HFCs, PFCs and SF₆. It is no production of these gases in the Czech Republic. Emissions related to their usage are presented at the end of this chapter.

4.2 Carbon Dioxide Emissions

4.2.1 Mineral Products

Cement production (2A1) is one of the traditional anthropogenic sources of carbon dioxide included in inventories; however, its importance is incomparably smaller than the combustion of fossil fuels. Process-related CO₂ is emitted during the production of clinker (calcination process) when calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) is heated in a cement kiln up to temperatures of about 1300 °C. During this process, calcium carbonate is converted into lime (CaO – calcium oxide) and CO₂. CO₂ emissions from combustion processes taking place in the cement industry (especially heating of rotary kilns) have been reported in IPCC Category 1A2

In principle, carbon dioxide emissions can be calculated according to the IPCC methodology from the production of clinker or cement. The latter approach has been so far employed in this inventory, because statistical information on cement production is available in the Czech Republic. The *default* emission from the IPCC Methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) i.e. 0.4985 t CO₂/t cement, was used for estimating emissions from cement throughout the nineteen nineties. In 2002, CO₂ emissions decreased twice compared to 1990 and are equal 1 604 Gg CO₂ (it represents 50% of the value in 1990). Process-related emissions from cement production for the whole 1990 – 2002 period are presented in Table 4.2

Tab. 4.2 Activity data and CO₂ emissions from cement production, 1990 - 2002

	Cement produced [t / year]	Process-specific CO ₂ emissions [Gg]
1990	6434	3 207
1991	5610	2 797
1992	6145	3 063
1993	5393	2 688
1994	5252	2 618
1995	4831	2 408
1996	4973	2 479
1997	5011	2 498
1998	4874	2 430
1999	4241	2 114
2000	4093	2 040
2001	3591	1 790
2002	3217	1 604

Data on cement production were taken from the official Czech statistics, see ref. (*Statistical Yearbook*, 2003)

Emissions from lime production (2A2) were calculated as the sum of CO₂ emissions from lime production and CO₂ removals from the atmosphere. It has been approximately assumed so far that emissions and removals are identical. This means that overall emissions from lime production are considered to be 0.

CO₂ is emitted during the calcination step of lime production. Calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) in limestone and calcium / magnesium carbonates in dolomite rock (CaCO₃•MgCO₃) are decomposed to form CO₂ and quicklime (CaO) or dolomite quicklime (CaO•MgO) respectively. On the other hand, the use of hydrated lime (e.g. building industry – hardening of mortar, water softening, sugar production) mostly results in the reaction of CO₂ with lime to form calcium carbonate.

Only CO₂ emissions generated in the process of calcination step of lime treatment are considered under Category 2A2. CO₂ emissions from combustion processes (heating of kills and furnaces) are reported under Category 1A2. Next table presents activity data for lime production (taken from the official Czech statistics, see ref. (*Statistical Yearbook*, 2003))

Tab. 4.3 Activity data for lime production, 1990 - 2002

	Lime Produced [t / year]
1990	1 490
1991	1 391
1992	1 337
1993	1 147
1994	1 212
1995	1 186
1996	1 176
1997	1 217
1998	1 151
1999	1 142
2000	1 202
2001	1 312
2002	1 258

As can be seen in Table 4.3, the overall trend for lime production decreased slightly in the 1990 – 2002 period; in 2002, lime production was 16 % lower than in 1990.

Glass production is not too important source, where CO₂ emissions (189 Gg) are derived particularly from the decomposition of alkaline carbonates added to glass-making sand. The emission factor value of 0.14 t CO₂/t glass was taken from the new version of the guidebook (EMEP / CORINAIR *Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook*, 1999).

From the chemical standpoint, sulfur removal from combustion products in coal combustion, using limestone, is a related source of CO₂ emissions, although it is not of great importance. Here, it holds that one mole of SO₂ removed releases one mole of CO₂ without regard to the sulfur-removal technology employed and the stoichiometric excess. However, this source is reported in category 1B. These amounts have, understandably, increased somewhat in the last three years, to a value of about 0.5 Mt CO₂ as a consequence of the extensive introduction of sulfur removal, especially in power plants. However, this figure is not expected to increase further.

4.2.2 Iron and Steel Production

In accordance to the IPCC *Good Practice (Good Practice Guidance, 2000)* starting with GHG emission inventory 2001, recategorization of the CO₂ emissions formed in the metallurgy industry in the process of production of iron and steel was carried out. These emissions, which are connected with the actual metallurgical process, were previously included in category 1A2. For 2001 and 2002, these emissions, equaling 2 524 kt CO₂ and 2 614 kt CO₂ respectively, were transposed to category 2C1. Obviously, they constitute a new key source in category 2.

CO₂ emissions were determined for subcategory 2C1 using a procedure corresponding to Tier 1 of the *Good Practice Guidance* for 2C1. This calculation was based on the amount of coke burned in blast furnaces, as stated by the Energy Balance for the Czech Republic for 2001 (*Energy balance of the Czech Republic, 2003*), equal to 23 811 TJ (829 kt) and for 2002 equal to 24 658 TJ (858 kt). The calculation was carried out using the carbon emission factor for coke, 29.5 t C/TJ, which is the *default* value according to (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*). As the final products in metallurgical processes are mostly steel and iron with very low carbon contents, the relevant correction for the amount of carbon remaining in the steel or iron was taken into account by using factor 0.98, i.e. the same factor that is standardly used for combustion of solid fuels (the oxidation factor). The major part of CO₂ emissions calculated in this manner, is emitted in combustion of blast-furnace gas, while a smaller part is derived from heat treatment (improvement) of pig iron.

Other CO₂ emissions generated in metallurgical plants in the production of iron and steel, including emissions from agglomerates (sinter), continue to be included in subcategory 1A2 (combustion in the

manufacturing industry). Another statistical data related to coke for 2001 are given in (*Energy balance of the Czech Republic*, 2003) as follows: production of metallurgical coke - 2 646 kt (75 944 TJ), total amount of coke produced (including metallurgical) - 3 522 kt (98 039 TJ), and total coke resources (also including imports, exports and change in stocks) - 3 177 kt (88 668 TJ). In a similar way, analogical data for 2002 are as follows: production of metallurgical coke - 2 814 kt (80 167 TJ), total amount of coke produced (including metallurgical) - 3 537 kt (98 656 TJ), and total coke resources (also including imports, exports and change in stocks) - 3 269 kt (91 288 TJ).

4.3 Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions

Nitrous oxide emissions in this category are derived mainly from the production of nitric acid. Nitrous oxide is generated as a by-product in the catalytic process of oxidation of ammonia. It follows from domestic studies (Markvart and Bernauer, 1999, 2000, 2003) that the resulting emission factor depends on the technology employed: higher emission factor values are usually given for the process carried out at normal pressure, while lower values are usually given for the medium-pressure process. Two types of processes are carried out in this country, at pressures of 0.1 MPa and 0.4 MPa. The amount of nitrous oxide in the exit gases is also affected by the type of process employed to remove nitrogen oxides, NO_x (i.e. NO and NO₂). In this country, the process of Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) is mostly used, which slightly increases the amount of N₂O, and also to a certain degree NonSelective Catalytic Reduction (NSCR), which also removes N₂O to a considerable degree. The final value of aggregated emissions for 2002 is equal 6.57 kg N₂O/t HNO₃ (taken as 100% HNO₃), see ref. (Markvart and Bernauer, 2003)

Studies (Markvart and Bernauer, 2000, 2003) recommend the following emission factors for various types of production technology and removal processes:

Tab. 4.4 Emission factors for N₂O

Pressure in HNO ₃ production	0,1 MPa			0,4 MPa		
Technology RENOX	--	SCR	NSCR	--	SCR	NSCR
Emission factors N ₂ O [kg N ₂ O/ t HNO ₃]	9.05	9.20	1.80	5.43	5.58	1.09

The emission factors corresponding to the technologies in operation in this country are given in Table 4.4. The emission factors for the basic process (without RENOX technology) are in accord with the principles given in the above cited IPCC methodology. The effect of the NO_x removal technology on the emission factor for N₂O was evaluated on the basis of the balance calculations presented in studies (Markvart and Bernauer, 1999, 2000, 2003)

Collection of activity data for HNO₃ production is more difficult than for cement production because of the present legislation, which complicates the releasing of statistical data on manufactured products where the number of producers is smaller than (or equal to) three. Therefore, it was necessary to obtain them by questioning all three producers in the Czech Republic, see (Markvart and Bernauer, 1999, 2000, 2003)

Studuis (Markvart and Bernauer, 1999, 2000, 2003) also gives the value of N₂O emissions from the production of caprolactam starting this year: 0.3 kt N₂O per annum. However, this amount is small compared with other sources. Adipic acid, which is considered to be a significant source of N₂O on a global scale, has not been manufactured in the Czech Republic for some time. Further potential sources of N₂O from other nitration processes in chemical technology should be negligible.

Tab. 4.5 Emission trends for HNO₃ production

	Production of HNO ₃ , kt HNO ₃ (100 %)	Emissions of N ₂ O [Gg N ₂ O] from HNO ₃ production
1990	530.0	3.63
1992	439.4	2.98
1994	439.8	2.94
1996	438.0	3.33
1997	483.1	3.60
1998	532.5	3.59
1999	455.0	2.95
2000	505.0	3.36
2001	505.1	3.32
2002	437.1	2.87

Tab. 4.5 gives the emissions of N₂O from production of nitric acid including production values. Emissions from the production of nitric acid did not decrease and tended to fluctuate around a value of 3.25.

Calculations of N₂O emissions from nitric acid based on study (Markvart and Bernauer, 1999) were firstly used to obtain emission estimates in 1998. This approach, resulting in emission factor values lying in the range 6.5 – 6.9, was also employed for revised data for the years 1990, 1992 and 1994; others years will be revised soon.

Industrial processes emit only 3.40 Gg of methane (in 2002), of which approximately one half corresponds to escape of carbonization gas in coke production. Emission estimates of precursors for the relevant subcategories (starting with inventory 2001) have been transferred from NFR to CRF, as described in previous chapters.

4.4 Recalculations, QA/QC and Plans for Improvement

As for CO₂, no recalculations have been made so far emissions from cement production. Above-mentioned changes in reallocation of CO₂ emissions from iron and steel from 1A2 to 2C1 since 2001 must be followed by the relevant changes also in the whole time series since 1990. This activity is planned for next year as soon as it will be critically judged rather low amount of coke fed into blast furnaces (about 850 kt) compared to the other CSO data related to coke. As a part of QA/QC procedures, the inventory team is looking for independent data to specify and improve this value. However, even if value of about 850 kt in the CSO statistics were underestimated, this would not affect the total national CO₂ inventory, but the relevant emissions would only be included elsewhere (in 1A2).

Recalculations concerning N₂O were described in previous paragraphs.

Other activity data available in the official CSO materials related to QA/QC were independently determined by experts from CHMI and KONEKO Marketing Ltd. and were mutually compared. Experts of CHMI, and vice versa additionally controlled most of the calculations carried out by experts of KONEKO Marketing Ltd.

As regards planned improvement in “Mineral products”: in the area of cement production (key source), the national inventory team plans to replace the existing Tier 1 approach, based on using the default EF for cement, by the Tier 2 approach based on clinker production, which will include use of more detailed bottom-up data obtained directly from cement producers. Similarly, it is intended to obtain more precise data on lime production. Moreover, an attempt will be made to quantify the removal/emission ratio (so far it has been approximated by one) by analyzing means of lime utilization.

4.5 Production of Halocarbons and SF₆ (CRF Sector 2.E)

Halocarbons and SF₆ are not produced in Czech Republic.

4.6 Consumption of Halocarbons and SF₆ (CRF Sector 2.F)

4.6.1 Source Category Description

HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ (for short: F-gases) emissions are in the Czech Republic on the relatively low level due to absence of large industrial sources of the F-gas emissions. As mentioned above, F-gases are not produced in Czech Republic and therefore there are no fugitive emissions from manufacturing. Additionally, there is no production of other fluorinated gases (HCFCs, etc.) that could lead to by-product F-gas emissions and it does not exist any aluminium and magnesium industry in the Czech Republic.

F-gases emissions from national sources are coming only from their consumption in applications as follows:

1. SF₆ used in electrical equipment,
2. SF₆ used in sound proof windows production,
3. HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ used in semiconductor manufacturing,
4. HFCs and PFCs used as refrigerants in refrigeration and air conditioning equipment,
5. HFCs used as propellants in aerosols,
6. HFCs used as blowing agents,
7. HFCs used as extinguishing agents in fixed fire fighting systems.

No official statistics, which would allow disaggregated reporting and/or use of higher tier methodology, is available in the Czech Republic at this moment.

For the source consumption of F-gas emissions increased from 169.4 kt CO_{2eq.} in 1995 to 1 322.1 kt CO_{2eq.} in 2002. This significant increase could be explained mainly due to strong increase of HFCs usage.

Table 4.6 HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ potential emissions in 1995 – 2002 [kt CO_{2eq.}]

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
HFCs	2.2	134.1	295.6	381.8	411.9	674.3	1 045.2	1 092.4
PFCs	0.4	4.2	7	9.1	2.7	9.4	14.5	17.9
SF ₆	166.8	183.1	323.1	131.7	110.9	205.9	223.2	211.9
Total	169.4	321.4	625.7	522.6	525.5	889.8	1 282.90	1 322.1

4.6.2 Methodological Issues

At present, the national F-gases inventory is based on the potential emissions method, supplemented by the specific individual data collection on F-gases usage in individual industrial users. At this moment current national statistics does not allow inventory preparation based on actual emission.

According to (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997*), potential emissions have been calculated from the consumption of F-gases (sum of domestic production and import minus export and environmentally sound disposal). Due to short time of F-gases usage it has been supposed that disposed amount is insignificant and F-gases production is negligible. The applied method assumes that actual emissions should not exceed potential emissions.

As these substances are not nationally produced, import and export information coming from official customs authorities are of the key importance. Individual F-gases do not have a separate custom codes

in the customs tariff list as individual chemical substances. SF₆ is listed as a part of cluster of non-metal halogenides and oxides, HFCs and PFCs are listed as total in the cluster of halogen derivatives of acyclic hydrocarbons. In order to determine the exact amounts of these substances, it is essential to get information from the customs statistics and from individual importers and exporters, about (a) imported and exported amounts and (b) kinds of substances (or their mixtures) and possibly also (c) areas of usage, for that reason all importers and exporters are additionally requested to complete the specific questionnaire on F-gases export, import and to support questionnaire by additional information on quantity, composition and their usage. More detailed description of the methodology is available under the separate document (Řeháček and Michálek, 2003) which also contains all relevant information for emission calculations.

4.6.3 Uncertainty, Consistency, QA/QC, Recalculations and Planned Improvement

No uncertainty analysis has been made for the time being.

The time series is not fully consistent as no detailed information on exporters and importers for the period 1997 to 1999 was available; only data on total amount of substances imported in the certain cluster of substances under the corporate customs code was affordable. The calculation has been made using the identical approaches as for 1996. The calculation for 1999 was additionally checked and improved by informal questionnaires, which were sent to the assumed importers and users.

Verification has been carried out by data comparison received from customs office and from submitted questionnaires. The QC system is still under the development.

No recalculations have been made for the time being. The study on opportunities for actual emissions calculations and their implementation into the national methodology is under the preparation. It's planned to use actual emissions method in the inventory submission 2005.

5 Solvent and Other Product Use (CRF Sector 3)

This category includes particularly emissions of NMVOC (ozone precursor) from the use of solvents, which are simultaneously considered to be a source of CO₂ emissions (these solvents are mostly obtained from fossil fuels), as their gradual oxidation in the atmosphere is also a factor. However, the use of solvents is not an important source of CO₂ emissions - in 2002, CO₂ emissions were calculated at the level of 0.3 Mt CO₂.

This category (Solvent and Other Product Use) also includes N₂O emissions from its use in the food industry and in health care. These not very significant emissions corresponding to 0.69 kt N₂O were derived from production in the Czech Republic.

The IPCC methodology (Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, 1997) uses the CORINAIR methodology (EMEP / CORINAIR Guidelines, 1999) for processing NMVOC emissions in this category. This manual also gives the following conversions for the relevant activities, which can be used in conversion of data from the CORINAIR (i.e. SNAP) structure to the IPCC classification.

Tab. 5.1 Conversion from SNAP into IPCC nomenclature

SNAP	SOLVENT AND OTHER PRODUCT USE	IPCC	IPCC
06 01	Paint application Items 06.01.01 to 06.01.09	3A	Paint application
06 02	Degreasing, dry cleaning and electronic Items 06.02.01 to 06.02.04	3B	Degreasing and dry cleaning
06 03	Chemical products manufacturing or processing. Items 06.03.01 to 06.03.14	3C	Chemical products
06 04	Other use of solvents + related activities Items 06.04.01 to 06.04.12	3D	Other
06 05	Use of N ₂ O Items 06.06.01 to 06.06.02	3D	Other

Inventory of NMVOC emissions for 2002 for this sector is based on a study prepared by SVÚOM s.r.o. Prague (*Commentary on the emission inventory NMVOC*, 2003). This study is elaborated annually for the UN ECE / CLRTAP inventory in NFR and is also adopted for the National GHG inventory.

Solvent Use chapter is based on the following sources of information:

- statistical information on producers and imports from the Czech Statistical Office,
- REZZO data,
- annual reports of the Association of Coatings Producers and Association of Industrial Distilleries,
- information from the Customs Administration.

6 Agriculture (CRF Sector 4)

6.1 Sector Characterization

GHG emissions from agriculture category under national conditions consist mainly of emissions of methane and nitrous oxide.

Methane emissions are derived from animal breeding. These are derived primarily from enteric fermentation (digestive processes), which is manifested most for ungulate animals (in this country, mostly cattle). Other emissions are derived from fertilizer management, where methane is formed under anaerobic conditions (with simultaneous formation of ammonia which, however, is not monitored in the framework of greenhouse gas inventories).

Nitrous oxide emissions are formed mainly in denitrification processes in soils, mainly under anaerobic conditions. The anthropogenic contribution that is determined in the national inventory of greenhouse gases is caused by nitrogenous substances derived from inorganic nitrogen-containing fertilizers, manure from animal breeding and nitrogen contained in parts of agricultural crops that are returned to the soil (for example, in the form of straw together with manure, or that are ploughed into the soil). In addition, emissions are also included from stables and fertilizer management and indirect emissions derived from atmospheric deposition and from nitrogenous substances flushed into water courses and reservoirs.

For Agriculture, three from five sources (categories of sources) were evaluated according to the IPCC *Good Practice (Good Practice Guidance, 2000)* as *key sources*. According to this approach, sources are related to the given pollutant. Overview of sources, including their contribution to aggregated emissions, is given in Tab. 6.1.

Tab. 6.1 Overview of the most important sources from Agriculture

	Character of source	Gas	% of total
Direct emissions of N ₂ O from agriculture soils	Key source	N ₂ O	2,0
Indirect emissions of N ₂ O from agriculture activities	Key source	N ₂ O	1.3
Enteric fermentation	Key source	CH ₄	1.1
Manure management	-	CH ₄	0.5
Manure management	-	N ₂ O	0.3

6.2 Methane Emissions

As mentioned above, methane emissions from the breeding of farm animals are caused both by enteric fermentation and also by the decomposition of animal excrements (manure). Determination of these emissions was prepared at the level of both Tier 1 and Tier 2. If enteric fermentation is considered according to Tab. 7.1 to constitute a *key source*, preference should be given to determination in Tier 2. This calculation arose in 1994 in connection with the historical inventory (Dolejš, 1994) and was reviewed by (Jelínek *et al* in 1996), where only minimal adjustments were made to the emission factors. In principle, emissions from animal excrements could be calculated according to Tier 1 (this is not a *key source*); however, due to tradition and consistency of the time series, the final values were also calculated according to Tier 2 using the emission factors from studies (Dolejš, 1994; Jelínek, 1996). The number of animals required for the calculation was taken from the Statistical Yearbook (*Statistical Yearbook, 2003*)

6.2.1 Tier 1

In deciding on the relevant emission factors in the area of animal production, the *IPCC Guidelines* [1, 2] permits a choice between developed and undeveloped countries or between the countries of Western and Eastern Europe. Because of the tradition of intensive agriculture in the Czech Republic and the cultural similarity to Western Europe, values for developed countries or Western Europe were used. For comparison, calculation was also carried out for an Eastern European country. For emissions from animal manure, a further parameter is the temperature zone (cool, temperate, warm). As the average annual temperature in the Czech Republic does not exceed 10 °C, the values for a cooler climate (< 15 °C) were selected. Determination in Tier 1 was carried out mainly for the purposes of control, while the final sums were drawn up on the basis of emissions determined according to Tier 2.

6.2.2 Tier 2

The emission factor for methane from fermentation (EF) in kg p.a. according to (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) is proportional to the daily food intake and the conversion factor. It thus holds that

$$EF = 365 / 55.65 * \text{daily food intake} * Y,$$

where the daily food intake (MJ/day) is taken as the mean feed ration for the given type of farm animal (there are several subcategories for cattle) and Y is the conversion, which also differs according to the kind of farm animal (usually 0.06 to 0.08 for cattle). The conversion factor of 55.65 has dimensions of MJ/kg CH₄. Parameters for calculations in earlier inventories for years 1990-1995 were taken from the domestic data at that time (Dolejš, 1994), which are given in Tab. 7.2. The accepted conversion value of 0.08 for cattle is somewhat higher than the usual value given for Western Europe of 0.06 (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997). For cattle other than dairy cattle, the number of head in the individual subcategories (e.g. calves) is also given for 1990, so that it is possible to calculate the aggregated emission factor for the entire category of other (non-dairy) cattle.

Tab. 6.2 Input data for original calculation of CH₄ emissions from livestock according to Tier 2 (Dolejš, 1994)

Livestock (data from 1994)	Stock [thous. pcs]	Intake [MJ/day]	Y -	VS [kg/day]	Bo [m ³ /kg]	MCF -
Dairy cows	1 195	128	0.08	5.6	0.24	0.01
Other cattle	2 165			3.6	0.17	0.01
Cows	350	104	0.08			
Breeding bulls	1	95	0.08			
Pre-weaning calves	60	10	0.08			
Increscent bulls and heifers	626	21	0.08			
Young bulls and heifers	1 128	45	0.08			
Pigs	4 589	26	0.02	0.8	0.45	0.10
Poultry	33 278	1	0.01	0.03	0.32	0.01
Horses	25	90	0.08	4.5	0.33	0.01
Sheep	430	15	0.08	0.7	0.19	0.01
Goats	42	10	0.07	0.5	0.17	0.01

The emission factors for methane from animal manure are calculated for each kind of farm animals according to the equation (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997)

$$EF = VS * 365 * Bo * 0.67 * MCF,$$

where EF is the emission factor [kg p.a.], VS is the daily excretion (production of decomposing organic substances in the manure) [kg/day], Bo is the maximum methane production in the excretion [m³/kg_{VS}] and MCF is the conversion factor for the given system of manure storage (deposition). The values of VS, Bo and MCF employed are given in Tab. 6.2. Values of VS presented in this table differ somewhat from the default values presented in (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997). The Bo and MCF values were taken from (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997), factor MCF = 0.01 corresponds

to open deposition of manure (in the cold temperature zone), the value 0.1 for pigs corresponds to the usual manner of storing liquid pig manure (slurry). The emission factors for methane emissions from the breeding of livestock according to Tier 2 were in 1996 up-dated by domestic agricultural specialists, (Jelínek *et al*, 1996). The emission factors were calculated using zoo-technical data from 1995. The original and updated data are given in Tab. 6.3.

Tab. 6.3 Emission factors of CH₄ from livestock (Tier 2)

	Emission factors[kg CH ₄ /pcs.year]			
	Original value (Dolejš, 1994)		Present value (Jelínek, 1996)	
	Fermentation	Manure	Fermentation	Manure
1 Cattle				
a) Dairy cows	67.16	3.29	68.20	3.29
b) Other cattle	24.48	1.50	23.61	1.01
3 Sheep	7.87	0.33	5.01	0.23
4 Goats	4.59	0.21	4.13	0.19
6 Horses	47.22	3.63	47.20	3.63
8 Pigs	3.41	8.80	3.41	7.87
9 Poultry	0.07	0.02	0.07	0.02

It is apparent from Tab. 7.3 that there are only minimal differences between the former and newly updated emission factors. Tab. 6.4 gives a comparison of the emissions of methane from agriculture calculated for Tier 1 and 2 and for Western Europe (WE) and Eastern Europe (EE).

Tab. 6.4 Comparison of CH₄ emissions results in 1997 calculated by various methods [Gg]

	Dairy cow	Other cattle	Pigs	Other animals	Total
Tier 1 (WE)	80	63	18	4	165
<i>Fermentation</i>	70	56	6	2	134
<i>Manure</i>	10	7	12	2	31
Tier 1 (EE)	61	70	22	4	157
<i>Fermentation</i>	57	65	6	2	130
<i>Manure</i>	4	5	16	2	27
Tier 2 (J)	50	29	46	4	129
<i>Fermentation</i>	48	27	14	4	93
<i>Manure</i>	2	1	32	1	36

It is apparent from Tab. 6.4 that the results of the calculation do not greatly differ for Western and Eastern Europe. For enteric fermentation, the results calculated according to Tier 2 (Dolejš, 1994; Jelínek, 1996) are about one third lower than the corresponding values calculated for Tier 1, for both Eastern and Western Europe. In contrast, for emissions from manure, the resultant values are similar for Tier 1 and 2; however, according to Tier 2, they are caused especially by emissions from pig manure. A cattle breeding does not make a significant contribution to emissions from enteric fermentation. The final sums for methane emissions were drawn up using data calculated at Tier 2, taking into account national zoo-technical data. Nonetheless, the difference in emissions of methane from enteric fermentation calculated for Tier 1 and 2 somewhat exceed the usual expected error of $\leq 30\%$ in determining methane emissions from enteric fermentation (*Good Practice Guidance*, 2000).

Recent international inspections (reviews) organized by the Secretariat of UNFCCC have revealed that Czech CH₄ emission estimates based on older Tier 2 calculations (Dolejš, 1994; Jelínek, 1996) are not comparable to other European countries and thus complete recalculations are necessary. It should be taken into account that both above-mentioned studies were prepared prior to publishing of the *Good Practice Guidance* and that the first study was prepared even prior to publishing of the first version of

the IPCC methodology (*IPCC Guidelines*, 1995), when only a preliminary draft of these guidelines was available.

6.3 Nitrous Oxide Emissions

The previous greenhouse gas inventories (for data 1990 to 1995), in accord with the older version of the IPCC methodology (*IPCC Guidelines*, 1995), considered only emissions from agricultural soils, where the usual (*default*) mean emission factor was taken as the value 0.0036 kg N-N₂O/kg N. According to the older manner of calculation, which also did not clearly specify how to determine the necessary data on the amount of organic and biologically bonded nitrogen in soils from standard statistical data for the calculation, the resultant emissions for the Czech Republic were about 2 kt N₂O.

On the basis of more recent studies, a complex methodology was prepared for calculation of emissions from agriculture which, in addition to the formerly considered emissions from agricultural soils, also includes emissions from animal stables and manure management and also indirect emissions derived from atmospheric deposition and from nitrogenous substances flushed into water courses and reservoirs. Simultaneously, there was a significant increase in the emission factor for determining N₂O emissions from agricultural soils to the usual mean value of 0.0125 kg N-N₂O/kg N, which should lie within the confidence interval (0.0025 to 0.0225) (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997).

A set of interconnected spreadsheets in EXCEL format were prepared in CHMI for this approach according to the (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997), which has been used for national GHG inventories for data since 1996. The standard calculation of Tier 1 required the following input information:

- the number of head of farm animals (FAO statistics) (dairy cows, other cattle, pigs, sheep, poultry, horses and goats),
- the annual amount of nitrogen applied in the form of industrial fertilizers,
- the annual harvest of cereals and legumes.

All these data were taken from the Statistical Yearbook of the Czech Republic.

Other input data consists in the mass fraction $X_{i,j}$ of animal excrement in animal category i (i = dairy cows, other cattle, pigs, ...) for various types of excrement management (AWMS - Animal Waste Management System) j (j = anaerobic lagoons, liquid manure, solid manure, pasturage, daily spreading in fields, other). Here, it holds that $X_{i,1} + X_{i,2} + \dots + X_{i,6} = 1$. For Tier 1, (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) gives only the values of matrix X for typical means of management of animal excrement in Eastern and Western Europe. As we are aware that agricultural farming in the Czech Republic cannot be classified according to this system, we carried out the calculation for both characteristics types of management. The results are given in Tab. 6.5.

Tab. 6.5 Comparison of N₂O emissions results in 1997 calculated for various AWMS [Gg N₂O]

	Western Europe	Eastern Europe
Manure management	1.52	2.56
Agriculture soils (direct emissions)	8.48	7.89
Grazing animals	2.83	2.30
Indirect emissions (atmospheric deposition)	1.12	0.97
Indirect emissions (leaching)	5.30	4.76
Total N ₂ O	19.26	18.49

It is apparent from Tab. 6.5 that differences in the calculation of N₂O for countries in Western and Eastern Europe are not large in relation to the expected error. Nonetheless, we will attempt to find an input matrix X (AWMS) that is characteristics for farming in the Czech Republic; however, this information is not currently available. The results of calculation with the AWMS outputs for tables derived for Western Europe, which we consider to be close to the conditions in this country, are listed in the final tables. Other outputs (number of head of animals, application of nitrogen in industrial

fertilizers) were, of course, taken from statistical data for the Czech Republic (*Statistical yearbook, 2003*).

It is apparent from the table that the greatest contribution is that from agricultural soils. The complete results for the agricultural category are given in the tabular annex.

6.4 Emission Trends

The trend series seems consistent for methane, where the decrease in emissions for enteric fermentation since 1990 is connected with the decrease in the numbers of animals, especially cattle, while the decrease in emissions derived from manure (especially pig manure) is not as great, as there has been a smaller decrease in the number of head of pigs. It would seem that conditions have stabilized somewhat in agriculture since 1994.

Tab. 6.6 CH₄ and N₂O emission trends in Agriculture

	CH ₄ emissions [Gg CO _{2eq.}]		N ₂ O emissions [Gg CO _{2eq.}]	
	Enteric fermentation <i>Key source</i>	Manure management	Direct emissions (soil + manure) <i>Key source</i>	Indirect emissions <i>Key source</i>
1990	3 293	1 016	5 192	3 042
1992	2 539	873	4 114	2 409
1994	2 081	733	3 590	2 056
1996	2 054	756	4 213	2 164
1997	1 951	762	3 980	1 990
1998	1 802	741	3 441	1 950
1999	1 789	741	3 382	1 931
2000	1 701	688	3 290	1 862
2001	1 699	672	3 308	1 912
2002	1 587	626	3 329	1 889

6.5 OA/QC, Recalculations and Plans for Improvement

In the process of implementation of the *Good Practice Guidance*, increased attention was firstly paid to enteric fermentation, which has led to the decision to revise the existing determination of methane emissions. It was stated that the cooperation with specialized agricultural experts is crucial to achieve new consistent and comparable data of proper quality.

Consequently, it was decided to revise the entire procedure for calculation of methane emissions from animal breeding in accord with the *Good Practice Guidance*. At the present time, only preliminary results of the revised calculation of methane emissions from cattle enteric fermentation are available (Fott *et al*, 2003) yielding emission factor values comparable with the *default* values for Western Europe, whereas emission factors for dairy cows are even about 10 % higher. A preliminary study, which has been prepared in cooperation with the Czech Agricultural University, assumes that the procedure according to Tier 2 would be used only for cattle, which is by far the predominant source for enteric fermentation in the Czech Republic, while a procedure according to Tier 1 is planned for the other types of animals.

The above-mentioned approach for recalculating enteric fermentation of cattle has been employed by (Havlikova, 2004), who has compiled new emission estimates for the whole 1990 – 2002 period using nationally specific data collected by (Hons and Mudrik, 2004). Innovated calculations for cattle are based on Czech zoo-technical data (milk production, weight, weight gain for growing animals, type of stabling, etc.) and also on statistical data for more detailed classification of cattle, which are available in the Czech Republic. The study (Havlikova, 2004) will be published as soon as all QA/QC procedures take place. Study on enteric fermentation should be followed by a similar study of emissions from manure management; however, this constitutes a less important source of methane emissions.

Definitive recalculation of the entire emission series is expected only after thorough verification of all calculation procedures. These verification procedures should be accomplished by the end of 2004. The existing procedure and results will still be used until all the values are properly verified.

In relation to the consistency of the emission series for N₂O, it should be repeated that the emission estimates have been calculated in a consistent manner since 1996 according to the default methodology from (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997). The recalculated values for 1990 and 1994 were obtained in the last two years; the data for 1992 were recalculated this year.

7 Land Use Change and Forestry (CRF Sector 5)

7.1 Source Category Description

As forests in the Czech Republic are mostly employed for economic purposes and, except of very limited areas, they do not constitute "primary" forest, carbon balance was calculated for the category of managed forests of the temperate zone. The area of forestland has slowly increased since 1990 and equalled 2637 thous. ha in 2000, corresponding to 33.4 per cent of the area of the country. 77.6 per cent of forest stands consist of conifers (spruce, pine, larch, fir) and the remaining 22.4 per cent consist of broad-leaved species (oak, beech, birch). In afforestation, emphasis has recently been placed on attempts to increase the fraction of broad-leaved species rather than conifers. The overall stand stock of wood in the forests of the country has constantly increased since 1990 (564 mil. m³) to 631 mil. m³ in 2000 and trend continues.

Estimate of carbon dioxide balance, in according to *1995 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, has been based on the difference between total annual augmentation and total annual timber harvest, additionally supported by several expert judgments and analysis given by national forestry experts in 1994-1995. Some conversion and expansion factors recommended by *1995 IPCC Guidelines* are specified and slightly modified to better reflect current national forest management conditions.

Estimate was replenished by an estimate of contributions coming from afforestation of agricultural land (annually updated information), and timber harvest of trees growing along watercourses and from larger groups of non-forestry trees (annual update is not available; an assumption of constant contribution over years is applied)¹⁴.

The methodology used for 2002 estimate is fully consistent with procedures previously used for inventory years 1990, 1994 and 1996 to 2001; estimate for inventory year 1992 have been recalculated in this submission.

7.2 Methodological Issues

Methodology is based on national statistic data on timber harvest, increments in wood mass and share of reforestation and afforestation as listed in Table 7.1.

The following assumptions, which suitably reflect national forest management conditions, was included into calculation model used:

- amount of biomass remaining in forest after timber harvest is approximately constant and all phases of decomposition are presented in the same amount;
- decomposition of humus and subterranean biomass after timber harvest is continuously compensated by new biomass production (decomposition mechanisms is not taken into account);
- broadleaf tree species are harvested mainly in winter after leaves loss and it does not lead to increased carbon release;
- reforested areas are equal to areas deforested by extraordinary harvest (calamity harvest, etc.);
- carbon production by annual loss of the assimilation organs equals to annual removals in new assimilation organs.

¹⁴ non-forestry trees cover about 2 per cent of the total sectoral balance in emissions and removals coming from LUCF sector.

Table 7.1 List of annual statistical data used for carbon balance estimate

	unit
Areas under tree species	<i>thous. ha</i>
Total timber harvest without bark - coniferous	<i>mil. m³</i>
Total timber harvest without bark - non-coniferous	<i>mil. m³</i>
Looses in timber harvest	<i>per cent</i>
Total augmentation	<i>mil. m³</i>
Cleaning and sniping total	<i>thous. ha</i>
Reforestation after timber harvest	<i>ha</i>
Share of coniferous on timber harvest	<i>per cent</i>
Share of coniferous in forests	<i>per cent</i>
Afforestation of agriculture land	<i>ha</i>
Destroyed by forest fires	<i>ha</i>
Natural regeneration	<i>ha</i>

Table 7.2 Conversion factors used for carbon balance estimate

parameter	factor
Conversion factor for mass of timber ¹⁵ without bark to mass of timber with bark	1.1
Conversion factor for content of wood with bark to dry mass	standard timber harvest clearing timber harvest
	0.465 t/m ³ 0.41 t/m ³ ¹⁶
Conversion factor for content of wood with bark to dry mass	non-coniferous coniferous
	0.65 t dry mass/m ³ 0.45 t dry mass /m ³
Conversion factor for top-wood mass to mass of timber with bark	thinning clearing timber harvest average
	0.1782 0.0686 0.1003
Conversion factor for stump mass to mass of timber with bark	0.018
Average mass of coniferous assimilatory organ dry dry mass	18 t/ha
Carbon content in dry dry mass	0.45 t C/t dry mass
Average timber harvest in clearing	3 m ³ /ha
Share of clearing on total mass	15 %

Conversion factors as in Table 7.2 were used for carbon balance estimate (timber with bark, top-wood and assimilatory organ biomass calculations, etc.). Balance has been replenished by carbon balance from top-wood, stump, bark and assimilatory organ biomass from clearing.

Estimate of carbon removals by biomass augmentation, incl. an additional input of stump and top-wood biomass, was based on detailed national inventory of wood-biomass reserve. Generally growing age of forests increase of afforested areas and gradual and slowly improving of forest health condition give an increase of removals. Input data for calculation from afforestation of agriculture land are based on national statistics on area of afforested land and related rendered subsidies, prepared by the Ministry of the Agriculture. Area of afforested land has been multiplied by related conversion factors (7,5 t dry mass/ha for non-coniferous, 5,5 t dry mass/ha for coniferous and factor 0,45 tC/t dry mass).

¹⁵ mass of timber means stem-wood, which is defined as that fraction of trees (i.e. trunk and branches) with diameter of the trunk with bark at a height of 130 cm above the ground exceeds 7 cm

¹⁶ value is lower than generally recommended as during pollution type of timber harvest also dead and withered trees are harvested

According to national statistics it is assumed that about 7 per cent of clearing timber harvest is burned in open land. The amount of related emitted gases is not significant and it is added only for the sake of completeness. *1995 IPCC Guidelines* methodology and emission factors have been used for CH₄, CO and NO_x estimates.

Pursuant to requirements of revision and review of national inventory, an estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from liming has been newly provided for inventory years 2001 and 2002. To avoid inconsistencies in time-series, the relevant values have not been included into CRFs for submissions 2003 and 2004. According to national statistics 29 212 t of dolomite in the forest management and 201 000 t of lime or limy material in agriculture were applied in 2002. Emission factors for dolomite (130 t C / kt) and for lime (120 t C / kt) were applied. Total emissions from liming for 2002 can be estimated as 102,4 kt CO₂.

7.3 Uncertainties and Time-series

The inventory from the LUCF category in 2002 was prepared using the identical methodology, without any change in the calculation system compared to previous years. Data on CO₂ emissions and removals estimate in 1990, 1992, 1994 and 1996-2002 are given in Table 7.3, and data on other gas emissions from on-site biomass combustion from wood removal in Table 7.4 for the same period. Uncertainty of emissions/sinks estimates is not available yet; estimates should be presented after implementation of the *IPCC GPG2003*.

Table 7.3 CO₂ emissions and removals from LUCF category [in Gg CO₂]

	1990	1992	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
CO ₂ emissions from forestry	15 132	11 329	13 486	13 796	13 833	14 916	15 677	16 070	15 932	16 006
CO ₂ emissions from other vegetation	371	371	371	371	371	371	371	371	371	371
total CO₂ emissions	15 503	11 701	13 857	14 167	14 204	15 287	16 048	16 441	16 304	16 378
CO ₂ removals from forestry	17 161	17 168	18 071	18 182	18 374	18 576	18 980	19 984	20 191	20 393
CO ₂ removals from afforestation of agriculture land	6	6	3	7	5	4	5	10	12	13
CO ₂ removals from other vegetation growth	464	464	464	464	464	464	464	464	464	464
total CO₂ removals	17 631	18 238	18 538	18 653	18 843	19 044	19 449	20 458	20 667	20 870
total CO₂ balance	-2 128	-6 537	-4 681	-4 486	-4 639	-3 757	-3 401	-4 016	-4 363	-4 492

Table 7.4 Other gas emissions from on-site biomass combustion from wood removal [in t]

	1990	1992	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
CH ₄	2 364	1 264	1 913	2 310	2 250	2 250	2 580	2 360	2 587	2 676
CO	20 688	11 062	16 738	20 210	19 650	19 650	22 560	20 687	22 635	23 419
N ₂ O	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
NO _x	43	23	35	42	41	41	47	43	47	49

7.4 Source-specific QA/QC and Verification

All the related data (activity data, emission data) before entering into calculation spreadsheets and CRF were verified and formally checked. Source category data and inventory submission 2004 as a whole, were per-reviewed by an independent expert and approved by the Ministry of the Environment in December 2003

7.5 Source-specific Recalculations

All data for 1992 have been recalculated using the identical methodology as in previous years and included into CRF.

7.6 Source-specific Planned Improvements

The Czech Republic has a formal plan to develop and implement a revised methodology for the LUCF sector following the *IPCC GPG2003*. The revision is an explicit element of the contract between the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute and the Ministry of the Environment, and it is planned for its completion gradually in 2004-2006. Appropriate forestry and agriculture experts have already been identified.

The revised approach will consider all categories within the LUCF sector, including those currently not reported. If the same activity data are used as in the present methodology, national inventory team intends to develop a formal process for incorporating final versions of the activity data in its submissions, using recalculations if necessary. An improvement should be mainly focused on inconsistencies stated in *FCCC/WEB/IRI(2)/2003/CZE*, chapter LUCF. There is also an intention to improve the NIR structure under recommendations given by the UNFCCC reporting guidelines (*FCCC/CP/2002/8*).

8 Waste (CFR Sector 6)

8.1 Sector Characterization

Emissions of greenhouse gases from waste in the Czech Republic consist mainly of methane emissions from municipal waste landfills and methane emissions from wastewater treatment (industrial and municipal). This category also includes CO₂ emissions from waste incineration and nitrous oxide emissions from wastewater. This category was recently completely revised according to the newest requirements in relation to development of the method in accord with the IPCC *Good Practice (Good Practice Guidance, 2000)*. CHMI cooperated in this study with professional workplaces, in particular with the *Institute for Environmental Science of the Faculty of Sciences at Charles University in Prague (PřFUK)* (Havránek, 2001), the *University of Chemical Technology (VŠCHT)* (Dohanyos and Záborská, 2000; Záborská, 2002; Záborská, 2003) and *Institute for Research and Use of Fuels in Prague Běchovice (ÚVVP)* (Straka, 2001). In the framework of this cooperation, all the emission inventories in this category were recalculated for the entire time series from the reference year of 1990 to the present. At the present time, this sector is managed by the *Charles University Environmental Center (COŽP)*.

Of the individual subcategories in the category 6, only methane from landfills belongs in the category of *key sources*. The contributions to the aggregate emissions are given in Tab. 8.1.

Tab. 8.1 Overview of the most important sources from category 6

	Character of source	Gas	% of total
CH ₄ emissions from landfilling	Key source	CH ₄	1.1
CH ₄ emissions from wastewater handling	-	CH ₄	0.4
N ₂ O emissions from wastewater handling	-	N ₂ O	0.1
CO ₂ emissions from waste incineration	-	CO ₂	0.2

8.2 Emissions from Solid Waste Landfills

This category belongs amongst *key sources* and the IPCC methodology recommends placing increased emphasis on this source. The main greenhouse gas in this category is methane, which is formed in landfills as part of landfill gas from the anaerobic decomposition of biologically degradable carbon. A certain amount of initial data is required to determine emissions. It is necessary to know the amount of waste deposited in the landfill, the portion of biologically degradable carbon in the waste and other parameters specified for the formation of methane in this country. Similarly to last year, this year's calculations are again based on the national study (Straka *et al*, 1997), which can be considered as a Tier 3 approach that, however, does not take into account time trends in national parameters. A new study (Straka, 2001) includes this trend. Both studies and data from the *Czech Environmental Institute* were employed as a basis for a more complex study (Havránek, 2001), which forms the basis for the following text.

In order to determine emissions in this category of sources, it is necessary to select a suitable method for calculation of emissions. The IPCC method distinguishes two methodical tiers. These are the basic method - Tier 1 - and the FOD (First Order Decay) method - Tier 2, which includes first-order kinetics. Both methods are based on knowledge of the amount of biologically degradable carbon deposited on the landfill, where the basic method is based on the assumption that the landfill is at steady state. The FOD method should be preferable from the standpoint of determining the emissions in the individual years. At the present time, only the basic method (Tier 1) is used for the inventory in the Czech Republic because of lack of necessary data.

According to Tier 1, the amount of methane emitted from municipal landfills is given by the equation:

$$\text{Methane emissions (Gg CH}_4\text{)} = [(MSW_T * MSW_F * L_0) - R] * (1 - OX)$$

where

$$L_0 \text{ (Gg CH}_4\text{/kg waste)} = MCF * DOC * DOC_F * F * 16 / 12$$

where MSW_T is the total amount of municipal waste generated in the given year, MSW_F is its fraction deposited in the landfill, MCF is the correction factor for methane (=1 for a managed landfill), DOC and DOC_F are the fraction of degradable carbon and the part thereof that is actually degraded, F is the CH_4 content in the landfill gas, R denotes the methane removed by targeted oxidation (recovered), 16/12 is the weight ratio of methane/carbon and OX is the oxidation factor.

Municipal waste is defined as all wastes generated on the territory of the municipality, that originate in the activities of natural persons, with the exception of wastes formed on the premises of legal persons or natural persons authorized to operate a business. The activity data constitute the output of the Waste Management Information System (WMIS) operated for the Ministry of the Environment by the Water Research Institute – Centre of Waste Management. Data on waste for the years in question were obtained from the waste records provided by the individual district authorities in CR in accord with MoE Decree No. 338/1997 Coll., on details of waste management, and the Methodical Instruction of the Waste Department of MŽP CR on keeping records of waste and reporting of waste. Relevant values for Tier 1 calculations are given in Table 8.2. Illustration of present waste management practices in the Czech Republic is shown in Table 8.3 (*Environmental Statistical Yearbook*, 2003). The calculation also took into account the fact that a certain amount of the biogas produced is burned or destroyed by targeted bio-oxidation. The detailed procedure is described in studies (Straka, 2001; Havránek, 2001), where the factors employed is taken from the IPCC methodology [3, 8] in relation to the nationally specific factors as given in refs. (Straka, 2001; Havránek, 2001). A survey of the parameters required for the calculation is given in Table 8.4.

Tab. 8.2 Municipal solid waste (MSW) production in CR for 1990 –2002 [thous. t MSW]

	MSW	Landfilling
1990	3 764	2 371
1991	3 853	2 388
1992	3 944	2 484
1993	4 037	2 543
1994	4 132	2 561
1995	4 229	2 621
1996	4 329	2 683
1997	4 431	2 739
1998	4 535	2 804
1999	4 195	2 632
2000	4 508	2 803
2001	4 294	2 575
2002	4 747	2 826

Tab. 8.3 Waste management practices in the Czech Republic

Total production	Treatment and/or utilization of physical and chemical procedures	Treatment and/or utilization of biological procedures	Disposal by incineration	Disposal by incineration with heat recovery	Landfilling	Storage	Use as secondary raw material	Deposited underground	Other
100 %	4 %	10 %	0 %	8 %	60 %	1 %	5 %	0 %	12 %

Tab. 8.4 Overview of parameters for calculation of CH₄ emissions from municipal waste landfills

	Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines	IPCC Good Practice	National specific value (Straka; Havranek, 2001)
Waste deposition [kg/person/day]	0.54 – 1.14	-	0.63 - 0.74
DOC	0.19 – 0.08	-	0.096 – 0.08
DOC _F	0.77	0.50 – 0.60	0.60
F	0.5	0.4 – 0.6	0.61
MCF	0.4 – 1.0		1.0
OX	0	0-10	0.15

Tab. 8.5 CH₄ emissions from landfills in 1990-2002 [Gg CH₄]

	CH ₄ production	Oxidized (OX)	CH ₄ recovered	Total emissions
1990	112.9	16.4	3.25	93.2
1991	113.7	16.6	3.25	93.9
1992	116.4	16.9	3.45	96.0
1993	111.7	16.2	3.45	92.0
1994	112.5	16.4	3.45	92.7
1995	115.1	16.7	3.45	94.9
1996	117.8	16.8	6.03	95.0
1997	106.9	14.3	11.79	80.9
1998	109.5	14.5	13.08	81.9
1999	102.8	13.4	13.36	76.0
2000	109.4	14.5	13.36	81.7
2001	100.5	13.0	14.07	73.5
2002	110.3	14.2	15.45	80.6

Table 8.5 gives an overall survey of emissions in the time series for 1990 – 2002 and also includes recovery (R) and oxidation (OX) of methane. If we use parameters in tables above a model calculation for year 2002 has the following appearance:

$$\text{Gg CH}_4 = [(\text{MSW}_T * \text{MSW}_F * L_0) - R] * (1 - \text{OX})$$

$$\text{Gg CH}_4 = [(4747 * 0.6 * L_0) - 15.45] * (1 - 0.15)$$

where

$$L_0 = \text{MCF} * \text{DOC} * \text{DOCF} * F * 16 / 12$$

$$L_0 = 1.0 * 0.08 * 0.60 * 0.61 * 1.33$$

$$\text{Net methane emissions in 2002} = 80.63 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

8.3 Emissions from Wastewater Handling

The basic factor for determining methane emissions from wastewater handling is the content of organic pollution in the water. The content of organic pollution in municipal water and sludge is given as BOD₅ (the biochemical oxygen demand). BOD is a group method of determination of organic substances and expresses the amount of oxygen consumed in the biochemical oxidation, and is thus a measure of biologically degradable substances. In contrast, COD (chemical oxygen demand) is the amount of oxygen required for chemical oxidation and includes both biologically degradable and biologically non-degradable substances. COD is used according to (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) for calculation of methane emissions from industrial wastewater and is always greater than BOD.

The current IPCC methodology employs BOD for evaluation of municipal wastewater and sludge and COD for industrial wastewater. The new method is also extended to include determination of emissions from sludge that are primarily the products of various methods of treatment of wastewater and, under anaerobic conditions, may contribute to methane production and methane emissions.

In the estimation of methane emissions from wastewater and sludge, it is necessary to determine the total amount of organic substances contained in them and to determine (estimate) the emission factors for the individual means of wastewater treatment. For this purpose, professional cooperation was undertaken with the *University of Chemical Technology* and a study was carried out (Havránek, 2001), supplementing an earlier study (Zábranská, 2002) and related to a new study (Zábranská, 2003)

8.3.1 Emissions from Municipal Wastewater

The basic input data for determining emissions from municipal wastewater are as follows:

- the number of inhabitants
- the pollution produced per inhabitant
- the conditions under which the wastewater is treated.

Calculations for conditions in this country are based on pollution production per inhabitant of 18.25 kg BOD p.a. (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997), of which approx. 33% is present in the form of insoluble substances, i.e. is separated as sludge (Dohányos and Zábranská, 2003). This is also based on the number of inhabitants connected to the sewers and of the percent of treated wastewater collected in the sewers. Tab. 8.6 gives this amount for the time series. Decrease in wastewater treatment in year 2002 was caused by disastrous floods which more or less disrupted wastewater treatment in central and northern parts of the Czech republic for a several weeks.

According to the IPCC *Good Practice (Good Practice Guidance, 2000)*, the maximum theoretical methane production B_0 equals 0.25 kg CH₄/kg COD, corresponding to 0.6 kg CH₄/kg BOD. This data is used to determine the emission factors for municipal wastewater and sludge. In determining the emission factor for sludge, it is necessary to evaluate the technology used to treat the particular sludge and to assign a conversion factor to it - MCF - Methane Conversion Factor - giving the part of the organic material that will be transformed as methane (the remainder to CO₂). Refs. (Dohányos and Zábranská, 2000; Zábranská, 2002) give a survey of the nationally specific factors for the ratio of aerobic and anaerobic technologies for the 1990's, given in Tab. 8.7. There is also a certain fraction of wastewater that does not enter the sewer system and is treated on site. For this situation, the IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997; *Good Practice Guidance*, 2000) recommends that separation into wastewater and sludge not be carried out (this corresponds to latrines, septic tanks, cesspools, etc.). The residual wastewater in the Czech Republic which does not enter the sewer system, is considered to be treated on site. All methane generated in anaerobic processes for sludge is considered to be removed (recovered for energy purposes or flared). Remaining methane is considered to be emitted. This assumption is based on Czech national standards (to certain degree similar to ISO standards) CSN 385502, CSN 105190 and CSN 756415. On the basis of these standards, every wastewater treatment facility is obliged to maintain safety and abate gas emission. Leakage might occur only during accidents, but the amount of methane emitted seems to be insignificant (the estimate by expert judgment is less than 1 % of the total amount) (Zábranská, 2003).

Tab. 8.6 Population sewer connection and wastewater treatment, 1990-2002

	Total population (thous. pers.)	Sewer connection (%)	Water treated (%)
1990	10 362	72.6	73.0
1991	10 308	72.3	69.6
1992	10 317	72.7	77.8
1993	10 330	72.8	78.9
1994	10 336	73.0	82.2
1995	10 330	73.2	89.5
1996	10 315	73.3	90.3
1997	10 303	73.5	90.9
1998	10 294	74.4	91.3
1999	10 282	74.6	95.0
2000	10 272	74.8	94.8
2001	10 224	74.9	95.5
2002	10 201	77.4	92.6

The amount of methane emitted from municipal wastewater treatment is given by the equation:

$$\text{Total Gg CH}_4 \text{ p.a.} = \text{Gg CH}_4_{(tos)} + \text{Gg CH}_4_{(wwt)} + \text{Gg CH}_4_{(sld)} - \text{R}$$

Where *tos* is part of water treated on site, *wwt* is part treated as wastewater and *sld* is part treated as a sludge. R is methane recovered (flared or used as gas fuel).

Calculation example for 2002

Treatment on site:

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(tos)} = 0.15 * 0.60 = 0.09 \text{ CH}_4/\text{kg BOD}$$

$$\text{BOD}_{(tos)} = 10\,201 * 0.226 * 18250 = 42.074 \text{ Gg BOD/year}$$

$$\text{Total}_{(tos)} = 42.074 * 0.09 = 3.79 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

Wastewater:

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(wwt)} = \text{methane capacity} * (\text{aerobic MCF} + \text{septic tanks MCF} + \text{non-treated MCF})$$

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(wwt)} = 0.6 * ((0.676 * 0.05) + (0.250 * 0.5) + (0.074 * 0.05)) = 0.0975 \text{ CH}_4/\text{kg BOD}$$

$$\text{BOD}_{(wwt)} = 10\,201 * 0.774 * (1 - 0.33) = 96.543 \text{ Gg BOD/year}$$

$$\text{Total}_{(wwt)} = 96.543 * 0.0975 = 9.412 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

Sludge:

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(sld)} = \text{Emission factor}_{(sld)} (\text{aerobic}) + \text{Emission factor}_{(sld)} (\text{anaerobic})$$

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(sld)} = 0.6 * ((0.8 * 0.5) + (0.2 * 0.1)) = 0.252 \text{ CH}_4/\text{kg BOD}$$

$$\text{BOD}_{(sld)} = 10\,201 * 0.774 * 0.33 = 47.551 \text{ Gg BOD/year}$$

$$\text{Total}_{(sld)} = 47.551 * 0.252 = 11.982 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

$$\text{R (recovered CH}_4) = 0.6 * (0.8 * 0.5) * 47.551 = 11.41 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

Methane emissions from municipal wastewater in 2002 =

$$3.79 + 9.412 + 11.982 - 11.41 = \mathbf{13.77 \text{ Gg CH}_4}$$

Tab. 8.7 Used methane conversion factors (MCF) and share of individual technologies [%] in 1990-2002

	MCF	1990	1993	1996	1999	2002
On-site treatment	0.15	100	100	100	100	100.0
Discharged into rivers	0.05	27	21	10	5	3.0
Aerobic treatment of water	0.05	48	54	65	70	72.0
Anaerobic treatment of water	0.50	25	25	25	25	25.0
Aerobic treatment of sludge	0.10	45	40	35	30	20.0
Anaerobic treatment of sludge	0.50	55	60	65	70	80.0

8.3.2 Emissions from Treatment of Industrial Wastewater

The main activity data for estimation of methane emission from this subcategory is determination of the amount of degradable pollution in industrial wastewater. In this inventory we use specific production of pollution - the amount of pollution per production unit - kg COD / kg product and then we multiply it by the production, or from the overall amounts of industrial wastewater and from a qualified estimate of their concentrations (in kg COD/m³). We use the procedure from the IPCC methodology (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997; *Good Practice Guidance*, 2000). The necessary activity data were taken from the material of CSO (*Czech Statistical Office*) (*Statistical Yearbook*, 2003) and the other parameters required for the calculation were taken from the IPCC *Good Practice* (*Good Practice Guidance*, 2000). On the basis of information on the total amount of industrial wastewater of 217mil.m³ (actually only 203 mil. m³ were treated) (*Environmental Statistical Yearbook*, 2003), it was also possible to determine "unidentified" amount of wastewater (36 mil.m³), which were assigned an average concentration of 3 kg COD/m³. In addition, it was estimated, in accordance with (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997), that the amount of sludge equals 10% of the total pollution in industrial water (more was reported in some branches) (Dohanyos and Záborská, 2000; Záborská, 2002; Záborská, 2003), see Tab. 8.8.

Tab. 8.8 Calculation of COD generated by individual sub-categories 2002

	Production [kt/year]	COD/m ³ [kg /m ³]	Wastewater/t [m ³ /t]	Share of sludge [%]	COD of sludge [t]	COD of wastewater [t]
Alcohol Refining	56	11.00	24.00	0.10	1 466	13 194
Dairy Products	871	2.70	7.00	0.10	1 647	14 815
Malt & Beer	2 458	2.90	6.30	0.10	4 490	40 410
Meat & Poultry	654	4.10	13.00	0.25	8 703	26 108
Organic Chemicals	197	3.00	67.00	0.10	3 954	35 586
Pet. ref./Petrochemicals	3 537	1.00	0.60	0.10	213	1 910
Plastics and Resins	820	3.70	0.60	0.10	182	1 638
Pulp & Paper	672	9.00	162.00	0.25	244 678	734 034
Soap and Detergents	62	0.85	3.00	0.10	16	142
Starch production	67	10.00	9.00	0.10	600	5 398
Sugar Refining	517	3.20	9.00	0.10	1 489	13 401
Textiles(natural)	83	0.90	172.00	0.10	1 271	11 432
Vegetable Oils	101	0.85	3.10	0.10	27	239
Vegetables, Fruits & Juices	131	5.00	20.00	0.25	3 271	9 813
Wine & Vinegar	87	1.50	23.00	0.10	300	2 698
Unidentified wastewater	36 981	3.00	1.00	0.10	11 095	99 848
Total					283 397	1 010 661

In accord with (*Good Practice Guidance*, 2000), the maximum theoretical methane production B_0 was considered to equal 0.25 kg CH_4 /kg COD. This value is in accordance with national factors presented in (Dohanyos and Záborská, 2000).

The calculation of the emission factor for wastewater is based on a qualified estimate of the ratio of the use of individual technologies during the entire recalculated time series. In the future, this ratio will shift towards anaerobic treatment of wastewater and sludge because of the energy advantages of this means of treating wastewater. Tab. 8.9 describes this trend. The conversion factor for anaerobic treatment is 0.06 and, for aerobic treatment, 0.7. There is a significant increase in production in Pulp & Paper sector. This caused a significant increase of methane to 2 Gg more than in 2001.

In contrast to a quite stable for technologies for treating wastewater, ratio used for sludge keeps shifting in favor to anaerobic treatment. This is mostly due its economic effectivity. The calculation of the emission factor for sludge was based on the assumption that 30 % is treated anaerobically with a conversion factor of 0.3 and the remaining 70 % by other, especially aerobic methods with a conversion factor of 0.1. Similarly as in the previous case, it is assumed that all the methane from the anaerobic processes is burned (mostly usefully in cogeneration units, as flaring is used less and less and cogeneration technology seems to be economically effective); however, in contrast to municipal water, methane from anaerobic sludge and wastewater is included. This assumption is based on national standards presented in subchapter above (Záborská, 2003). For the calculation of the methane emissions is sufficient to consider only aerobic processes (where the methane is not oxidized to biological CO_2). Experts at the *University of Chemical Technology* recommended the conversion factors and other parameters given in this part, see (Dohanyos and Záborská, 2000; Záborská, 2003).

Tab. 8.9 Parameters for CH_4 emissions calculation from industrial wastewater

	MCF	1990	1993	1996	1999	2002
Non-treated	0.05	29 %	18 %	13 %	12 %	6 %
Aerobic treatment of water	0.06	67 %	73 %	70 %	66 %	66 %
Anaerobic treatment of water	0.70	4 %	8 %	17 %	22 %	28 %
Aerobic treatment of sludge	0.10	40 %	40 %	40 %	40 %	30 %
Anaerobic treatment of sludge	0.30	60 %	60 %	60 %	60 %	70 %

The amount of methane emitted from municipal wastewater treatment is given by the equation:

$$\text{Total Gg } CH_4 \text{ p.a.} = \text{Gg } CH_4_{(wwt)} + \text{Gg } CH_4_{(sld)} - R$$

Where *wwt* is part treated as wastewater, *sld* is part treated as sludge and R is methane recovered (flared or used as gas fuel).

Calculation example for 2002

Wastewater:

Emission factor_(wwt) = methane capacity *(aerobic MCF + anaerobic MCF) + non-treated MCF))

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(wwt)} = 0.25 * ((0.65 * 0.06) + (0.28 * 0.70) + (0.06 * 0.05)) = 0.0597 \text{ CH}_4/\text{kg BOD}$$

$$\text{COD}_{(wwt)} = \text{Table 8.8} = 1\,010 \text{ Gg COD/year}$$

$$\text{Total}_{(wwt)} = 0.0597 * 1\,010 = 60.36 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

Sludge:

Emission factor_(sld) = Emission factor_(sld) (aerobic) + Emission factor_(sld) (anaerobic)

$$\text{Emission factor}_{(sld)} = 0.25 * (= \text{CH}_4/\text{kg BOD}$$

$$\text{COD}_{(sld)} = \text{Table 8.8} = 283 \text{ Gg COD/year}$$

$$\text{Total}_{(sld)} = 0.06 * 283 = 17.00 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

$$R \text{ (recovered CH}_4) = 0.25 * ((0.7 * 0.3 * 17) + (0.28 * 0.7 * 1\,010)) = 64.5 \text{ Gg CH}_4$$

Methane emissions for industrial wastewater in 2002 =

$$60.36 + 17.00 - 64.5 = \mathbf{12.87 \text{ Gg CH}_4/\text{year}}$$

8.3.3 Emissions of N₂O from Municipal Wastewater

Determination of N₂O emissions from municipal wastewater is part of a broader complex of calculations, concerned particularly with the area of agriculture. Tier 1 calculation is based on the number of inhabitants and estimation of the average annual protein consumption. The N₂O emissions according to (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) would then equal

$$\text{N}_2\text{O emissions} = 10\,201\,000 * 25 * 0.16 * 0.01 * 44 / 28 / 1\,000\,000 = 0.641 \text{ Gg p.a.}$$

The values of 0.16 kg N/kg protein and 0.01 kg N₂O-N/kg N correspond to the mass fraction and standard recommended emission factor.

8.4 Changes in Inventory and Recalculations

IPCC *Good Practice* (*Good Practice Guidelines*, 2000) has been used for inventory. Adopted principles emphasize particularly the consistency of the time series, *key sources* and the transparency of the calculation. Since inventory year 2000 this has led to a number of basic changes in determining the methane emissions from wastes, which then led to recalculation of the entire time series of emissions from this category. Tables 9.5 and 8.10 give the recalculated time series.

Tab. 9.9 CH₄ emissions from municipal and industrial wastewater in 1990-2001 [Gg]

	1990	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Municipal wastewater									
<i>CH₄ production</i>	22.34	22.84	23.37	23.36	23.42	23.97	23.95	24.96	25.18
<i>Oxidized CH₄</i>	7.47	8.18	8.88	8.89	8.99	9.70	9.69	11.06	11.41
<i>Total CH₄ emissions</i>	14.86	14.67	14.49	14.47	14.43	14.27	14.26	13.90	13.77
Industrial wastewater									
<i>CH₄ production</i>	49.76	46.67	55.81	58.42	63.33	60.10	63.51	66.42	77.36
<i>Oxidized CH₄</i>	25.31	23.86	41.28	43.25	47.00	46.90	50.29	55.47	64.50
<i>Total CH₄ emissions</i>	24.45	17.81	14.53	15.16	16.34	13.17	13.26	10.94	12.87

Changes in the inventory of **methane emissions from landfills** compared to previous years can be summarized as follows:

- Recalculation of the entire time series using the Tier 1 of the IPCC method, taking into account newly refined values of the national parameters,
- Refining of activity data in relation to the amount of waste landfilled,
- Classification as recovered methane and methane that is intentionally oxidized using biofilters.

Changes in the inventory of **methane emissions from wastewater** consist particularly in:

- For the biological oxygen demand, the value of 0.6 kg CH₄/kg BOD is used for maximum methane production, i.e. factor B₀; this is more than the former value of B₀ = 0.25 CH₄/kg BOD, used before recalculation of the whole data series; however, this value (0.25) is valid for COD, which is always larger than the corresponding BOD value.
- Refining of the calculation for the area of management of industrial wastewater. In place of the formerly used, less accurate values of the average concentration for industrial wastewater, total COD is now determined on the basis of the production of the individual branches generating wastewater.
- Determination of emissions for the part of the population that is not connected to the public sewer system is now being prepared separately in relation to the different conditions (treatment on site).

In relation to carrying out the recalculation, there has been a relative increase in the fraction of emissions from wastewater and a slight increase in the decreasing trend since 1990. Further details in relation to the recently recalculated results are given in reference (Havránek, 2001).

8.5 QA/QC and Plans for Improvement of Inventory Quality

The last year, the Czech greenhouse gas inventory underwent the in-country review by UNFCCC. Results and recommendation were presented to the inventory team and some of the most serious gaps will be reflected in future work. The primary effort will be to transfer calculation of methane emissions from landfills (as a key source) to Tier 2. The present Tier 1 is insufficient and it gives uncertain results for Czech Republic, mainly methodical flaws in subtracting actually recovered methane from theoretical full-life emissions. This should be carried out in the next two years, including recalculation of the entire time series and implementation Good Practice in calculation.

Increased transparency of all equations is another aspect that needs to be addressed. This will be done by filling in of older years in CRF and by providing a broader survey in NIR reports.

Data quality and uncertainties in inventory is the last, but not least, issue that needs to be addressed. We plan to include the newest relevant data in our calculation (e.g. measurement of waste composition) to decrease the uncertainties caused by inaccurate data.

Activity data are taken from official channels (Czech statistical office, Ministry of the Environment). Quality assurance of the activity data is guaranteed by the data provider. For the Czech Statistical Office, use of standardized comprehensive methodology harmonized with EU is guaranteed.

9 Recalculations

9.1 History of Czech Inventories

The first attempt of compilation of a complete Czech GHG Inventory was done in 1994 as a part of the “Country study project” supported by the U. S. Government. This Inventory was based on an older version of the IPCC Methodology and was prepared by non-governmental organization SEVEN in co-operation with CHMI (Tichý *et al*, 1995).

The first version of the Czech GHG Inventory compiled by CHMI under the supervision of the Ministry of the Environment was prepared in the years 1995 and 1996 for 1990 - 93 and 1994 – 1995 periods, respectively (Fott *et al*, 1995, 1996). Both inventories were based on the former version of the IPCC Methodology and were considerably inspired by the “Country study”, in both the positive and the negative sense. Relevant emissions / removals estimates for the 1990 – 1995 period were also summarized in the *Second National Communication* in 1997.

Older results presented before the year 1997 were distorted by some imperfections and gaps due to application of the older version of the IPCC guidelines and application of obsolete national studies concerning agriculture and waste sectors. The chief imperfections can be characterized in this way:

- A) All N₂O emission were completely distorted: while N₂O emission from fuel combustion were significantly overestimated by using EFs based on the obsolete CORINAIR90 guidebook, emissions from agriculture were, on the contrary significantly underestimated using the older version of the IPCC Guidelines (as is explained in Chapter 6).
- B) Methane emissions from agriculture based on the older national study issued even before the first version of the IPCC methodology (only the draft version was available) appear out-of-date at the present time. Emission estimates based on this study are rather underestimated in comparison with other European countries. This case is analyzed in detail in Chapter 6. In contrast to N₂O, where the relevant methodology was changed for data after 1996, updating of the CH₄ method for enteric fermentation is still in progress.

Other imperfections were of less importance but not negligible, so that they had to be addressed. Some examples are listed bellow:

1. The former estimates of CH₄ from the waste sector, using activity data based mainly on expert judgment rather than on more rigorous statistics, was later found not to be in accordance with the (*Good Practice Guidance*, 2000)
2. More relevant country specific data were obtained for CH₄ emissions from deep coal mining in 1997, resulting in somewhat lower estimates
3. It was found after editing the (*Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines*, 1997) that the Sectoral approach for CO₂ used for the 1990 – 1995 period is not quite perfect and in accordance with the Revised Guidelines. On the other hand, the Reference approach was used properly.

The editing the *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines* in 1997 formed a good basis for analyzing imperfections in inventories. Subsequently, specifically topics A), 2) 3) and 4), occurring in the first GHG inventories for 1990 – 1995 data, were immediately revised and employed in inventories for data after 1996. Revision of data for CH₄ from Waste (topic 1) was carried out later.

The relevant recalculations were carried out later (see the following table).

9.2 Overview of Recalculations

A survey of the most important recalculations carried out so far is given in the following table.

Table 9.1 Survey of recalculations

Year of recalculation	Recalculated years	Recalculated category	Reason of recalculation	Reporting of recalculated results
1997	1990 - 95	CH ₄ from coal mining, 1B1	National EFs were evaluated (see topic 2 from the previous page)	3 rd National Communication, 1999 Submission 2002 for UNFCCC Explained in NIR
2001	1995 - 1998	HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Identified gaps in import data	3 rd National Communication, 2001 Submission 2002 for UNFCCC
2002	1990 - 2000	CH ₄ from Waste	Application of Good Practice (see topic 1 from the previous page)	Submission 2002- 2004 for UNFCCC Explained in NIR
2002-2004	1990, 1994, 1992	N ₂ O from all sources	Application of Revised IPCC Guidelines (see topic A from the previous page)	Submissions 2002 - 2004 for UNFCCC Explained in NIR
2002-2004	1990, 1994, 1992	CO ₂ from Energy	Sectoral Approach from Revised Guidelines applied (see topic 3 from previous page)	Submissions 2002 - 2004 for UNFCCC Explained in NIR

Cases of recalculations summarized above and other recalculations are explained in a more detail in Chapters 3 – 8.

10 References

- Adamec V., Dufek J., Jedlička J.: *Study of trends in transport in 2002 from the standpoint of the environment*, Transport Research Centre, Brno, May 2002 (in Czech)
- Alfeld, K.: *Methane Emissions Produced by the Gas Industry Worldwide*, IGU Study Group 8.1: Methane emissions, Essen, January 1998
- Balance of Energy Processes in Energy Sector*, Czech Statistical Office, Prague 2003
- Commentary on the emission inventory of NMVOC for 2002 in the sector "Solvent use and applications - 060000"*, SVÚOM, s.r.o., Prague, October 2003 (in Czech)
- Dohányos M., Zábranská J.: *Proposals for refining the calculation of methane emissions from municipal and industrial wastewater*; Report for CHMI, Prague 2000 (in Czech)
- Dolejš: *Emissions of greenhouse gases in agriculture in the Czech Republic*, Report for PROINCOM Pardubice, Research Institute of Animal Production, Uhřetěves, Prague 1994 (in Czech)
- EMEP / CORINAIR *Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook*, UN ECE - EMEP 1999
- Energy Balance of the Czech Republic*, CSO, Prague 2003
- Energy Economy - the Czech Republic in numbers in 1994 – 1997, 1994 – 1998, 1995 – 1999 and 1996 – 2000*; KONEKO Marketing Ltd. Prague, December 1998, November 1999, November 2000, November 2001
- Environmental Statistical Yearbook of the Czech Republic*. MoE CR, Prague 2002 (in Czech)
- F. Straka: *Calculation of emissions from landfills in CR*, Institute for Research and Use of Fuels, Prague February 2001 (in Czech)
- Fott P., Bláha J., Pecka K.: *Inventories of greenhouse gases in 1990-1993*, CHMI, "Global Climate Change" Project, Environmental Project No. 49/14/1/95, Prague 1995 (in Czech)
- Fott P., Pretel J., Bláha J., Pecka K.: *Inventories of greenhouse gases in 1994-1995*, CHMI, "Global Climate Change II" Project, Environmental Project No. 310/1/96, Prague 1996 (in Czech)
- Fott P., Hons P., Mudřík Z.: *Revised Czech emission inventory of CH₄ from enteric fermentation*. (preliminary study), presented on the EEA workshop on emissions of GHG from agriculture, Copenhagen, 27-28th February, 2003
- Fott P., Pretel J., Bláha J., Neužil V.: *National GHG Inventory Report of the Czech Republic (NIR)*, last year 2000, CHMI, Prague 2002
- Fott P., Pretel J., Bláha J., Neužil V.: *National GHG Inventory Report of the Czech Republic (NIR)*, last year 2001, CHMI, Prague 2003
- Fott, P.: *Carbon emission factors of coal and lignite: Analysis of Czech coal data and comparison with European values*. Environmental Science and Policy (Elsevier), **2**, 1999, p. 347-354
- Gas and the Environment*, 20th World Gas Conference, Copenhagen 1997
- Gas and the Environment*, 21th World Gas Conference, Nice 2000
- Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National GHG Inventories, IPCC 2000
- Havlíková M.: *Methodology for estimating methane emissions from enteric fermentation of cattle and preliminary results for the period 1990 – 2002 in the Czech Republic*. Report of CHMI, Prague 2004 (in Czech)
- Hons P., Mudřík Z.: *Czech country-specific data for estimation of methane emissions from enteric fermentation of cattle*. AGROBIO report for CHMI, Prague 2003 (in Czech)

- IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, Vol. 1-3, IPCC / OECD / IEA, 1995
- Jelínek A., Plíva P., Vostoupal B.: *Determining VOC emissions from agricultural activities in the Czech Republic*, Report for CHMI, Research Institute of Agricultural Technology, Prague, 1996 (in Czech)
- M.Havráněk: *Emissions of greenhouse gases from the waste sector in CR*, Thesis. Institute of the Environment, Faculty of Sciences, Charles University and CHMI, Prague 2001 (in Czech)
- Markvart M., Bernauer B.: *Emission factors for nitrous oxide from combustion processes, chemical technology and mobile sources*, Kateko Report for CHMI, Prague 1999 (in Czech)
- Markvart M., Bernauer B.: *Emission trends in nitrous oxide from industrial processes in thenineteen nineties*, Report for CHMI, Prague 2000. (in Czech)
- Markvart M., Bernauer B.: *Nitrogen industry as a source of nitrous oxide emissions in the Czech republic*, Report for CHMI, Prague 2003 (in Czech)
- MiningYearbook*, 1994 – 2002 (in Czech)
- Production of selected products in industry in CR* (in selected specific units) for January to December 2002, Department of Statistics of Industry, Construction and Energy Production, Czech Statistical Office, Prague 2003 (in Czech)
- Řeháček V., Michálek L.: *Information on emissions of greenhouse gases containing fluorine in CR in 2002*, Report for CHMI, Prague 2003 (in Czech)
- Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Vol. 1-3, IPCC 1997
- Second National Communication of the Czech Republic on the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, MoE CR, Prague 1997
- Statistical Yearbook of the Czech Republic*, Czech Statistical Office, Prague 2003
- Straka F., Musilová M., Crha J., Pavlas M.: *Emissions of greenhouse gases from wastes in the territory of CR in 1996*, Institute for Research and Use of Fuels, Prague-Běchovice for CHMI, Prague 1997 (in Czech)
- Supply of Basic Final Refinery Products in the CR*, Czech Statistical Office, Prague 2003
- Svoboda K.: *Emission factors for nitrous oxide from coal combustion in stationary sources*, Report for CHMI, Prague 1996 (in Czech)
- Takla G., Nováček P.: *Emissions of mine gases in the Ostrava-Karviná coal-mining area and potential for minimization*, Proceedings from the conference Emissions of natural gas - economic and environmental impacts, Czech Gas Association, May 1997 (in Czech)
- Takla, G.: Methane emissions from deep coal mining, national conference “Natural Gas Emissions – New Clean Air Act and international reliability of the methane emission inventory in the Czech Republic”, Czech Gas Association, 2002 (in Czech)
- Third National Communication of the Czech Republic on the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, MoE CR, Prague 2001
- Tichý M., Voráčková Z., Dvořák P.: *Inventory of emissions of greenhouse gases in the Czech Republic in 1990*, SEVEN, Prague 1995 (in Czech)
- UNIPETROL and the environment - *Report on the environmental impacts of the Unipetrol Group in 1998*, UNIPETROL 1999 (in Czech)
- Zábranská J.: *Proposals for refining the calculation of methane emissions from municipal and industrial wastewater (data for years 2000 and 2001)* Report for CHMI, Prague 2002 (in Czech)
- Zábranská J.: *Proposals for update of the calculation of methane emissions from municipal and industrial wastewater*, Report for CUEC, Prague 2003 (in Czech)

Abbreviations

APL	<i>Asociace průmyslových lihovarů</i> <i>Association of Industrial Distilleries</i>
AVNH	<i>Asociace výrobců nátěrových hmot</i> <i>Association of Coatings Producers</i>
CDV	<i>Centrum dopravního výzkumu</i> <i>Transport Research Centre</i>
ČHMÚ CHMI	<i>Český hydrometeorologický ústav</i> <i>Czech Hydrometeorological Institute</i>
ČSÚ CSO	<i>Český statistický úřad</i> <i>Czech Statistical Office</i>
COŽP UK	<i>Centrum pro otázky životního prostředí Univerzity Karlovy</i> <i>Center for Environmental Issues of Charles University</i>
EEA	<i>European Environmental Agency</i>
IGU	<i>International Gas Union</i>
MŽP (ČR) MoE (CR)	<i>Ministerstvo životního prostředí (ČR)</i> <i>Ministry of the Environment of (CR)</i>
REZZO	<i>Registr emisí a zdrojů znečišťování ovzduší</i> <i>Register of Emissions and Sources of Air Pollution</i>
SEVEn	<i>Středisko pro efektivní využívání energie</i> <i>The Energy Efficiency Center</i>
ÚHÚL	<i>Ústav pro hospodářskou úpravu lesů</i> <i>Forest Management Institute</i>
SVÚOM	<i>Státní výzkumný ústav ochrany materiálu</i>
VŠCHT	<i>Vysoká škola chemicko technologická</i> <i>University (Institute) of Chemical Technology</i>
ÚVVP	<i>Ústav pro výzkum a využití paliv</i> <i>Institute for Research and Use of Fuels</i>

Appendix I

EMISSION INVENTORY

2002

TABLE 1 SECTORAL REPORT FOR ENERGY
 (Sheet 1 of 2)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂
	(Gg)						
Total Energy	117 977.66	275.24	4.98	313.85	490.91	97.08	233.12
A. Fuel Combustion Activities (Sectoral Approach)	117 426.28	14.62	4.98	311.34	489.31	95.96	230.59
1. Energy Industries	57 728.54	0.66	2.14	100.82	11.32	6.91	141.65
a. Public Electricity and Heat Production	55 471.26	0.58	2.11	95.68	9.84	6.61	129.69
b. Petroleum Refining	1 186.88	0.04	0.00	0.40	0.07	0.03	0.95
c. Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries	1 070.39	0.04	0.03	4.74	1.41	0.26	11.01
2. Manufacturing Industries and Construction	30 968.10	0.79	0.67	35.55	87.44	4.32	41.17
a. Iron and Steel	0.00	0.00	0.00	IE	IE	IE	IE
b. Non-Ferrous Metals	0.00	0.00	0.00	IE	IE	IE	IE
c. Chemicals	0.00	0.00	0.00	IE	IE	IE	IE
d. Pulp, Paper and Print	0.00	0.00	0.00	IE	IE	IE	IE
e. Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco	0.00	0.00	0.00	IE	IE	IE	IE
f. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	30 968.10	0.79	0.67	35.55	87.44	4.32	41.17
Only total of a-e available, treated as f							
3. Transport	12 428.48	2.21	1.92	112.66	239.19	48.38	4.74
a. Civil Aviation	115.42	0.00	0.00	9.00	1.80	0.50	0.51
b. Road Transportation	11 685.47	2.19	1.91	95.80	233.02	46.87	4.01
c. Railways	255.54	0.02	0.01	7.00	3.90	0.90	0.20
d. Navigation	26.47	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.40	0.10	0.02
e. Other Transportation (<i>please specify</i>)	345.58	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.07	0.01	0.00
Gas pipelines transportation							

TABLE 1 SECTORAL REPORT FOR ENERGY
 (Sheet 2 of 2)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂
	(Gg)						
4. Other Sectors	15 108.01	10.72	0.21	23.04	110.16	25.42	40.17
a. Commercial/Institutional	4 573.92	0.84	0.03	7.21	5.58	1.39	9.45
b. Residential	10 030.64	9.34	0.17	15.11	104.14	23.93	30.06
c. Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries	503.46	0.55	0.01	0.71	0.44	0.11	0.65
5. Other (please specify)⁽¹⁾	1 193.15	0.25	0.05	39.27	41.20	10.94	2.86
a. Stationary	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE	IE
b. Mobile	1 193.15	0.25	0.05	39.27	41.20	10.94	2.86
Mobile sources from the Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Sector are treated here.	1 193.15	0.25	0.05	39.27	41.20	10.94	2.86
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	551.38	260.62	0.00	2.50	1.60	1.12	2.53
1. Solid Fuels	551.38	237.48	0.00	0.75	1.27	0.45	0.53
a. Coal Mining	0.00	237.48	NE	NE	NE	NE	
b. Solid Fuel Transformation	IE	IE	IE	0.75	1.27	0.45	0.53
c. Other (please specify)	551.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2. Oil and Natural Gas	0.00	23.14	0.00	1.75	0.32	0.67	2.00
a. Oil	0.00	0.42		1.75	0.32	0.67	2.00
b. Natural Gas	0.00	22.72				NE	NE
c. Venting and Flaring	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Venting	0.00	0.00				NE	NE
Flaring	0.00	0.00	0.00	NE	NE	NE	NE
d. Other (please specify)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Memo Items:⁽²⁾							
International Bunkers	438.94	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Aviation	438.94	0.13	0.01	IE	IE	IE	IE
Marine	0.00	0.00	0.00	NO	NO	NO	NO
Multilateral Operations	0.00	0.00	0.00				
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass	3 124.25						

⁽¹⁾ Include military fuel use under this category.

⁽²⁾ Please do not include in energy totals.

TABLE 2(I) SECTORAL REPORT FOR INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES
 (Sheet 1 of 2)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs ⁽¹⁾		PFCs ⁽¹⁾		SF ₆		NO _x	CO	NMVOC	SO ₂
				P	A	P	A	P	A				
	(Gg)			CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)						(Gg)			
Total Industrial Processes	4 406.53	3.40	3.22	1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00	3.96	55.12	2.32	4.11
A. Mineral Products	1 792.53	0.01	0.00							0.05	0.12	0.02	0.01
1. Cement Production	1 603.67												0.01
2. Lime Production	0.00												
3. Limestone and Dolomite Use	NE												
4. Soda Ash Production and Use	0.00												
5. Asphalt Roofing	NE										0.00	NE	
6. Road Paving with Asphalt	0.00									0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00
7. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	188.86	0.01	0.00							0.05	0.06	0.02	0.01
Glass production										0.05	0.06	0.02	0.01
B. Chemical Industry	0.00	0.39	3.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.42	0.44	0.14	3.31
1. Ammonia Production	IE	NE								0.68	0.44	0.01	NE
2. Nitric Acid Production			2.95							0.03			
3. Adipic Acid Production			NO							NO	NO	NO	
4. Carbide Production	0.00	0.00									NO	NO	NO
5. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.39	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.14	3.31
Sum of other nonspecified items										0.70	0.00	0.14	3.31
C. Metal Production	2 614.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.16	53.30	1.79	0.34
1. Iron and Steel Production	2 614.00	2.56								1.01	51.96	1.09	0.31
2. Ferroalloys Production	NE	0.00								0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00
3. Aluminium Production	NO	NO					0.00			NO	NO	NO	NO
4. SF ₆ Used in Aluminium and Magnesium Foundries								0.00					
5. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	1.34	0.61	0.03
Sum of other nonspecified items										0.15	1.34	0.61	0.03

P = Potential emissions based on Tier 1 approach of the IPCC Guidelines. A = Actual emissions based on Tier 2 approach of the IPCC Guidelines. This only applies in sectors where methods exist for both tiers.

⁽¹⁾ The emissions of HFCs and PFCs are to be expressed as CO₂ equivalent emissions. Data on disaggregated emissions of HFCs and PFCs are to be provided in Table 2(II) of this common reporting format.

TABLE 2(I) SECTORAL REPORT FOR INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES
 (Sheet 2 of 2)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs ⁽¹⁾		PFCs ⁽¹⁾		SF ₆		NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂
				P	A	P	A	P	A				
	(Gg)			CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)						(Gg)			
D. Other Production	0.00									1.34	1.26	0.37	0.45
1. Pulp and Paper										1.34	1.26	0.03	0.45
2. Food and Drink ⁽²⁾	0.00											0.34	
E. Production of Halocarbons and SF₆					0.00		0.00		0.00				
1. By-product Emissions					0.00		0.00		0.00				
Production of HCFC-22					0.00								
Other					0.00		0.00		0.00				
2. Fugitive Emissions					0.00		0.00		0.00				
3. Other (<i>please specify</i>)					0.00		0.00		0.00				
F. Consumption of Halocarbons and SF₆				1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00				
1. Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment				1 035.23	0.00	4.06	0.00	0.00	0.00				
2. Foam Blowing				4.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
3. Fire Extinguishers				27.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
4. Aerosols/ Metered Dose Inhalers				25.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
5. Solvents				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
6. Semiconductor Manufacture				0.00	0.00	13.85	0.00	41.00	0.00				
7. Electrical Equipment				0.00	NE	0.00	NE	89.40	0.00				
8. Other (<i>please specify</i>)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	81.40	0.00				
Other - nonspecified								81.40					
G. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

⁽²⁾ CO₂ from Food and Drink Production (e.g. gasification of water) can be of biogenic or non-biogenic origin. Only information on CO₂ emissions of non-biogenic origin should be reported.

TABLE 3 SECTORAL REPORT FOR SOLVENT AND OTHER PRODUCT USE
 (Sheet 1 of 1)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	N ₂ O	NMVOG
	(Gg)		
Total Solvent and Other Product Use	316.88	0.69	103.41
A. Paint Application	124.44	NA	39.08
B. Degreasing and Dry Cleaning	79.69	NA	23.29
C. Chemical Products, Manufacture and Processing			5.80
D. Other (please specify)	112.75	0.69	35.24
<i>(Use of N₂O for Anaesthesia)</i>		0.35	NA
<i>(N₂O from Fire Extinguishers)</i>		0.00	NA
<i>(N₂O from Aerosol Cans)</i>		0.35	NA
<i>(Other Use of N₂O)</i>			
Other solvent use (SNAP 0604)	112.75		35.24

Please account for the quantity of carbon released in the form of NMVOG in both the NMVOG and the CO₂ columns.

Note: The IPCC Guidelines do not provide methodologies for the calculation of emissions of N₂O from Solvent and Other Product Use. If reporting such data, Parties should provide additional information (activity data and emission factors) used to make these estimates in the documentation box to Table 3.A-D.

TABLE 4 SECTORAL REPORT FOR AGRICULTURE
 (Sheet 1 of 2)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CH ₄	N ₂ O	NO _x	CO	NMVOG
	(Gg)				
Total Agriculture	105.38	16.83	0.00	0.00	0.00
A. Enteric Fermentation	75.56				
1. Cattle	60.34				
Dairy Cattle	39.35				
Non-Dairy Cattle	20.99				
2. Buffalo	NO				
3. Sheep	0.49				
4. Goats	0.11				
5. Camels and Llamas	NO				
6. Horses	1.27				
7. Mules and Asses	NO				
8. Swine	11.42				
9. Poultry	1.94				
10. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00				
B. Manure Management	29.82	1.25			0.00
1. Cattle	2.80				
Dairy Cattle	1.90				
Non-Dairy Cattle	0.90				
2. Buffalo	NO				
3. Sheep	0.02				
4. Goats	0.00				
5. Camels and Llamas	NO				
6. Horses	0.10				
7. Mules and Asses	NO				
8. Swine	26.35				
9. Poultry	0.55				

TABLE 4 SECTORAL REPORT FOR AGRICULTURE
 (Sheet 2 of 2)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CH ₄	N ₂ O	NO _x	CO	NM VOC
	(Gg)				
B. Manure Management (continued)					
10. Anaerobic Lagoons		0.00			NE
11. Liquid Systems		0.18			NE
12. Solid Storage and Dry Lot		0.91			NE
13. Other (<i>please specify</i>)		0.16			0.00
		0.16			
C. Rice Cultivation	0.00				0.00
1. Irrigated	0.00				NO
2. Rainfed	0.00				NO
3. Deep Water	0.00				NO
4. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00				0.00
D. Agricultural Soils ⁽¹⁾	0.00	15.58			0.00
1. Direct Soil Emissions	NE	8.60			NE
2. Animal Production	NE	0.89			NE
3. Indirect Emissions	NE	6.09			NE
4. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.00			0.00
E. Prescribed Burning of Savannas	0.00	0.00			
F. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1. Cereals	0.00	0.00	NO	NO	NO
2. Pulse	0.00	0.00	NO	NO	NO
3. Tuber and Root	0.00	0.00	NO	NO	NO
4. Sugar Cane	0.00	0.00	NO	NO	NO
5. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
G. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

⁽¹⁾ See footnote 4 to Summary 1.A of this common reporting format. Parties which choose to report CO₂ emissions and removals from agricultural soils under 4.D. Agricultural Soils category of the sector Agriculture should indicate the amount [Gg] of these emissions or removals in the documentation box to Table 4.D. Additional information (activity data, implied emissions factors) should also be provided using the relevant documentation box to Table 4.D. This table is not modified for reporting the CO₂ emissions and removals for the sake of consistency with the IPCC tables (i.e. IPCC Sectoral Report for Agriculture).

Note: The IPCC Guidelines do not provide methodologies for the calculation of CH₄ emissions, CH₄ and N₂O removals from agricultural soils, or CO₂ emissions from savanna burning or agricultural residues burning. If you have reported such data, you should provide additional information (activity data and emission factors) used to make these estimates using the relevant documentation boxes of the Sectoral background data tables.

TABLE 5 SECTORAL REPORT FOR LAND-USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY
 (Sheet 1 of 1)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂ emissions	CO ₂ removals	Net CO ₂ emissions/ removals	CH ₄	N ₂ O	NO _x	CO
	(Gg)						
Total Land-Use Change and Forestry	16 377.76	-20 869.97	-4 492.21	2.68	0.00	0.05	23.42
A. Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks	16 377.76	-20 869.97	-4 492.21				
1. Tropical Forests	NO	NO	0.00				
2. Temperate Forests	16 377.76	-20 869.97	-4 492.21				
3. Boreal Forests	NO	NO	0.00				
4. Grasslands/Tundra	NO	NO	0.00				
5. Other (please specify)	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Harvested Wood ⁽¹⁾			0.00				
			0.00				
B. Forest and Grassland Conversion⁽²⁾	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1. Tropical Forests	NO			NO	NO	NO	NO
2. Temperate Forests	NE			NE	NE	NE	NE
3. Boreal Forests	NO			NO	NO	NO	NO
4. Grasslands/Tundra	NO			NO	NO	NO	NO
5. Other (please specify)	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C. Abandonment of Managed Lands	0.00	0.00	0.00				
1. Tropical Forests	NO	NO	0.00				
2. Temperate Forests	NE	NE	0.00				
3. Boreal Forests	NO	NO	0.00				
4. Grasslands/Tundra	NO	NO	0.00				
5. Other (please specify)	0.00	0.00	0.00				
			0.00				
D. CO₂ Emissions and Removals from Soil	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Cultivation of Mineral Soils	NE	NE	0.00				
Cultivation of Organic Soils	NE	NE	0.00				
Liming of Agricultural Soils	NE	NE	0.00				
Forest Soils	NE	NE	0.00				
Other (please specify) ⁽³⁾	0.00	0.00	0.00				
			0.00				
E. Other (please specify)	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.68	0.00	0.05	23.42
On-side burning of Cleared Forest (4)	IE	0.00	0.00	2.68	0.00	0.05	23.42

⁽¹⁾ Following the IPCC Guidelines, the harvested wood should be reported under Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks (Volume 3. Reference Manual, p.5.17).

⁽²⁾ Include only the emissions of CO₂ from Forest and Grassland Conversion. Associated removals should be reported under section D.

⁽³⁾ Include emissions from soils not reported under sections A, B and C.

⁽⁴⁾ CO₂ emissions included in A2

Note: See footnote 4 to Summary 1.A of this common reporting format.

TABLE 6 SECTORAL REPORT FOR WASTE
 (Sheet 1 of 1)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂ ⁽¹⁾	CH ₄	N ₂ O	NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂
	(Gg)						
Total Waste	357.00	107.27	0.64	0.42	0.04	0.02	0.15
A. Solid Waste Disposal on Land	0.00	80.63		0.00	0.00	0.00	
1. Managed Waste Disposal on Land	0.00	80.63		NE	NE	NE	
2. Unmanaged Waste Disposal Sites	0.00	0.00		NE	NE	NE	
3. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
B. Wastewater Handling		26.64	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.02	
1. Industrial Wastewater		12.87	NE	NA	NA	NA	
2. Domestic and Commercial Wastewater		9.98	0.64	NA	NA	NA	
3. Other (<i>please specify</i>)		3.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
C. Waste Incineration	357.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.04	0.01	0.15
D. Other (<i>please specify</i>)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

⁽¹⁾ Note that CO₂ from Waste Disposal and Incineration source categories should only be included if it stems from non-biological or inorganic waste sources.

SUMMARY 1.A SUMMARY REPORT FOR NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORIES (IPCC TABLE 7A)
 (Sheet 1 of 3)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂ emissions	CO ₂ removals	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs ⁽¹⁾		PFCs ⁽¹⁾		SF ₆		NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂		
	(Gg)				CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)								(Gg)			
	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A						
Total National Emissions and Removals	123 058.08	-4 492.21	493.97	26.37	1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00	318.28	569.48	202.84	237.39		
1. Energy		275.24	4.98							313.85	490.91	97.08	233.12	248.41		
A. Fuel Combustion	Reference Approach ⁽²⁾															
	Sectoral Approach ⁽²⁾	14.62	4.98							311.34	489.31	95.96	230.59	245.48		
1. Energy Industries		57 728.54	0.66	2.14							100.82	11.32	6.91	141.65		
2. Manufacturing Industries and Construction		30 968.10	0.79	0.67							35.55	87.44	4.32	41.17		
3. Transport		12 428.48	2.21	1.92							112.66	239.19	48.38	4.74		
4. Other Sectors		15 108.01	10.72	0.21							23.04	110.16	25.42	40.17		
5. Other		1 193.15	0.25	0.05							39.27	41.20	10.94	2.86		
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels		551.38	260.62	0.00							2.50	1.60	1.12	2.53		
1. Solid Fuels		551.38	237.48	0.00							0.75	1.27	0.45	0.53		
2. Oil and Natural Gas		0.00	23.14	0.00							1.75	0.32	0.67	2.00		
2. Industrial Processes		3.40	3.22	1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00	3.96	55.12	2.32	4.11	2.48		
A. Mineral Products		0.01	0.00							0.05	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.45		
B. Chemical Industry		0.39	3.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.42	0.44	0.14	3.31	0.31		
C. Metal Production		3.00	0.00				0.00		0.00	1.16	53.30	1.79	0.34	1.66		
D. Other Production ⁽³⁾										1.34	1.26	0.37	0.45	0.07		
E. Production of Halocarbons and SF ₆						0.00		0.00		0.00						
F. Consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆					1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00						
G. Other		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

P = Potential emissions based on Tier 1 approach of the IPCC Guidelines.

A = Actual emissions based on Tier 2 approach of the IPCC Guidelines.

⁽¹⁾ The emissions of HFCs and PFCs are to be expressed as CO₂ equivalent emissions. Data on disaggregated emissions of HFCs and PFCs are to be provided in Table 2(II) of this common reporting format.

⁽²⁾ For verification purposes, countries are asked to report the results of their calculations using the Reference approach and to explain any differences with the Sectoral approach. Where possible, the calculations using the Sectoral approach should be used for estimating national totals. Do not include the results of both the Reference approach and the Sectoral approach in national totals.

⁽³⁾ Other Production includes Pulp and Paper and Food and Drink Production.

Note: The numbering of footnotes to all tables containing more than one sheet continue to the next sheet. Common footnotes are given only once at the first point of reference.

SUMMARY 1.A SUMMARY REPORT FOR NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORIES (IPCC TABLE 7A)
 (Sheet 2 of 3)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs ⁽¹⁾		PFCs ⁽¹⁾		SF ₆		NO _x	CO	NMVOC	SO ₂		
	emissions	removals			P	A	P	A	P	A						
	(Gg)													CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)		(Gg)
3. Solvent and Other Product Use	316.88			0.69							0.00	0.00	103.41	0.00		
4. Agriculture	0.00	0.00	105.38	16.83							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
A. Enteric Fermentation			75.56													
B. Manure Management			29.82	1.25									0.00			
C. Rice Cultivation			0.00										0.00			
D. Agricultural Soils	⁽⁴⁾	⁽⁴⁾	0.00	15.58									0.00			
E. Prescribed Burning of Savannas			0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00			
F. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues			0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00			
G. Other			0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	NO		
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00	⁽⁵⁾ -4 492.21	2.68	0.00							0.05	23.42	0.00	0.00		
A. Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00	⁽⁵⁾ -4 492.21														
B. Forest and Grassland Conversion	0.00		0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	NO			
C. Abandonment of Managed Lands	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00														
D. CO ₂ Emissions and Removals from Soil	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00														
E. Other	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00	⁽⁵⁾ 0.00	2.68	0.00							0.05	23.42	NE	NE		
6. Waste	357.00		107.27	0.64							0.42	0.04	0.02	0.15		
A. Solid Waste Disposal on Land	⁽⁶⁾ 0.00		80.63									0.00	0.00			
B. Wastewater Handling			26.64	0.64							0.00	0.00	0.02			
C. Waste Incineration	⁽⁶⁾ 357.00		0.00	0.00							0.42	0.04	0.01	0.15		
D. Other	0.00		0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
7. Other (please specify)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

⁽⁴⁾ According to the IPCC Guidelines (Volume 3. Reference Manual, pp. 4.2, 4.87), CO₂ emissions from agricultural soils are to be included under Land-Use Change and Forestry (LUCF). At the same time, the Summary Report 7A (Volume 1. Reporting Instructions, Tables.27) allows for reporting CO₂ emissions or removals from agricultural soils, either in the Agriculture sector, under D. Agricultural Soils or in the Land-Use Change and Forestry sector under D. Emissions and Removals from Soil. Parties may choose either way to report emissions or removals from this source in the common reporting format, but the way they have chosen to report should be clearly indicated, by inserting explanatory comments to the corresponding cells of Summary 1.A and Summary 1.B. Double-counting of these emissions or removals should be avoided. Parties should include these emissions or removals consistently in Table8(a) (Recalculation - Recalculated data) and Table10 (Emission trends).

⁽⁵⁾ Please do not provide an estimate of both CO₂ emissions and CO₂ removals. "Net" emissions (emissions - removals) of CO₂ should be estimated and a single number placed in either the CO₂ emissions or CO₂ removals column, as appropriate. Please note that for the purposes of reporting, the signs for uptake are always (-) and for emissions (+).

⁽⁶⁾ Note that CO₂ from Waste Disposal and Incineration source categories should only be included if it stems from non-biogenic or inorganic waste streams.

SUMMARY 1.A SUMMARY REPORT FOR NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORIES (IPCC TABLE 7A)
 (Sheet 3 of 3)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs		PFCs		SF ₆		NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂
	emissions	removals			P	A	P	A	P	A				
	(Gg)				CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)						(Gg)			
Memo Items: ⁽⁷⁾														
International Bunkers	496.70		0.15	0.02							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Aviation	496.70		0.15	0.02							IE	IE	IE	IE
Marine	0.00		0.00	0.00							NO	NO	NO	NO
Multilateral Operations	0.00		0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass	2 815.05													

⁽⁷⁾ Memo Items are not included in the national totals.

SUMMARY 1.B SHORT SUMMARY REPORT FOR NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORIES (IPCC TABLE 7B)
 (Sheet 1 of 1)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂ emissions	CO ₂ removals	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs ⁽¹⁾		PFCs ⁽¹⁾		SF ₆		NO _x	CO	NM VOC	SO ₂
	(Gg)				CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)						(Gg)			
	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A				
Total National Emissions and Removals	123 058.08	-4 492.21	493.97	26.37	1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00	318.28	569.48	202.84	237.39
1. Energy	117 977.66		275.24	4.98							313.85	490.91	97.08	233.12
A. Fuel Combustion	Reference Approach ⁽²⁾													
	Sectoral Approach ⁽²⁾		14.62	4.98							311.34	489.31	95.96	230.59
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels			260.62	0.00							2.50	1.60	1.12	2.53
2. Industrial Processes	4 406.53		3.40	3.22	1 092.43	0.00	17.91	0.00	211.80	0.00	3.96	55.12	2.32	4.11
3. Solvent and Other Product Use	316.88			0.69							0.00	0.00	103.41	0.00
4. Agriculture⁽³⁾	0.00	0.00	105.38	16.83							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry⁽⁴⁾	0.00⁽⁴⁾	-4 492.21	2.68	0.00							0.05	23.42	0.00	0.00
6. Waste	357.00		107.27	0.64							0.42	0.04	0.02	0.15
7. Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Memo Items:														
International Bunkers	496.70		0.15	0.02							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Aviation	496.70		0.15	0.02							IE	IE	IE	IE
Marine	0.00		0.00	0.00							NO	NO	NO	NO
Multilateral Operations	0.00		0.00	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass	2 815.05													

P = Potential emissions based on Tier 1 approach of the IPCC Guidelines.

A = Actual emissions based on Tier 2 approach of the IPCC Guidelines.

⁽¹⁾ The emissions of HFCs and PFCs are to be expressed as CO₂ equivalent emissions. Data on disaggregated emissions of HFCs and PFCs are to be provided in Table 2(II) of this common reporting format.

⁽²⁾ For verification purposes, countries are asked to report the results of their calculations using the Reference approach and to explain any differences with the Sectoral approach in document box of Table 1.A(c). Where possible, the calculations using the Sectoral approach should be used for estimating national totals. Do not include the results of both the Reference approach and the Sectoral approach in national totals.

⁽³⁾ See footnote 4 to Summary 1.A.

⁽⁴⁾ Please do not provide an estimate of both CO₂ emissions and CO₂ removals. "Net" emissions (emissions - removals) of CO₂ should be estimated and a single number placed in either the CO₂ emissions or CO₂ removals column, as appropriate. Please note that for the purposes of reporting, the signs for uptake are always (-) and for emissions (+).

SUMMARY 2 SUMMARY REPORT FOR CO₂ EQUIVALENT EMISSIONS
 (Sheet 1 of 1)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂ ⁽¹⁾	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	Total
	CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)						
Total (Net Emissions)⁽¹⁾	118 565.87	10 373.45	8 174.48	1 092.43	17.91	211.80	138 435.94
1. Energy	117 977.66	5 780.06	1 544.98				125 302.70
A. Fuel Combustion (Sectoral Approach)	117 426.28	307.10	1 544.98				119 278.37
1. Energy Industries	57 728.54	13.82	662.20				58 404.56
2. Manufacturing Industries and Construction	30 968.10	16.57	206.36				31 191.02
3. Transport	12 428.48	46.33	595.35				13 070.16
4. Other Sectors	15 108.01	225.12	66.43				15 399.56
5. Other	1 193.15	5.27	14.64				1 213.07
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	551.38	5 472.96	0.00				6 024.34
1. Solid Fuels	551.38	4 987.01	0.00				5 538.39
2. Oil and Natural Gas	0.00	485.94	0.00				485.94
2. Industrial Processes	4 406.53	71.41	997.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	5 475.42
A. Mineral Products	1 792.53	0.20	0.00				1 792.74
B. Chemical Industry	0.00	8.19	997.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	1 005.66
C. Metal Production	2 614.00	63.02	0.00		0.00	0.00	2 677.02
D. Other Production	0.00						0.00
E. Production of Halocarbons and SF ₆				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F. Consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
G. Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3. Solvent and Other Product Use	316.88		214.52				531.40
4. Agriculture	0.00	2 213.05	5 218.10				7 431.14
A. Enteric Fermentation		1 586.76					1 586.76
B. Manure Management		626.29	387.62				1 013.91
C. Rice Cultivation		0.00					0.00
D. Agricultural Soils ⁽²⁾		0.00	4 830.47				4 830.47
E. Prescribed Burning of Savannas		0.00	0.00				0.00
F. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues		0.00	0.00				0.00
G. Other		0.00	0.00				0.00
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry⁽¹⁾	-4 492.21	56.21	0.64				-4 435.36
6. Waste	357.00	2 252.72	198.77				2 808.50
A. Solid Waste Disposal on Land	0.00	1 693.31					1 693.31
B. Wastewater Handling		559.41	198.77				758.19
C. Waste Incineration	357.00	0.00	0.00				357.00
D. Other	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
7. Other (please specify)	0.00	0.00	0.00	1 092.43	17.91	211.80	1 322.14
Consumption of HFCs, PFCs and SF ₆ (Tier 1)				1 092.43	17.91	211.80	1 322.14
Memo Items:							
International Bunkers	496.70	3.09	5.00				504.79
Aviation	496.70	3.09	5.00				504.79
Marine	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Multilateral Operations	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass	2 815.05						2 815.05

⁽¹⁾ For CO₂ emissions from Land-Use Change and Forestry the net emissions are to be reported. Please note that for the purposes of reporting, the signs for uptake are always (-) and for emissions (+).

⁽²⁾ See footnote 4 to Summary 1.A of this common reporting format.

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO ₂ emissions	CO ₂ removals	Net CO ₂ emissions / removals	CH ₄	N ₂ O	Total emissions
	CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)					
Land-Use Change and Forestry						
A. Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks	16 377.76	-20 869.97	-4 492.21			-4 492.21
B. Forest and Grassland Conversion	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C. Abandonment of Managed Lands	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00
D. CO ₂ Emissions and Removals from Soil	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00
E. Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.21	0.64	56.84
Total CO₂ Equivalent Emissions from Land-Use Change and Forestry	16 377.76	-20 869.97	-4 492.21	56.21	0.64	-4 435.36

Total CO ₂ Equivalent Emissions without Land-Use Change and Forestry ^(a)	142 871.31
Total CO ₂ Equivalent Emissions with Land-Use Change and Forestry ^(a)	138 435.94

^(a) The information in these rows is requested to facilitate comparison of data, since Parties differ in the way they report emissions and removals from Land-Use Change and Forestry.

Appendix II

EMISSION INVENTORY OVERVIEW FOR 1990 – 2002*

* except 1991, 1993 and 1995. HFCs, PFCs, SF₆ data for 1995 – 2001

TABLE 10 EMISSIONS TRENDS (CO₂)
 (Sheet 1 of 5)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	(Gg)												
1. Energy	160 080		135 766		127 116		129 592	134 166	124 903	118 038	124 960	122 798	117 978
A. Fuel Combustion (Sectoral Approach)	160 080		135 766		127 116		129 516	133 925	124 486	117 501	124 420	122 246	117 426
1. Energy Industries	59 171		52 550		55 768		57 818	59 180	58 706	53 848	60 160	59 538	57 729
2. Manufacturing Industries and Construction	59 457		51 207		44 199		43 867	43 341	35 376	34 156	36 130	34 879	30 968
3. Transport	7 275		7 453		7 605		9 896	11 392	10 779	12 016	11 110	12 061	12 428
4. Other Sectors	34 177		24 555		19 544		17 936	20 013	19 624	17 481	17 019	15 769	16 301
5. Other													
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	0		0		0		76	241	417	537	540	551	551
1. Solid Fuels					0		76	241	417	537	540	551	551
2. Oil and Natural Gas													
2. Industrial Processes	3 380		3 210		2 772		2 479	2 498	2 661	2 362	2 251	4 524	4 407
A. Mineral Products	3 380		3 210		2 772		2 479	2 498	2 661	2 362	2 251	2 000	1 793
B. Chemical Industry													
C. Metal Production			IE									2 524	2 614
D. Other Production													
E. Production of Halocarbons and SF ₆													
F. Consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆													
G. Other													
3. Solvent and Other Product Use	530		457		382		352	336	347	336	335	317	317
4. Agriculture	0		0		0		0						
A. Enteric Fermentation													
B. Manure Management													
C. Rice Cultivation													
D. Agricultural Soils ⁽²⁾													
E. Prescribed Burning of Savannas													
F. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues													
G. Other													
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry ⁽³⁾	-2 128		-6 537		-4 681		-4 486	-4 639	-3 757	-3 401	-4 016	-4 363	-4 492
A. Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks	-2 128		-6 537		-4 681		-4 486	-4 639	-3 757	-3 401	-4 016	-4 363	-4 492
B. Forest and Grassland Conversion													
C. Abandonment of Managed Lands													
D. CO ₂ Emissions and Removals from Soil													
E. Other													
6. Waste	0		357		357		357						
A. Solid Waste Disposal on Land													
B. Waste-water Handling													
C. Waste Incineration			357		357		357	357	357	357	357	357	357
D. Other													
7. Other (please specify)	0		0		0		0						
Total Emissions/Removals with LUCF ⁽⁴⁾	161 862		133 252		125 945		128 294	132 718	124 511	117 692	123 886	123 633	118 566
Total Emissions without LUCF ⁽⁴⁾	163 990		139 789		130 626		132 780	137 357	128 268	121 093	127 902	127 996	123 058
Memo Items:													
International Bunkers	617		476		283		459	407	225	539	343	439	497
Aviation	617		476		283		459	407	225	539	343	439	497
Marine													
Multilateral Operations													
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass													

⁽¹⁾ Fill in the base year adopted by the Party under the Convention, if different from 1990.

⁽²⁾ See footnote 4 to Summary I.A of this common reporting format.

⁽³⁾ Take the net emissions as reported in Summary I.A of this common reporting format. Please note that for the purposes of reporting, the signs for uptake are always (-) and for emissions (+).

⁽⁴⁾ The information in these rows is requested to facilitate comparison of data, since Parties differ in the way they report CO₂ emissions and removals from Land-Use Change and Forestry.

TABLE 10 EMISSIONS TRENDS (CH₄)
 (Sheet 2 of 5)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	(Gg)												
Total Emissions	798.26		685.25		619.44		599.72	575.50	543.83	509.10	510.21	499.30	493.97
1. Energy	453.38		385.83		354.48		334.63	329.72	303.75	278.68	287.18	282.07	275.24
A. Fuel Combustion (Sectoral Approach)	59.31		42.18		34.92		33.95	31.27	22.51	20.95	19.41	14.06	14.62
1. Energy Industries	7.10		7.01		6.72		2.57	2.26	2.24	1.66	1.27	0.68	0.66
2. Manufacturing Industries and Construction	1.23		1.70		1.50		1.03	1.51	1.21	1.28	1.16	0.89	0.79
3. Transport	3.07		1.25		1.02		3.51	4.29	1.86	1.90	1.92	2.04	2.21
4. Other Sectors	47.91		32.22		25.69		26.83	23.22	17.19	16.12	15.06	10.46	10.97
5. Other													
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	394.07		343.65		319.56		300.68	298.45	281.23	257.73	267.77	268.00	260.62
1. Solid Fuels	361.90		305.97		281.99		268.42	263.47	253.05	228.96	239.00	244.74	237.48
2. Oil and Natural Gas	32.17		37.69		37.56		32.26	34.98	28.18	28.77	28.77	23.27	23.14
2. Industrial Processes	5.60		4.78		3.93		4.90	3.91	4.02	3.92	3.40	3.40	3.40
A. Mineral Products			0.01		0.01		0.16	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01
B. Chemical Industry	0.40		0.40		0.39		0.39	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39
C. Metal Production	5.20		4.37		3.53		4.34	3.51	3.63	3.52	3.00	3.00	3.00
D. Other Production													
E. Production of Halocarbons and SF ₆													
F. Consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆													
G. Other													
3. Solvent and Other Product Use													
4. Agriculture	204.19		162.44		133.97		133.83	129.11	121.13	120.50	113.76	112.92	105.38
A. Enteric Fermentation	155.78		120.88		99.09		97.83	92.86	85.83	85.16	81.01	80.89	75.56
B. Manure Management	48.41		41.56		34.88		35.99	36.25	35.30	35.34	32.75	32.04	29.82
C. Rice Cultivation													
D. Agricultural Soils													
E. Prescribed Burning of Savannas													
F. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues													
G. Other													
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry	2.58		1.26		1.91		2.31	2.25	2.25	2.58	2.36	2.59	2.68
A. Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks													
B. Forest and Grassland Conversion													
C. Abandonment of Managed Lands													
D. CO ₂ Emissions and Removals from Soil													
E. Other	2.58		1.26		1.91		2.31	2.25	2.25	2.58	2.36	2.59	2.68
6. Waste	132.51		130.94		125.15		124.06	110.51	112.70	103.42	103.51	98.32	107.27
A. Solid Waste Disposal on Land	93.20		95.98		92.67		95.04	80.87	81.93	75.98	75.98	73.48	80.63
B. Waste-water Handling	39.31		34.96		32.48		29.02	29.64	30.77	27.43	27.52	24.84	26.64
C. Waste Incineration													
D. Other													
7. Other (please specify)	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00						
Memo Items:													
International Bunkers	0.18		0.14		0.08		0.16	0.12	0.07	0.16	0.10	0.13	0.15
Aviation	0.18		0.14		0.08		0.16	0.12	0.07	0.16	0.10	0.13	0.15
Marine													
Multilateral Operations													
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass													

TABLE 10 EMISSIONS TRENDS (N₂O)
 (Sheet 3 of 5)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	(Gg)												
Total Emissions	36.34		29.76		26.69		29.71	28.42	27.07	26.17	26.37	26.75	26.37
1. Energy	4.57		4.14		3.93		4.16	4.31	4.47	4.46	4.78	4.98	4.98
A. Fuel Combustion (Sectoral Approach)	4.57		4.14		3.93		4.16	4.31	4.47	4.46	4.78	4.98	4.98
1. Energy Industries	2.08		1.92		2.00		1.34	1.29	2.06	1.95	2.16	2.21	2.14
2. Manufacturing Industries and Construction	1.27		1.13		0.90		0.44	0.43	0.63	0.60	0.65	0.77	0.67
3. Transport	0.26		0.39		0.56		1.77	1.94	1.41	1.58	1.66	1.75	1.92
4. Other Sectors	0.96		0.68		0.47		0.61	0.65	0.38	0.33	0.31	0.25	0.26
5. Other													
B. Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1. Solid Fuels													
2. Oil and Natural Gas													
2. Industrial Processes	3.90		3.25		3.21		3.33	3.60	3.86	3.22	3.63	3.59	3.22
A. Mineral Products													
B. Chemical Industry	3.90		3.25		3.21		3.33	3.60	3.86	3.22	3.63	3.59	3.22
C. Metal Production													
D. Other Production													
E. Production of Halocarbons and SF ₆													
F. Consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆													
G. Other													
3. Solvent and Other Product Use	0.66		0.69		0.69		1.00	0.60	0.71	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69
4. Agriculture	26.56		21.04		18.21		20.57	19.26	17.39	17.14	16.62	16.84	16.83
A. Enteric Fermentation													
B. Manure Management	2.14		1.84		1.53		1.55	1.52	1.44	1.44	1.36	1.35	1.25
C. Rice Cultivation													
D. Agricultural Soils	24.41		19.20		16.68		19.02	17.73	15.95	15.70	15.26	15.49	15.58
E. Prescribed Burning of Savannas													
F. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues													
G. Other													
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00						
A. Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks													
B. Forest and Grassland Conversion													
C. Abandonment of Managed Lands													
D. CO ₂ Emissions and Removals from Soil													
E. Other	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6. Waste	0.65		0.65		0.65		0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.64	0.64
A. Solid Waste Disposal on Land													
B. Waste-water Handling	0.65		0.65		0.65		0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.64	0.64
C. Waste Incineration													
D. Other													
7. Other (please specify)	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00						
Memo Items:													
International Bunkers	0.02		0.02		0.01		0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
Aviation	0.02		0.02		0.01		0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
Marine													
Multilateral Operations													
CO₂ Emissions from Biomass													

TABLE 10 EMISSION TRENDS (HFCs, PFCs and SF₆)
 (Sheet 4 of 5)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Chemical	GWP
	(Gg)														
Emissions of HFCs⁽⁵⁾ - CO₂ equivalent (Gg)						2.21	134.51	295.62	381.78	411.87	674.32	1 045.17	1 092.37	HFCs	
HFC-23						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-23	11700
HFC-32						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	HFC-32	650
HFC-41						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-41	150
HFC-43-10mee						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-43-10mee	1300
HFC-125						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.07	HFC-125	2800
HFC-134						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-134	1000
HFC-134a						0.00	0.10	0.23	0.29	0.14	0.26	0.44	0.49	HFC-134a	1300
HFC-152a						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-152a	140
HFC-143						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-143	300
HFC-143a						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.06	HFC-143a	3800
HFC-227ea						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-227ea	2900
HFC-236fa						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-236fa	6300
HFC-245ca						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HFC-245ca	560
Emissions of PFCs⁽⁵⁾ - CO₂ equivalent (Gg)						0.35	4.21	7.00	9.10	2.70	9.42	14.49	17.91	PFCs	
CF ₄						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	CF ₄	6500
C ₂ F ₆						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C ₂ F ₆	9200
C ₃ F ₈						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C ₃ F ₈	7000
C ₄ F ₁₀						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C ₄ F ₁₀	7000
c-C ₄ F ₈						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	c-C ₄ F ₈	8700
C ₅ F ₁₂						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C ₅ F ₁₂	7500
C ₆ F ₁₄						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C ₆ F ₁₄	7400
Emissions of SF₆⁽⁵⁾ - CO₂ equivalent (Gg)						166.82	183.07	323.13	131.69	110.85	205.90	223.20	211.85	SF ₆	23900
SF ₆						0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01		

⁽⁵⁾ Enter information on the actual emissions. Where estimates are only available for the potential emissions, specify this in a comment to the corresponding cell. Only in this row the emissions are expressed as CO₂ equivalent emissions in order to facilitate data flow among spreadsheets.

TABLE 10 EMISSION TRENDS (SUMMARY)
 (Sheet 5 of 5)

 Czech Republic
 2002
 Submission 2004

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)												
Net CO ₂ emissions/removals	161 862		133 252		125 945	0	128 294	132 718	124 511	117 692	123 886	123 633	118 566
CO ₂ emissions (without LUCF) ⁽⁶⁾	163 990		139 789		130 626	0	132 780	137 357	128 268	121 093	127 902	127 996	123 058
CH ₄	16 763		14 390		13 008	0	12 594	12 085	11 421	10 691	10 714	10 485	10 373
N ₂ O	11 266		9 227		8 275	0	9 211	8 811	8 390	8 111	8 175	8 291	8 174
HFCs	0		0		0	2	135	296	382	412	674	1 045	1 092
PFCs	0		0		0	0	4	7	9	3	9	14	18
SF ₆	0		0		0	167	183	323	132	111	206	223	212
Total (with net CO₂ emissions/removals)	189 891		156 869		147 229	169	150 421	154 240	144 844	137 020	143 665	143 692	138 436
Total (without CO₂ from LUCF) ⁽⁶⁾	192 019		163 406		151 910	169	154 907	158 879	148 602	140 421	147 681	148 056	142 928

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	CO ₂ equivalent (Gg)												
1. Energy	171 018		145 150		135 779	0	137 909	142 428	132 666	125 274	132 472	130 265	125 303
2. Industrial Processes	4 708		4 316		3 849	169	3 936	4 323	4 465	3 968	4 336	6 991	6 798
3. Solvent and Other Product Use	734		671		596	0	662	522	566	551	549	531	531
4. Agriculture	12 521		9 934		8 459	0	9 188	8 681	7 933	7 844	7 542	7 592	7 431
5. Land-Use Change and Forestry ⁽⁷⁾	-2 073		-6 511		-4 640	0	-4 437	-4 591	-3 710	-3 346	-3 966	-4 308	-4 435
6. Waste	2 983		3 307		3 186	0	3 163	2 878	2 924	2 729	2 731	2 621	2 808
7. Other	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

⁽⁶⁾ The information in these rows is requested to facilitate comparison of data, since Parties differ in the way they report CO₂ emissions and removals from Land-Use Change and Forestry.

⁽⁷⁾ Net emissions.

Appendix III - Notation keys

The Sectoral and Summary Report Tables summarize final inventory results. The notation shown in the key (see Table) should be used to show where countries believe the identified source is zero (0). Where countries have opted not to estimate (NE) a particular source of each greenhouse gas, this should be shown. Data problems may limit the possibility of separating out each source individually; in this case it is included elsewhere (IE) and this should also be included in the table with a footnote indicating where the emission source/sink has been reported. Finally, countries may report a particular category as not occurring (NO) in their country.

Table - Notification Keys

PART	Partly estimated
ALL	Full estimate of all possible sources
NE	Not estimated
IE	Estimated but included elsewhere
NO	Not occurring
NA	Not applicable
0	Source is estimated to be zero